

From: "cisco@lucasco.net%inter2" <cisco@lucasco.net>
Subject: Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 08/31/2005 02:37 PM CDT
Date Sent: 08/31/2005 02:37:39 CDT
Date Received: 08/31/2005 02:38:50 CDT

Email: cisco@lucasco.net

FirstName: Richard

LastName: Francisco

Address1: 47753 127 Ave

Address2:

City: Lucas

State: Iowa

zipcode: 50151

Question1: Subsidies that drive the production of just a few comododies should be expanded to include other crops/livestock or should be eliminated so that crop/livestock production is a result of market forces.

Question2:

Question3: The emphasis should be on paying incentives to land owner/producers to produce clean water and air and improve and keep the soil on there land.

Question4: As mentioned above by letting the market direct the choice of production and using the incentives to pay forthe production of clean air and water, soil conservation will improve all aspects of the farm economy. But programs need to be more flexible, for example CRP totally restricting grazing has result in climax ecosystems that support very little wildlife and so the new maintence contracts are good so would allowing a grazing season after nesting to allow an economic return from the maintaince of the reserved lasn would be productive both to the farm econmy, the achievement of consdrvation and enviromental goals and in attraccting producers to the programs.

Question5: Assisting high speed internet installation in rural areas.

Question6: Yes greater flexiblity so that participating in a broader range of activities isn't punished as it is now and rewarding new activities would be good to. Support for research is also very important in providing producers with new paths.