

From: "Kathaber@aol.com%inter2" <Kathaber@aol.com>
Subject: Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 08/19/2005 12:27 PM CDT
Date Sent: 08/19/2005 12:27:44 CDT
Date Received: 08/19/2005 12:29:38 CDT

Email: Kathaber@aol.com

FirstName: Kat

LastName: Haber

Address1: POB 2429

Address2:

City: Homer

State: Alaska

zipcode: 99603

Question1: How can next generations continue when the tax system and land prices are now unaffordable in relation to the pressures to sell out to developers. Could a higher tax be applied to second/third home owners to support marketing, scientific research, improved valued products in the field of farms as we transition away from an agrarian society in these rural areas?

Question2:

Question3: Could these subsidies be restricted to small family farmers with intended production for local markets and an extra incentive to environmentally sustainable farming methods?

Question4: Could broad incentives be offered to large commercial operations to radically adjust their methods to consider a community effect in their production of goods? The proper accounting of their polluting activities must be reflected on their cost of goods sold. Now the citizenry is picking up the tab, or not and then suffers greater environmental degradation. With clean water, air, and land the goal of good governance, we must look at whole systems rather than single economic producers and their quarterly profit loss reports.

Question5: With the influx of big box stores to once quaint small farming communities and broad high density developments on previously productive farm lands, the economic pressures to let go of this type of economic support in these communities is powerful. A cultural evaluation of what it is that makes our communities attractive must be engaged. The long term viability of these communities may depend on a much longer term perspective to economic viability and sustainability.

Question6: The least amount of intrusion in true economic activities may yield the best result, given that the full accounting for damage to the environment, displacement of families, and international production and demand.