

From: dobler@plains.net
Subject: Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 07/07/2005 10:00 PM CDT
Date Sent: 07/07/2005 10:00:22 CDT
Date Received: 07/07/2005 10:01:55 CDT

Email: dobler@plains.net
FirstName: Cheryl
LastName: Dobler
Address1: 23891 Co. Rd 43
Address2:
City: Burlington
State: Colorado
zipcode: 80807

Question1: All trade needs to be fair and safe trade for not only the producers, but for the consumers. If jobs are being exported, then US Dollars are being exported and not enhancing the US economy. Safety has to be the most in order to prevent the spread of any disease that may damage or harm an agricultural enterprise that the US may have now or in the future.

Question2: The farm program payments must have a reasonable limitation. If a farmer wants to farm several thousand acres, that is fine, but the payment limitation should be one individual, no three entity rule as the current regulations allow for. This would decrease the influence on the rental rates and the land value. The limitation should be less than \$50,000 per individual and this would allow for young beginning farmers and ranchers to have a reasonable chance at success. Another factor that will help in the beginning farmer and rancher would be increased funding for the FSA programs that loan funds for operating and real estate purchases.

Question3: Again a limitation of \$ 50,000 will reduce the large farmers with the multi-entity rule and everyone would be on the same level. The corporate farms would be limited to the same payment limitation as any one individual. Those individuals that sign up for the programs must have a major input and risk in that farm in order to qualify for that payment. The income limitation needs to be reduce to \$ 500,000. This would decrease the attraction for outside investment into farm real estate. If an investor wishes to invest, fine, however that investor would not be eligible for any type of payments or assistance from the farm programs.

Question4: Instead of taking highly productive land into CRP, the land that should be allowed into CRP should be the poorer producing land. Any one that sod bust would have to farm that ground without the benefit of program payments for 10 years. We have seen time and time again people that will enroll good farm ground into the CRP program and then purchase grass lands and break those grass lands out and farm them for the program benefits. If the ground that is broken out is not maintained, then the other payments that may be received by that land owner would be reduced for the damage caused from the erosion on that other property.

Question5: By bringing more younger producers back into rural communities and getting those dollars back into the community will reduce the costs to the government and enhance the rural economy. By investing in some infrastructure to enhance the community and enjoy some of the items found in the larger urban areas of this country.

Question6: By investing in the basic research, the farm bill may enhance the uses of the many commodities that are produced in this country and therefore if that commodity can be used here, it does not have to be exported to another country to be used. Bioproducts need to be looked at as environmental safe and better than some of the imported items.