

**From:** "dmulch@willinet.net%inter2" <dmulch@willinet.net>  
**Subject:** Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 09/21/2005 08:57 AM CDT  
**Date Sent:** 09/21/2005 08:57:02 CDT  
**Date Received:** 09/21/2005 08:58:07 CDT

---

Email: dmulch@willinet.net  
FirstName: Dwight  
LastName: Mulch  
Address1: 1701 River Street  
Address2:  
City: Burlington  
State: Iowa  
zipcode: 52601

Question1: The unintended consequences do to the current farm policy plays itself out as free enterprise. In regard to farm land prices let it play itself out. When land prices get to high and profits are not secured - with or without governmental supports the market value will adjust.

Question2: The ability of the farmer to adapt to the changing consumer need, ie new products, should be left to the farmer not supported by the governmental monies. However the promotion of domestic grown products and the trading there of should be supported and included in trade negotiations.

Question3: no comment

Question4: Good land stewardship should be a requirement for receiving governmental farm supports.

The requirements for receiving farm support should be tied to specific long term conservation requirements. Unfortunately it has been shown in the past that when left to the farmer decision few farmers will implement a conservation program on thier own. Instead they tend to use marginal land for production purposes without regard to conservation. Thus I believe that good land stewardship needs to be tied to governmental support give to the farmer.

Question5: Certainly the basic structures of roads, etc needs to be supported through governmental funds - put i believe private enterprises should be given the oppurtunity provide other assistance/technology.

Question6: Only as a support system to private business.