

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

**In re: EDWARD MARTIN, d/b/a EDWARD MARTIN ORCHARDS, A SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP.
AMAA Docket No. 00-0001.
Order Correcting Decision and Order filed February 15, 2001.**

Brian T. Hill, for Complainant.
Respondent, Pro se.
Order issued by James W. Hunt, Administrative Law Judge.

The "Proposed Decision and Order Upon Admission of Facts by Reason of Default" filed herein on December 7, 2000, is ordered corrected as follows:

1. The caption is changed to read "Decision and Order Upon Admission of Facts by Reason of Default."
2. Paragraph 2 under "Conclusions" is changed to read "By reason of the facts set forth in the Findings of Fact above, the respondent has violated sections 916.11, 916.41, 917.37, 917.6 of the California Nectarine and Peach Orders (7 C.F.R. §§ 916.11, 916.41, 917.37, 917.6.)"

**In re: BIOLA RAISIN COMPANY, CARUTHERS RAISIN PACKING COMPANY, INC., CENTRAL CALIFORNIA PACKING COMPANY, CHOOLJIAN BROS. PACKING COMPANY, CHOOLJIAN & SONS, INC. d/b/a DEL REY PACKING COMPANY, ENOCH PACKING COMPANY, INC., NATIONAL RAISIN COMPANY, SUN-MAID GROWERS OF CALIFORNIA.
01 AMA Docket No. F&V 989-2.
Order Dismissing Petition filed April 10, 2001.**

Gregroy Cooper, for Complainant.
Charles C. Manock, Fresno, CA, for Respondent.
Order issued by James W. Hunt, Administrative Law Judge.

On March 26, 2001, Petitioners filed a "Dismissal of Petition to Modify Raisin Marketing Order Provisions/Regulations and/or Petition to the Secretary of Agriculture to Set Final Reserve Percentages of Natural Seedless Raisins and Zante Currant Raisins Pursuant to 7 C.F.R. § 989.54(d) Due to Unreasonable Delays in Setting Field Price and/or to Exempt Petitioners from Various Provisions in the Raisin Marketing Order and any Obligations Imposed in Connection Therewith that are not in Accordance with Law [7 U.S.C. § 608c(15)(a); 7 C.F.R. § 989.1 *et seq.*; 7 C.F.R. § 989.54(d)]. On March 30, 2001, Respondent filed a "Motion to Dismiss Petition."

Petitioners' "Dismissal" shall be considered a request to withdraw its Petition under § 900.53 of the Rules of Practice (7 C.F.R. § 900.53). Petitioners' request and Respondent's motion are granted. Accordingly, the Petition is dismissed.