VIRTUAL CONSULTATION
Registration: Click to register

AGENDA

2-3:00 pm ET  TRIBAL CAUCUS - Hosted by National Center for American Enterprise Indian Development and the Native Community Development Financial Institution Network

3-5:30 pm ET  TRIBAL CONSULTATION & LISTENING SESSION:
3:00-4:30  Consultation (Tribal government officials)
4:30-5:30  Listening Session (Open to Indian Country speakers)

Mission Areas: Rural Development (RD)
Agencies within the Mission Area: Rural Housing Service, Rural Utility Service, Rural Business Cooperative Service

Introduction/Consulting Officials:
- Basil Gooden, Undersecretary, Rural Development
Background. Since 2021, the USDA has had robust discussions in the Rural Tribal Economic Development Tribal consultations. We are pleased to share we have made significant progress on these priorities:

**Progress Updates:**
- **USDA Tribal Barriers Removed:** Progress Report, Spring 2024
- **USDA-Wide Tribal Accomplishments,** Tribal Nations Summit Report, Winter 2023

2024 Tribal Barriers Topics:
1. **Improve USDA’s Recognition of Tribal Jurisdiction and Sovereignty Over Tribal Lands**
2. **Clarify and Improve Eligibility for Tribal-Owned Entities for USDA Programs**
3. **Improve Access to USDA Economic Development Financing**
4. **Unmet Budget Needs**

1. **Improve USDA’s Recognition of Tribal Jurisdiction and Sovereignty Over Tribal Lands**

What We Heard from Tribes in Prior Consultations: Tribes generally maintain jurisdictional authority over their lands, which USDA has not consistently recognized historically when financing infrastructure-related projects. USDA’s requirements for granting preference to pre-existing borrowers redirects funding Tribal governments could otherwise use to finance projects they own and operate in serving their members.

Examples of Progress Made to Date: USDA Rural Development (RD) has integrated a set of fundamental principles into its infrastructure programs to better respect Tribal sovereignty. Through specific program amendments in the ReConnect Broadband Program and the Electric Programs, RD implemented the following Tribal-specific provisions.

**Broadband: ReConnect Broadband Program – Rounds 4 & 5**
- Require non-tribal applicants proposing to serve Tribal lands to submit Tribal Resolutions of Consent.
- Allow Tribes to self-certify that broadband service is not available on Tribal lands.
- Establish Tribal set-aside of funds for projects serving Tribal lands, with no matching funds requirement. Colonias, persistent poverty areas, and socially vulnerable communities were also able to compete for this funding.

**Electricity: Electric Program Streamlining Final Rule**
- Required non-tribal applicants proposing to serve Tribal lands to submit Tribal Resolutions of Support.
- Explicitly required non-tribal borrowers to adhere to Tribal laws on Tribal lands.
**Tribal Input Needed:** Over the last year, specific operational challenges have occurred, particularly in Alaska and Oklahoma, where Tribal lands and related jurisdictional issues are unique. Additionally, other Federal Agencies that are administering Tribal specific programs may have the authority to define Tribal lands more broadly.

- **Q:** How should USDA recognize where Tribes have regulatory jurisdiction through a definition of Tribal lands in the ReConnect Broadband and Electric programs? (Note: This definition is used for the purposes of requiring resolutions of consent for non-tribal applicants and providing flexibility to Tribal governments in self-certifying existing utility infrastructure service.)

- **Q:** How should the definition of “Tribal lands” address the unique legal and jurisdictional situations of Tribes in Oklahoma and Alaska?

- **Q:** Should Tribal government applicants receive USDA subsidies in broadband set-asides even when their project is not servicing “Tribal lands”? (Note: (a) Tribal ability to self-certify if their lands are being served, and (b) the requirement of tribal resolutions of consent for non-Tribal applicants would still be dependent on location on tribal lands where the tribe maintains regulatory jurisdiction.)

- **Q:** How should RD define Tribal lands for Tribes that have very little, or no, Tribal trust lands nor clear Reservation boundaries?

- **Q:** What geospatial data sets/layers are available that can be used to accurately represent Tribal lands in online mapping tools for the respective purposes of requiring Tribal consent, Tribal self-certification of no pre-existing service, and Tribal set-aside eligibility?

- **Q:** How can USDA better collaborate with other Federal agencies (e.g., BIA, FCC, NTIA, Treasury) to ensure a consistent and fair treatment of Tribal lands is implemented within the statutory differences afforded each program?

## 2. CLARIFY AND IMPROVE ELIGIBILITY FOR TRIBAL-OWNED ENTITIES FOR USDA PROGRAMS

**What We Heard from Tribes in Prior Consultations:** Tribal nations have no tax base and often participate in the private marketplace through corporations, arms/instrumentalities, and related enterprise structures to generate governmental revenue. Therefore, Tribal-owned corporations, businesses, and enterprises are hybrids and are both governmental in nature and “commercial.” This nuance presents challenges in accessing USDA programs that customarily distinguish between commercial and essential governmental projects.

### A. RURAL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANT (RBDG)

**Examples of Progress Made to Date:** Issued rule in the Rural Business Development Grant (RBDG) program that clarified that Tribal applicant eligibility includes Tribal-owned arms and instrumentalities. Codified clarification in RBDG regulation, which clarified and removed conflict of interests between Tribes and Tribally-owned enterprises. Added language to the “small and emerging” definition of the RBDG enterprise portion of the program, which clarified the Agency must only view the Tribal entity’s assets when determining eligibility as an ultimate recipient in the program. These direct changes eliminated barriers to Tribes participating in RBDG regular program and Tribal set-aside funding. 1) Issued RBDG proposed rule in the Federal
Register. 2) Conducted consultation on RBDG proposed rule. 3) Issued RBDG final rule in the Federal Register.

B. COMMUNITY FACILITIES PROGRAMS

Examples of Progress Made to Date: Analyzed the current Community Facilities (CF) Direct Loan and Grant regulation and is considering clarifying the regulation, including topics which may incorporate responses to prior Tribal feedback, such as:
  o Increasing Tribal applicant eligibility to include Tribal-owned arms and instrumentalities.
  o Considering the use of the population of the Tribal trust land, based on the most recent decennial census of the United States, to determine the eligible rural area even if the Tribal trust land where the project is located is within the boundaries of a city or town.
  o Updating Indian Preference/TERO guidance.

Tribal Input Needed: USDA RD seeks Tribal input as we analyze and think about favorable changes in the Community Facilities program and to increase Tribal applicant eligibility across RD’s programs through the following discussion area:
  • Q: Do the proposed amendments to the Community Facilities Direct Loan and Grant address the challenges as documented in previous consultations?

C. RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM (REAP).

Examples of Progress Made to Date: The REAP program provides guaranteed loan financing and grant funding to agricultural producers and rural small businesses for renewable energy systems (RES) or to make energy efficiency improvements (EEI). Due to the statutory and regulatory limitations regarding eligible applicants, Tribes themselves are not eligible to apply directly for REAP RES and EEI funding except for Tribal electric utilities. The REAP regulation clarifies that Tribal Section 17 corporations and similarly structured Tribal nation corporations and agriculture operations are eligible for REAP EEI and RES grant and guaranteed loan funding.

Examples of Progress Made to Date:
  • Increased the federal grant share to a maximum of 50 percent for EEI and RES projects submitted by eligible Tribal entities and funded through the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA).
  • All eligible applicants were able to request larger grants ($500,000 for Energy Efficiency Improvement (EEI) projects and $1 million for Renewable Energy System (RES) projects).

Tribal Input Needed: USDA RD seeks Tribal input as we analyze and think about favorable changes to increase Tribal applicant eligibility across RD’s programs through the following discussion areas:
  • Q: What other strategies for optimizing Tribal applicant eligibility in the REAP program should USDA consider?
  • Q: Does the REAP IRA funding and related authorities help Tribal applicants access the REAP program? If so, what can be implemented permanently to ensure Tribal access to the program?
  • Q: What type of outreach and technical assistance do you recommend to optimize REAP Program awareness and opportunities for Tribal small businesses and agriculture producers?
  • Q: Do you have any additional recommendations regarding the REAP EEI/RES program?
3. **IMPROVE ACCESS TO USDA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FINANCING**

**What We Heard from Tribes in Prior Consultation:** USDA faces challenges in delivering much needed financing for infrastructure, economic development, and housing in Tribal areas. Some of these challenges relate to staff training to underwrite and secure loans on Tribal trust lands. USDA Rural Development loan and grant applications require considerable time, effort and in some cases financial resources (i.e. hiring grant writers) to complete. Statutory and regulatory language specifying what data can be utilized to determine project eligibility has presented challenges to Tribes and Tribal applicants when applying for RD program assistance.

**Examples of Progress Made to Date:**
- RD is at the early stages of launching an initiative to improve the grant application process for applicants across RD programs. The initial focus will be on equipment-only grants and technical assistance grants.
- Launched a virtual Tribal training process for RD staff in October of 2022 to train staff on the Tribal responsibilities and commitments outlined in the RD Tribal Policy Statement. Most recent training was provided to RD staff in March 2024.
- Launched the RD Tribal Obligations Dashboard for RD staff to utilize in October of 2022. RD uses the dashboard internally for Tribal reporting needs. The dashboard also better tracks, in real time, where RD has made Tribal obligations over the last decade and where we can better target geographic and Tribal specific funding gaps.

**Tribal Input Needed:**

**A. Paperwork and Required Forms**
Please offer specific suggestions that will help us reduce or refine USDA’s application requirements for Tribes and Tribal applicants.
- **Q:** What USDA application requirements and required forms have provided challenges in accessing USDA’s economic development programs?
- **Q:** Are there program requirements that do not apply to Tribes that we should remove?
- **Q:** Are there program requirements that should be clarified to better address Tribal specific circumstances?

**B. Tribal Training for RD Staff**
RD will provide additional Tribal training throughout FY24 and beyond.
- **Q:** What type of Tribal specific training do you think will be the most effective for RD staff?

**C. Data and Program Eligibility**
- **Q:** What data challenges related to program and grant eligibility has your Tribe faced when trying to utilize USDA’s economic development programs?
- **Q:** Do you have any suggestions or recommendations regarding regulatory changes, or statutory clarification, regarding data sources for Tribal project eligibility?
- **Q:** What types of data do Tribes maintain that they would be willing to share through a project application? (Please note this data may then become part of the federal record if we award the project.) Conversely, what type of application-related data do Tribes maintain that is particularly sensitive?
4. **Budget Input: E.O. 14112 Unmet Budget Needs**

**Background:** In December 2023, the President signed EO 14112, “Reforming Federal Funding and Support for Tribal Nations To Better Embrace Our Trust Responsibilities and Promote the Next Era of Tribal Self-Determination.” The EO directs federal agencies to lean into flexibility in support of increased Tribal self-determination. The EO also directs all federal agencies to report to the White House what unmet budget needs for supporting Tribes. While USDA has time to report back, we want to begin the conversation now on unmet budget needs.

**Tribal Input Needed:**

**Q:** What unmet budget needs do you want to flag for USDA?