

Comment 15 of 25

MR. BELCOURT: Yes. You know, when you sit down, you think of all the other things you should have said. Tony Belcourt. I think another disservice we're doing to tribes is under animal ID underfunding. You know, when you guys come out with the program and you give 30 million to fund this program, but yet yesterday we only heard there's only five tribes that got funded for pilot projects across the United States. That is not very good justice when you have 565 federally recognized tribes. So I think we need to advocate for some more money for the animal identification. Government needs to pay for it. They started this dilemma. Follow through with it.

Right now NRCS, you guys, I think, do five scholarships, pay for some kids to go to colleges for school, and I think it's a pilot program, if I'm not mistaken, but five people? I mean we have got 565 federally recognized tribes. Let's get our native people back to school because we know what we need on Indian lands. We don't need a non-Indian or someone else to come tell us what we need to take care of on our own reservations.

I fully support Zack Ducheneaux's comments about letting tribes compact some of the USDA programs. We already have compacts with other federal agencies, why not include USDA in those compact languages. Tribes are already doing a lot of this work prior to USDA. So instead of re-inventing the wheel, let's just let the tribes compact those programs and move forward. 64 Thank you. Also, MR. Parker, the other thing is the Conservation Security Program, the way it's listed right now, if you don't get in on that watershed, your time is up. We need to expand that language so that it's continued sign-up or some -- tweak the eligibility requirements because as it goes now, tribes ain't going to be able to participate or tribal producers. I thank you.

MR. RACINE: You're not going to the mike, Ann? Next, Russell. Come on.