



New Hampshire Fish and Game Department

11 Hazen Drive, Concord, NH 03301-6500
Headquarters: (603) 271-3421
Web site: www.wildlife.state.nh.us

TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964
Fax (603) 271-1438
E-mail: info@wildlife.state.nh.us

Lee E. Perry
Executive Director

December 2, 2005

Mike Johanns
Secretary of Agriculture
Farm Bill
1400 Independence Ave., SW
Washington, DC 20250-355

Dear Secretary Johanns;

Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment on the reauthorization of the Farm Bill. As you are aware, Farm Bill conservation programs like the Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program, Wetland Reserve Program, Environmental Quality Incentives Program, Forest Legacy and others have been extremely useful in improving conservation on private farm and forestlands. These voluntary, incentive-based programs provide the framework for "win-win" solutions for farmers, forestland owners, and the public. Given their often tight profit margins, farmers and forestland owners benefit from additional income from these programs while the public benefits by having better water quality and quantity, improved soil quality and wildlife habitat, and more land for outdoor recreation.

The New Hampshire Fish & Game Department strongly supports the reauthorization of all conservation programs at funding levels that will keep them strong and successful. If appropriately funded, these programs can greatly assist states in achieving fish and wildlife conservation objectives, and in implementing strategies of state Wildlife Action Plans, while also promoting and protecting agricultural and forestland production.

Even though these programs have been highly successful, there are a number of ways in which these programs could be modified to improve their effectiveness.

- 1) Make wildlife a coequal priority with soil and water conservation in all phases of farm bill programs. Although wildlife is often cited at the national level as a program goal, wildlife does not always get incorporated into program implementation at the state level (e.g. EQIP). Wildlife is a public resource just like soil and water. As such, wildlife should have the same weighting as soil and water conservation in program eligibility requirements and prioritizing projects for implementation across the country.
- 2) State NRCS offices should make every effort to enter into technical assistance agreements with state fish & wildlife agencies. State fish & wildlife agencies have the statutory authority to manage fish and wildlife resources. They have trained staff with the expertise to assist landowners with planning and implementing habitat projects that will have the most benefit for wildlife. To increase the likelihood of these agreements,


Conserving New Hampshire's wildlife and their habitats since 1865.

the 2007 Farm Bill should significantly reduce the 50% non-federal match requirement to enter into these agreements. At a time when most state fish & wildlife agencies in the country are facing budget shortfalls in the near future, it is nearly impossible for most of them to meet the current match requirement.

- 3) Authorize NRCS to have at least two years to obligate funds. Currently state NRCS offices need to obligate funds during the fiscal year that they are appropriated. Appropriations are often handed down 2-3 months into the fiscal year, and unobligated funds are taken in April and transferred to other states to ensure all funds are used. Quite literally, NRCS offices have only 6-7 months to obligate millions of dollars. This meager time frame does not allow for adequate, thoughtful planning at both the program and project level, especially given existing staffing levels.
- 4) Remove program barriers that prohibit forestland owners from being eligible for assistance. Although the 2002 Farm Bill language does include non-industrial private forestland among eligible lands in most conservation programs, forestlands are not always eligible at the state level. For instance, New Hampshire is the only state in the Northeast that allows forestlands to be enrolled in EQIP. Being that the Northeast is nearly 70% forested, it is imperative that these types of enrollment barriers at the state level be removed.
- 5) Create and authorize funding for a program that provides for cooperative and collaborative monitoring and evaluation of conservation programs. In recent years, more and more politicians have been asking questions such as, "Are Farm Bill Conservation Programs working? Are they accomplishing prescribed goals?" Answering these questions would require science-based monitoring programs at regional and national levels. Yet, under current policies, no Farm Bill monies can be expended to monitor program success.


Farm Bill conservation programs are very important for helping to conserve New Hampshire's fish and wildlife resources. By strengthening funding levels and modifying program policies, these programs can go even further towards maintaining healthy fish and wildlife populations well into the future.

Sincerely;



Charles Bridges
Habitat & Diversity Programs Supervisor

Cc: Sen. Judd Gregg
Sen. John Sununu
Rep. Charles Bass
Rep. Jebb Bradley



New Hampshire
Fish and Game Department

11 HAZEN DRIVE
CONCORD NH 03301-6500
www.wildlife.state.nh.us

**RETURN SERVICE
REQUESTED**

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE
SECRETARIAT, USDA

2005 DEC 30 P 3:00

MIKE JOHANNIS
SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE
FARM BILL
1400 INDEPENDENCE AVE SW
WASHINGTON DC 20250-355



02 1A
0004358879 DEC 20 2005
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 03301



**FIRST CLASS
PRESORTED**

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS POSTAGE AND RETURN SERVICE LABEL IS AUTHORIZED BY POSTAL REGULATIONS SECTION 1113.4

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE