

**From:** "foresterclint88@yahoo.com%inter2" <foresterclint88@yahoo.com>  
**Subject:** Farm Bill 2007 Official Comments - 12/23/2005 09:39 AM CST  
**Date Sent:** 12/23/2005 09:39:01 CST  
**Date Received:** 12/23/2005 09:40:55 CST

---

Email: foresterclint88@yahoo.com

FirstName: Clint

LastName: Patterson

Address1: 4804 E. Dundas Ln.

Address2:

City: Dundas

State: Illinois

zipcode: 62425

Question1: Farm policy; or, more appropriately, government redistribution and meddling since the days of FDR, has CAUSED these problems and these problems will only get worse until government restores our free market and our property rights. In the meantime, these policies only serve to consolidate wealth for the benefit of Big Agriculture corps. and well connected investors.

Question2: Farm policy should cease to exist. Free people making buying decisions according to their free will is the most fair, most free form of trade. Volumes of policy and regulations only confound, confuse, and enrage nations and peoples against one another. Otherwise, tariff wars will result in devastating consequences, as peoples are made dependent on their very food from monopolized sources.

Question3: Good paragraph! Yes, redistribution assistance does result in consolidation of wealth, as I've said earlier. And, yes, it does lead to overproduction and lower market prices. Maybe it's a bad idea then!? Let's UNDESIGN it and let the free market run its course. The result would be success of the smartest and most hard working, rather than the richest clamoring for pork to get even richer, at the expense of the others.

Question4: So, we've screwed up agriculture, now we want to see if we can screw up forestry too!? If it weren't for subsidized land clearing and other subsidies to overproduce, we wouldn't have the degraded soils and water quality in the first place! Let's quit while we're behind, lest we get more behinder, ha ha. Just quit... marginal land will revert back to nature on it's own, for free, while land value will decrease for such acreage, in the absence of subsidies, and make it available for more far-sighted new ownership, for green space, etc..

Question5: Government assistance has never belonged in the same sentence as "effective". It's only effective at creating mercantilistic oligopolies at the expense of the small business owner and family farmer and tax payer. Every time the word "enhance" is seen, it really should say "entrench". Entrenched, expensive, and ineffective bueaucracy is always the result of government managed centralization of anything. Programs should cease to exist to become effective in rural areas... look at the success New Zealand has had.

Question6: All of the listed examples are very good ways for expansion. Skilled and far sighted individuals will make these advances and make money at them if only the government would get out of the way. Government involvement will only result in red tape and subsidies which potentially successful businesses will have to compete with.