

From: "empainc@aol.com%inter2" <empainc@aol.com>
Subject: Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 10/20/2005 02:48 PM CDT
Date Sent: 10/20/2005 02:48:48 CDT
Date Received: 10/20/2005 02:50:29 CDT

Email: empainc@aol.com
FirstName: Glenn
LastName: Schleede
Address1: 18200 Turnberry Drive
Address2:
City: Round Hill
State: Virginia
zipcode: 20141

Question1: For a change, how about considering the adverse impact that current and proposed agriculture policy has on ordinary taxpayers and consumers who are suffering because existing and proposed policies (a) transfer huge amounts of wealth from the pockets of these people "agricultural interests," b) encourage investments that provide little beneficial return, (c) encourage overproduction, and (d) perpetuate federal, state and local bureaucracies and constituency groups that have grown up around unwise farm programs.

Question2: If you would stop unwise federal farm policies, consumer prices would decline and ordinary citizens would have more money to spend in more productive ways.

Question3: You could start by limiting annual federal payments to any recipient to \$100,000, while acting to assure that this limit is not evaded by cute organizational arrangements, structures and gimmicks. Of course, that might limit the campaign contributions to and "agribusiness" stranglehold on far too many members of Congress -- most of whom should be "retired" anyway.

Question4: If you and other federal agencies (e.g., EPA, DOE) would stop handing tax dollars to organizations that lobby Congress and the Executive Branch for unnecessary restrictions, you could develop more cost effective policies and requirements.

Question5: You don't have people who are smart enough to "plan" and "administer" rural economic growth -- and more than the Soviet government had such capability.

You certainly do NOT have the capability to select technology "winners." Your "technology" selections are, inevitably, based on lobbying prowess, not technological merit.

Question6: You could start by recognizing that you are not smart enough to come up with "market decisions" that are better than those that result from free market forces.