

**From:** "judyellis@co.adams.id.us%inter2" <judyellis@co.adams.id.us>  
**Subject:** Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 10/10/2005 11:32 PM CDT  
**Date Sent:** 10/10/2005 11:32:21 CDT  
**Date Received:** 10/10/2005 11:34:03 CDT

---

Email: judyellis@co.adams.id.us

FirstName: Judith

LastName: Ellis

Address1: 2455 Council-Cuprum Rd

Address2:

City: Council

State: Idaho

zipcode: 83612

Question1: Limit farm aid to operations of under \$1,000,000 capitalization, so as not to subsidize corporate farmers.

Question2: It is important to not subsidize crops, such as cotton, that prevent developing nations from entering the market. When developing nations are discouraged or prevented from being able to grow crops that will improve the standard of living of their citizens, it encourages political instability, and possibly totalitarian regimens and terrorism.

Question3: As said before, set a maximum limit on the capitalization of farms receiving subsidies. The corporate farms should not receive subsidies.

Question4: Farm policy should encourage tith producing, and low or no-till programs to preserve productivity and retention of soils. Forest policy should encourage beneficial use of biomass fuels by enabling long term contracts with private and public agencies that want to develop biomass heating and co-gen projects. Such projects are inhibited by the uncertainty of long-term supply.

Question5: Most rural villages and counties lack professional expertise for planning for growth, drafting ordinances that stand up to challenges from entities urban developers, and infrastructure development. USDA grants and loans are irreplaceable for funding these projects, but more help is needed to support funding the competent professional advisors such communities often lack.

Forest impacted communities need assistance in exploring opportunities for utilizing fuel that can be put to beneficial use in heating public facilities, or generating power, that also encourages necessary thinning projects to promote forest health. These projects create employment in often depressed economies, reduce fire danger to forest communities, and promote forest health. As remarked above, these projects also require an increased guarantee of supply. At the present time, forest communities are well aware that a program in place now, will likely change or be abandoned with a change of administration. Local projects dependent on a steady supply of biomass cannot be constructed with this level of uncertainty.

Question6: Once again, address reliability of supply for programs utilizing forest products.

Explore ways of making biodiesel competitive with petrochemical diesel ...up to and including mandating a certain percent of the market for it, and resisting oil company obstruction to implementing the mandate.