

From: "HFlinker@flinkerinv.com%inter2" <HFlinker@flinkerinv.com>
Subject: Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 09/26/2005 10:32 AM CDT
Date Sent: 09/26/2005 10:32:48 CDT
Date Received: 09/26/2005 10:33:30 CDT

Email: HFlinker@flinkerinv.com

FirstName: Howard

LastName: Flinker

Address1: 225 E. 57th St.

Address2:

City: New York

State: New York

zipcode: 10022

Question1:

Question2: We consumers spend well more that the actual \$20 billion that the USDA itself spends to subsidize uncompetitive farmers. These laws were initiated in The Depression. We are not in The Depression any longer. The expenditures for sugar alone probably amount to an extra tax on the consumers of a few HUNDRED billion dollars as a result of high prices that are passed to the customers. The same applies to soy bean, wheat, milk which is grossly expensive and costs millions of consumers while benefitting only a few farmers. We produce well more than we need while giving gifts to the few. If those farmers cannot compete at a reasonable price, they should not be in business. Do we keep all other industries in "business" with exaggerated subsidies? Not only do we suffer within our borders, by causing those companies or farmers to rely on subsidies, they naturally become lazy. [See the autos and steel and airlines.] That makes them uncompetitive internationally and eliminates jobs that would come with exports. It's about time that we ended those gifts. I get none. Are those citizens more "equal" that I am?

Question3: The subsidies should eliminated entirely to make those companies or farm businesses leaner. By making them leaner they would export more and create more jobs. The subsidies achieve the exact opposite effect. We lose jobs and we spend much more on consumer goods here. The program is a 2-edged disaster if you calmly analyze it.

Question4:

Question5:

Question6: To expand markets eliminate the subsidies. The smart farmers will create new markets both domestically and internationally. By necessity they will do so. That is what they have done in the past when individual subsidies were ended.