



NOTICE OF GRANT AND AGREEMENT AWARD

1. Award Identifying Number NR233A750004G030	2. Amendment Number	3. Award /Project Period Date of final signature - 04/30/2026	4. Type of award instrument: Grant Agreement
5. Agency (Name and Address) USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities c/o FPAC-BC Grants and Agreements Division 1400 Independence Ave SW, Room 3236 Washington, DC 20250 Direct all correspondence to FPAC.BC.GAD@usda.gov		6. Recipient Organization (Name and Address) LINCOLN UNIVERSITY 820 CHESTNUT STREET JEFFERSON CITY MO 65102-0029 UEI Number / DUNS Number: JLLJP4TQ9HM7 / 071970164 EIN:	
7. NRCS Program Contact Name: MUSTAPHA ABOUALI	8. NRCS Administrative Contact Name: MICHELE DEVANEY	9. Recipient Program Contact Name: Babu Valliyodan	10. Recipient Administrative Contact Name: Regina Anderson
(b)(6)			
11. CFDA 10.937	12. Authority 15 USC 714 et seq	13. Type of Action New Agreement	14. Program Director Name: Babu Valliyodan (b)(6)
15. Project Title/ Description: The project expands markets for climate-smart Hemp in Missouri and supports farmers implementation and monitoring of climate-smart practices.			
16. Entity Type: T = Historically Black Colleges and Universities			
17. Select Funding Type			
Select funding type:	☒ Federal		☐ Non-Federal
Original funds total	\$5,000,000.00	\$0.00	
Additional funds total	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Grand total	\$5,000,000.00	\$0.00	
18. Approved Budget			

Personnel	\$949,726.00	Fringe Benefits	\$305,005.00
Travel	\$60,000.00	Equipment	\$380,319.00
Supplies	\$642,000.00	Contractual	\$607,689.00
Construction	\$0.00	Other	\$2,055,261.00
Total Direct Cost	\$4,749,054.00	Total Indirect Cost	\$250,946.00
		Total Non-Federal Funds	\$0.00
		Total Federal Funds Awarded	\$5,000,000.00
		Total Approved Budget	\$5,000,000.00

This agreement is subject to applicable USDA NRCS statutory provisions and Financial Assistance Regulations. In accepting this award or amendment and any payments made pursuant thereto, the undersigned represents that he or she is duly authorized to act on behalf of the awardee organization, agrees that the award is subject to the applicable provisions of this agreement (and all attachments), and agrees that acceptance of any payments constitutes an agreement by the payee that the amounts, if any, found by NRCS to have been overpaid, will be refunded or credited in full to NRCS.

Name and Title of Authorized Government Representative KATINA HANSON Acting Senior Advisor for Climate-Smart Commodities	Signature KATINA HANSON Digitally signed by KATINA HANSON Date: 2023.04.18 16:13:48 -05'00'	Date
Name and Title of Authorized Recipient Representative JOHN MOSELEY (b)(6)	Signature 	Date 4/17/23

NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

The above statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. Section 522a).

Statement of Work

Purpose

The purpose of this agreement, between the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and Lincoln University of Missouri (Recipient), is to build markets for climate-smart commodities and invest in America's climate-smart producers to strengthen U.S. rural and agricultural communities.

Objectives

The objectives of this project are to support the production and marketing of climate-smart commodities by providing voluntary incentives to producers and landowners, including early adopters, to implement climate-smart agricultural production practices, activities, and systems on working lands; measure/quantify, monitor and verify the carbon and greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits associated with those practices; and develop markets and promote the resulting climate-smart commodities.

Budget Narrative

The official budget summarized below and described in the attached Budget Narrative will be considered the total budget as last approved by the Federal awarding agency for this award.

Amounts included in this budget narrative are estimates. Reimbursement or advance liquidations will be based on actual expenditures, not to exceed the amount obligated.

TOTAL BUDGET \$5,000,000

TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS \$5,000,000

PERSONNEL \$759,781

FRINGE BENEFITS \$244,004

TRAVEL \$60,000

EQUIPMENT \$380,319

SUPPLIES \$642,000

CONTRACTUAL \$607,689

CONSTRUCTION \$0

OTHER \$2,055,261 (includes \$300,000 PRODUCER INCENTIVES)

TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$4,749,054

INDIRECT COSTS \$250,946

TOTAL NON-FEDERAL FUNDS \$0

PERSONNEL \$0

FRINGE BENEFITS \$0

TRAVEL \$0

EQUIPMENT \$0

SUPPLIES \$0

CONTRACTUAL \$0

CONSTRUCTION \$0

OTHER \$0

PRODUCER INCENTIVES \$0

TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$0

INDIRECT COSTS \$0

Recipient has an approved Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with a rate of 43 percent On Campus and 25 percent Off Campus, and a base of direct salaries and wages, including fringe benefits (\$1,003,785). Recipient has elected to voluntarily waive a portion of indirect costs by using the 25 percent Off Campus rate.

The indirect cost rate used to calculate the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center subawardee budget in this agreement budget is based on the subawardee's proposal for a negotiated indirect costs rate agreement (NICRA). The subawardee shall not request reimbursement for, and the Government shall not pay indirect costs, until the NICRA is finalized. In addition, the subawardee cannot use any indirect costs to meet any cost share requirements until the NICRA is finalized. Once the NICRA is finalized, the subawardee may request reimbursement and the Government shall pay indirect costs accrued during the agreement period of performance at the rate specified in the NICRA, provided it does not exceed the rate used in the agreement budget and for which funds were obligated. If the rate specified in the NICRA exceeds the

rate used to calculate the agreement budget, the Government may at its discretion pay the difference if appropriated funds are available and the agreement is amended to obligate the additional funds. If a NICRA is not successfully negotiated and established within 90 days of the agreement period of performance end date, the subawardee cannot charge any indirect costs.

When equipment is purchased with Federal funds it must be used until no longer needed as described in the General Terms and Conditions and 2 CFR 200. If the residual value of the equipment is \$5,000 or more at the time it is no longer needed, the recipient must request disposition instructions. The disposition instructions may direct the recipient to: 1) sell the equipment and return a proportionate share of the proceeds to the Federal agency; 2) transfer title to another eligible entity identified by the Federal agency; or 3) keep the equipment if desired and compensate the Federal agency for its proportionate share of the value.

Responsibilities of the Parties:

If inconsistencies arise between the language in this Statement of Work (SOW) and the General Terms and Conditions attached to the agreement, the language in this SOW takes precedence.

RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Perform the work and produce the deliverables as outlined in this Statement of Work and attachments.

Ensure Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) clearance is obtained prior to conducting data collection from producers or other project participants, including data collection performed by subrecipients.

Comply with the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions.

Submit reports and payment requests to the ezFedGrants system as outlined in the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions. Reporting frequency is as follows:

Performance Reports: Quarterly

SF425 Financial Reports: Quarterly

Detailed Progress Report: Quarterly

(The detailed progress report is in addition to the performance and financial reports referenced above and described in the general terms and conditions)

Expected Accomplishments and Deliverables

See attached Benchmarks and associated Project Narrative.

Resources Required

See the Responsibilities of the Parties section for required resources, if applicable.

Milestones

See attached Benchmarks and associated Project Narrative.

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Please reference the below link(s) for the General Terms and Conditions pertaining to this award:

<https://www.fpacbc.usda.gov/about/grants-and-agreements/award-terms-and-conditions/index.html>

Attachments:

Budget Narrative

Project Narrative

Benchmarks Table

Climate-Smart Practices List and Limitations

Data Dictionary

Climate-Smart Specific Terms and Conditions

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Modified proposal for the adjusted Lincoln University Climate Smart Grant Award.

This is the modified project narrative resulting from negotiations with the USDA-NRCS, which were held November 17, 2022. The originally proposed project budget was \$41,061,315 for five years, but after the meeting it was determined that we needed to reduce the scope of the proposal and associated budget not to exceed \$5 million. During the reduction of the scope of the proposal our goal was to maintain the projected level of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) reduction within the original proposal. To maintain the projected reductions while reducing the overall scope of the project we considered additional funding matches, lowering overhead costs, cost share amounts, and other options such as reducing the project duration to three years. In the modified proposal the project objectives are the same with a focus on developing a scalable pipeline for hemp as climate smart commodity including generating technologies, greenhouse gas reduction, benefits to small and underserved producers, and other requirements/criteria as described in the funding opportunity. This pipeline helps to develop climate smart commodities and can be scaled up to achieve the maximum greenhouse gas benefits through future funding from potential stakeholders including the same program and or any other state or federal agencies.

Section I. Executive Summary

A. Contact Information

Lead (b)(6)
 (b)(6) Lincoln University of Missouri, Jefferson City, MO 65101. (b)(6)
 (b)(6)

B. List of Project Partners

University partners: Donald Danforth Plant Science Research Center, St. Louis, MO; Oklahoma State University, OK; Prairie View A&M University, TX; St. Louis University, MO; University of Missouri, MO.

Commodity farm organization partners: National Hemp Association.

NGO partners: Missouri Farmers Union, Missouri Organic Association, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NCAAP), Oklahoma Black Historical Research Project, Inc, ShowMe State Hemp Association

Agency partners: Missouri Department of Agriculture. NRCS Missouri office.

Agribusiness partners: Benchmark Design, DTE Materials, GPC group, HempWood, Midwest Natural Fiber, New West Genetics, REA Resource Recovery Systems, Rockwater, Renaissance Fiber.

C. List of underserved/minority-focused project partners

Lincoln University – Lincoln University of Missouri (LU) is a historically Black, 1890 land-grant, public, comprehensive institution that provides excellent educational opportunities including theoretical and applied learning experiences to a diverse population within a nurturing, student-centered environment. The mission of the College of Agriculture, Environmental and Human Sciences (CAEHS) is to enhance the quality of life of diverse, limited-resource audiences in Missouri, through supportive research-based education and engagement at the local, state, regional, national, and international levels. CAEHS programs address the needs of small farmers

throughout the state of Missouri using educators in both Urban Impact Centers and outreach centers throughout Missouri. Using Specialists located on Lincoln's main campus and across the state, the CAEHS facilitates Extension and outreach programs covering essential subject areas that are relevant and positively impact those living in Missouri.

Prairie View A&M University, TX - As the first Historically Black College and University (HBCU) in Texas, Prairie View A&M continues to be a state-assisted, public, comprehensive land grant institution of higher education. It seeks to invest in programs and services that address issues and challenges affecting the diverse ethnic and socioeconomic population of Texas, as well as similar populations across the country and throughout the world.

Missouri Farmers Union – The target audience of the Farmers Union includes small and underserved farmers with the goal of providing substantive support to this historically marginalized groups. It accomplishes this through collaborating with Black farmer's associations and Native American tribal governments to encourage environmentally sustainable agricultural practices and bringing more new farmers towards these goals.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NCAAP) work for justice, equity and equality and ensuring the Black lives area priority in all spaces. The education and outreach activities focus on environmental injustice, including the proliferation of climate change, systematically impacts communities of color and low-income communities in the U.S. and around the world.

Oklahoma Black Historical Research Project, Inc is a community-based organization in Oklahoma, and have a special interest in engaging limited resource farmers in hemp production.

D. Compelling need for the project

Introduction: Annual cropping systems including cover crops present opportunities for carbon sequestration that have yet to be exploited and are urgently needed to minimize the degree of global warming and climate change. In addition to reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, active atmospheric CO₂ removal strategies, also called Negative Emissions Technologies (NETs) are needed to supplement aggressive fossil fuel reductions to attain net CO₂ reductions and avoid the most damaging climate change outcomes (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2019). NET CO₂ removal technologies need to be implemented now and ramp up to levels about 10 Gt CO₂ per year by 2050, and 20 Gt CO₂ per year by 2100 (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2019). Among NET for CO₂ removal, soil carbon (C) sequestration is the least expensive and most ready to scale in the next decades (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2019). Current US cropping systems use genetics that were not designed to minimize GHG emissions nor to maximize C sequestration, yet heritable genetic variation for these traits exists in many crops. In addition, agricultural soils experienced well documented decreases in soil C over the last century (Davidson and Akerman 1993) and can sequester all the CO₂ currently in the atmosphere (Cias et al. 2013).

A major, unaddressed problem for sustainability and GHG emissions in annual cropping systems is excess nitrogen (N) in the form of synthetic fertilizer (Northrup et al. 2021), which leaches into groundwater, rivers, and oceans and into the atmosphere as N₂O, a GHG with an effect size ~ 300X that of CO₂ (IPCC 2007). An obvious example is ethanol production from maize grain

(aka corn), where N is responsible for >80% of GHG emissions overall (Kim et al. 2014). To fix this problem, we need to improve both Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) and greatly reduce N input. Getting farmers to reduce N input in current crops is a much greater challenge. For one, over fertilizing every other year is the well-established management practice of the corn soy rotation that encompasses 73 million hectares of farmland in the US. Although soybean is a nitrogen fixing species, in modern cropping systems, high yielding soy crops require hundreds of kg N ha (Salvagiotti et al. 2008). In the US, the corn soy rotation is highly subsidized by direct payment to farmers as well as mandates on using ethanol from fermentation of maize grain and biodiesel from transesterification of soy lipids.

Most efforts in using annual cropping systems for soil C sequestration have focused on changes in management that were originally designed for soil health (Ogle et al. 2019), such as reduced tillage, greater residue retention and cover crops that are designed to increase amount of above ground plant biomass left in the field per unit area per year (McClelland et al. 2020). Most of the published studies of effect of management on soil C are limited to the top 30cm of soil, which is where most of the C inputs are expected (Ogle et al. 2019). However, this top 30 cm is also the least durable soil C and can respire back into CO₂ in a few years. Getting soil C inputs deeper into the soil is needed to achieve greater and more durable C sequestration in agricultural systems (Paustian et al. 2016) and will require genetic changes in crops.

Hemp is an ideal annual crop for carbon negative supply chains for food, feed, fiber, and fuel. Hemp has lower N requirements and create deeper, more massive root systems that can make annual biomass feedstock production C negative (Paustian et al. 2016). Hemp has another key trait for C sequestration, population density, where > 500k individuals per hectare leads to more root systems and greater C input. In addition to the existing grain and fiber crops introduction of enhanced roots hemp genetics will improve soil function, soil health and agroecosystem sustainability and resilience and will contribute to other environment benefits (e.g., water quality). Active atmospheric CO₂ removal strategies are needed to supplement aggressive fossil fuel reductions to attain net CO₂ reductions and avoid the most damaging climate change outcomes. Of potential technologies for CO₂ removal, using annual cropping systems for soil C sequestration is the least expensive and most environmentally friendly, with near-term technological readiness to go to scale. Adoption of hemp crops with enhanced root phenotypes in annual cover crop and conservation cropping systems is one of the most promising and scalable technologies. Hemp puts 3,200kg of roots per hectare per year in the soil (Amaducci et al 2008), or 1,280 kg of Carbon.

Hemp provides an unparalleled opportunity towards solutions for lowering the carbon intensity of fuel and fiber production. Opportunities for feed and food is just around the corner. The compelling need and approach for this project is based on the capacity of industrial hemp as a cover crop and/or as conservation crop rotation to fix and sequester carbon and both low carbon fuel production and sustainable low carbon building materials on ground that is not part of the food supply chain. So, hemp is not to replace the existing soybean and maize production which is having major roles in global food and feed supply. Hemp can be grown on existing agricultural land and can be included as part of a farm's crop rotation with positive effects on overall yields of follow-on crops. For example, approximately 20M acres of hard red winter wheat (HRWW) is grown utilizing a fallow-wheat rotation. Because of this cropping system, every year ~20M acres of productive farmland are not utilized completely. In fact, Hemp produced on fallow wheat ground can replace the lost petroleum imported from abroad and provide a negative CO₂ carbon

intensity score. Proliferate and deeper root system architecture, and significant water and nutrient use efficiency, of this crop combined with enhanced atmospheric carbon removal and carbon storage in the ground through superior genetics (e.g., frost tolerant double yield) developed by the team endorse industrial hemp as a net negative GHG commodity. The climate smart agricultural practices implemented through this project will meet the NRCS practice standards such as Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340. Cover Crop (340) is also applied with practices such as Conservation Crop Rotation (328). Active participation of farmers including the minority, small, underrepresented farmers from the project geographical locations in the state of Missouri will strengthen the plan to pilot climate smart agricultural practices. Overall, the public-private partnership including the hemp fuel and fiber processing and industrial hemp supply chain help build markets and strengthen U.S. rural agricultural communities.

The proposed project will open a clear path to commercialize hemp crops that can drive soil C sequestration, climate resilience, and efficient resource use in U.S. agriculture. Scaling up the supply chain with genetics designed to be carbon negative is the most scalable and auditable perturbation that can be made to Ag production. Increasing soil organic matter contents will improve soil function, soil health and agroecosystem sustainability and resilience and will contribute to other environment benefits (e.g., water quality). Our project will provide effective valuation and monetization of environmental services, including CO₂ removal via implementation of new genetics and management practices to increase sustainability of hemp as an annual crop in the US. Using the results from our long-term soil C experiment validating the effect of root trait genetics on soil C, we will represent root genetics in the MEMS 2.0 ecosystem and compare the results of the MEMS 2.0 model to the COMET-Farm model that does not have any parameters that account for genetic differences in roots. The system is used to support USDA farm programs as well as for ‘C-footprint’ estimates for ag industry lifecycle analysis and for soil C sequestration and GHG quantification in emerging commercial carbon market systems. Long term deliverables also include carbon farming budgeting tools and fact sheets. These will be disseminated by Lincoln Univ. extension and agronomist training and partnership field days.

E. Approach to minimize transaction costs associated with project activities

The project partners have approaches to reduce variable transaction costs associated with the project activities. The Lincoln University Hemp Institute has existing structure collaborating with the producers’ providing seeds, services including assisting with planting and harvest along with providing standard operating procedure and land fee, and market analysis to identify the market to sell their products. Also, the fuel and fiber products will be shipped directly to the processing centers from the farm by the respective project partners. CPC (Commodity Plus Carbon), the proposal partner, contracts are designed to offer cost-effective hedging opportunities for ag suppliers and for industries in the ag supply chain. This automated streamline strategy takes advantage of the transparency and price discovery which currently exists in the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and results in minimal transaction costs. CPC contracts can also be traded among interested parties outside of the CME. CPC contracts contain premium pricing for emission reductions. Rather than have a string of contracts and transaction fees to collect carbon credits and then to sell or swap them, CPC emission levels are incorporated into the listed price of the CPC contract.

F. Approach to reduce producer barriers to implementing CSAF practices for the purpose of marketing climate-smart commodities.

To reduce producer barriers to implementing CSAF practices the grant participants will capitalize on the work Lincoln University Hemp Institute conducts by collaborating in a variety of producer programs and small and minority farmers outreach programs. These producer programs will ensure that the commodities are grown using climate smart CSAF practices for the purpose of marketing climate-smart hemp commodities. For example, development of superior seed genetics providing best practices for hemp production and technoeconomic analysis of hemp crop production through farmer training and educational programs will help reduce producer barriers. Moreover, the project will support the small and minority and underrepresented farmers by paying the farmer incentives, providing seeds free of cost, and helping to implement NRCS crop production practices.

The farmers will be paid incentive for growing hemp per acre (\$100) as part of the farmer recruitment. Also, availability of superior seed genetics for better plant performances and double yield will help reduce producer barriers. Partnership with the Missouri Farmers Union can influence the producers through the farmers union extension and outreach activities. Also, to ensure a reliable supply of hemp fiber and grain grown using CSAF growing practices the partnering industries will buy the farmers products which in turn provide a reliable supply to market to the fiber and fuel industry. The products are remarkably diverse, ranging from biodiesel and sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) to textiles, building materials, rebar for roads, bridges, culverts, and exquisite furniture. In the future, large scale commercial production and massive carbon reductions occurs and the Climate Plus Carbon (CPC) contract will provide financial returns to producers who grow climate smart hemp.

G. Geographic Focus

One of the focuses of the proposed project is to recruit more farmland and farmers for maximizing carbon sequestration. The winter wheat seeded area for the year 2022 is expected to total 34.4 million acres, up 2% from 2021 and 13% from 2020 and is grown utilizing a fallow-wheat rotation. Because of this cropping system, every year more than 20 M acres of productive farmland is not fully utilized. To efficiently use these resources to add to the carbon smart agriculture production strategies industrial hemp for fiber and grain needs to be added as part of the crop rotation. In the original proposal maximum winter wheat producing acreage from Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas, were targeted. But due to the significant budget reduction, with the modified scope of the adjusted proposal we will be targeting the winter wheat producing acreage from Missouri only. The current modified project will use this approach to develop a scalable pipeline of climate smart production practices of hemp through the 3-year project duration. The other states will be targeted in the future to achieve the maximum impact on GHG reduction either through the project renewal request, applying for new funding from the same program, or with the support from any other public-private stakeholder funding or through a combination of these entities. The production practices will be established in Missouri and GHG monitoring, and quantification will also be conducted.

H. Project management capacity of partners, including a description of existing relationship with and/or prior experience working with producers or landowners, promoting climate-smart activities and marketing climate-smart commodities.

The project will be managed through the Lincoln University Hemp Institute at the College of Ag. Env. and Human Sciences, Cooperative extension and Research, Lincoln University of Missouri. Dr. Babu Valliyodan, Chair, Lincoln University Hemp Institute, will be the Lead project Administrator and Project Director. (b)(6) is a crop genetics and sustainable agriculture scientist with more than 15 years of research, outreach, and administrative experience plus federal and, state and commodity organization grants experience. (b)(6) will be assisted by (b)(6); (b)(4); Lincoln University and state extension specialist in extension and outreach activities.

All team members are experienced professionals in their field of expertise. Genetics play a key role in the enterprise's success. The Lincoln University Hemp Institute is a leading hemp research, extension and education institution ideally positioned to implement, monitor, and validate the best hemp genetics produced with agriculture production practices that can guarantee the development and adoption of Climate-Smart Hemp commodities. The Lincoln University Hemp Institute is leading the program on development of industrial hemp for the Midwest and the Missouri state is providing high appreciation and possible support to the program (see letters of support from MO legislatures and Lieutenant Governor). New West Genetics have proven genetics for double yield. GPC contracts will aid in both price discovery and reduced transaction costs throughout the supply chain. Midwest Natural Fiber is the first hemp fiber processing company in Missouri with strategic customers and will be implementing their business model to open regional processing centers in the participating states as the project progresses. Benchmark Design is a leading developer of advanced biofuels plants which utilize the most efficient manufacturing processes to create low carbon advanced biofuels. Their customers are the largest fuel retailers in the trucking industry and off-take agreements are waiting to be filled by advanced biofuels from hemp.

Section II. Plan to pilot climate-smart agriculture practices on a large scale,

The overall aim of the proposed project is to establish CSA practices and commercialize hemp crops that can drive soil C sequestration, climate resilience, and efficient resource use in U.S. agriculture.

A. A description of CSAF practices to be deployed

Industrial hemp can be used for bioenergy production as an alternative to fossil fuels to capture and utilize carbon, with applications in various markets at high values. Industrial hemp can complement many energy crops in global markets as a feedstock for many bioenergy products with solid hemp yielding 100 GJ/ha/y, allowing for economical emissions reductions for example in coal/biochar blends that can reduce emissions by 10%, and in co-production of bioethanol and grain, generating \$2632/ha/y (Parvez et al. 2021). All these observations suggest large scale production of industrial hemp as one of the carbon smart agricultural practices.

The ability of farmers and rural societies to adapt to major changes is crucial to maintain an adequate global food and fuel supply. We will recruit more acreages and farmers from the winter wheat production areas of MO. Farmers involvement in any other USDA conservation programs or their involvement in any other climate smart project will be screened to avoid duplication of payment and efforts. The Lincoln University Hemp Institute has established standard operating procedures for the hemp cultivation and the project partner New West genetics have developed frost tolerant double yield genetics for the establishment of high value crop production. Initially,

this scalable variety seed will be used to produce reliably consistent products that are foundational for the development of a sustainable hemp supply chain in the US. The farmers will receive incentives on a per acre basis for planting including the ‘free of cost’ seeds. Multiple training sessions/workshops will be conducted for the potential growers at several locations across the state, and in collaboration with the Missouri Farmers Union, Missouri department of agriculture and the NRCS Missouri office. This workshop will help equip the producers for the field production logistics including land preparation, planting, maintenance, and harvesting. The LU team along with the industrial partners will work with farmers to implement climate-smart production practices, activities, and systems on working lands with industrial hemp fiber and grain. The climate smart agricultural practices implemented through this project will meet the NRCS practice standards such as Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340. Cover Crop (340) is also applied with practices such as Conservation Crop Rotation (328) in this proposal. The acreages currently in agricultural production (wheat) will be recruited and used in this project and no land will be disturbed below the plow zone, and no fencing. Also, there will be no Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO). We will quantify benefits of CSAF hemp production strategies as described below.

B. Plan to recruit producers and landowners, including estimated scale of the project

The project team will develop a road map to recruit producers and landowners from the project geographical area, MO. The road map consists of advertising through print and digital media including social media, working with the farmers organization Missouri Farmers Union missions in MO, working with the MO Department of Agriculture, NRCS Missouri office, minority, and underrepresented farmers’ organizations, and conducting multilocation meet and greet workshops partnering with the USDA agencies such as FSA and NRCS. For example, the Farmers Union will conduct a minimum of 4 workshops in MO with invited speakers from FSA, NRCS and hemp production and market experts. This meeting will help answer farmer’s questions regarding programming, loans, incentives, insurance, conservation, and CRP. Working with the NRCS MO, FSA and MO Farmers Union methods will be established to ensure that participants are not receiving payments or other benefits for the same activities on the same land under any other USDA conservation program, including those enrolled in other Climate Smart Projects and all other USDA Programs. The computer science and the SLU Geospatial Institute partners (see letter of support) will develop a project website with tabs for farmers and help develop apps for electronic gadgets such as phones and tablets. These electronic resources will be used to record all data including farmer’s data, which can be input by the farmers themselves.

Initially we proposed to recruit 2000 acres, 5000 acres, 8000 acres, 10,000 acres and 20,000 acres for the project year 1,2,3,4 and 5, respectively. But due to the new funding ceiling with budget reduction we will recruit 500 acres, 1000 acres and 1500 acres for the project year 1, 2 and 3 respectively. We will ensure the scalability of the acreage even after the completion of the project period, forecasting around 10,000 acres and so on. The USDA-NAAS report released in Jan 22 shows that the Hard Red Winter (HRW) wheat seeded area is expected to total 23.8 million acres, up 1% from 2021. The project team will develop aggressive plans to recruit areas from the HRW land. We anticipate forming a consortium and scalable pipeline for CSA practices with the participating project members including producers, processors, a product development team, and a supply chain management team. The consortium will work to establish a pipeline for carbon smart commodities markets and in the future seek to influence both state and federal agencies to establish industrial hemp in the Commodities Market Exchange.

C. Plan to provide technical assistance, outreach, and training, including who will be conducting these activities, qualifications, and projected timeline

We will conduct meetings, conferences, and workshops in various locations by inviting all stakeholders and the public to participate. Technical assistance associated with the project activities will be provided by a consortium of partners from Lincoln University, New West Genetics, Saint Louis University, Danforth Plant Science Center, and coordination with USDA and NRCS Missouri. Through these activities, it is expected that there will be a strong interest in people visiting climate-smart agriculture sites to learn more about monitoring, measuring and verification and other related activities. We plan to use these meetings and workshops to build a network of diverse stakeholders in climate-smart agricultural businesses centered on industrial hemp to improve their profitability.

1. Meetings: ISFOP staff will conduct grower meetings twice a year to educate growers on all aspects of hemp production, processing, and marketing. The NRCS and FSA staff will help educate meeting participants about the NRCS practice standards. Benefits: Formation of a network of educators, specialists, and staff. Many of the challenges of underserved farmers in MO will be addressed because of the close collaboration among the network entities. This will also lead to the formation of Co-ops consisting of small producers.

2. On-site tour for each program will be conducted. Benefits: Direct communication between LU Staff, hemp producers, and processors in MO and a better understanding of farmers of LU hemp institute's mission and programs.

3. Workshops on hemp production, marketing practices, and law enforcement considerations will also be conducted. At least 50 underserved farmers and 20 others will attend each workshop. Benefits: Increased knowledge of stakeholders on business sustainability, better understanding of growers on the hemp products.

4. Publication of Factsheet and guide sheets. Articles on LU HI programs will be published. Benefits: Dissemination of information on production, processing, and marketing through a different outreach channel and to a broader circle of beneficiaries.

5. Outreach to at least 100 underserved farmers from all of the Lincoln University Center for Extension (LUCE) active regions. The underserved farmers in Missouri include African American farmers; Hmong farmers, Latino farmers; Women in diary; Amish and Mennonite farmers; and Veterans and farmers with disabilities in all the counties that ISFOP operates. This task will include providing training on implementing NRCS production standards. The climate smart agricultural practices implemented through this project will meet the NRCS practice standards such as Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340. Cover Crop (340) is also applied with practices such as Conservation Crop Rotation (328). Benefits: Increased awareness of hemp production, processing, and marketing for underserved farmers from different LUCE counties and regions.

D. Plan to provide financial assistance for producers/landowners to implement CSAF practices,

The project team will form a specific task force including the economists on the team and will develop effective financial assistance plans for the producers/landowners through several meetings at the onset of project initiation. We will work very closely with Missouri Farmers

Union towards providing the financial assistance. The outreach team and the farmers union team will host the meeting with potential producers aiming to provide information including expertise to integrate with FSA and NRCS programs, loan programs under rural development, how to coordinate with the state conservation programs and downfield outcome processing, check, and validation program, associated with the project. Also, we will make sure that the farmers are not receiving the duplication of payments or other benefits from the related USDA programs.

We are planning to provide \$100 per acre as production incentive to the farmers. We will focus on small, minority and underserved farmers by providing incentives per acre along with support to form farmers Co-ops in the specific region. We will provide enough seeds to all producers free of cost to plant the recruited acreages. If needed, we will facilitate loans of planting and harvesting equipment.

E. Plan to enroll underserved and small producers, including estimated number of underserved and small producers participating and associated dollar amounts anticipated to go directly to producers, in the form of technical and financial assistance.

More than 90% of farms in Missouri are considered small farms. Many operators of these farms are further classified as minorities, limited resource, and socially disadvantaged. Lincoln University has for several years been working to provide services to these minorities and socially disadvantaged farmers to improve their standards of living. Through its Innovative Small Farmers' Outreach Program (ISFOP), LU has been committed to helping Missouri's limited-resource and minority farmers gain information so they will sustainably manage their farms. This will be extended to through working with Missouri Farmers Union, other community organizations and NGOs. If tribal land is recruited for the project, the required resolution of support for projects on Tribal lands will be obtained from the governing body of the Tribe with jurisdiction over that land, if the applicant is not the Tribe nor an entity owned or operated by that Tribe.

Currently, the ISFOP staff work in 33 Missouri counties, provide research-based information and technical assistance to 210 families. By ethnicity, these 210 families consisted of 46 African Americans, 28 Asian/Hmong, 6 Latinos, and 130 Caucasian families. These 210 families included: Amish/ Mennonite: 4, beginning farmers (farming less than 10 years): 56, farmers with disabilities: 10, military veterans: 8, and refugee farmers: 1. Thus, the diversity among the ISFOP clientele is significant and noteworthy. It is worth mentioning that 56 of the collaborating farm families are headed by women. In addition, ISFOP provides technical assistance to 7 Farmers' Markets and 6 Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs). (b)(4)

(b)(4)

Most Missouri small farmers are willing to take on new challenges including hemp. Across the state, there have been renewed interests in hemp farming with some growers including Hmong and African Americans showing interest in growing hemp. Missouri farm families consider themselves the stewards of their lands and feel responsible for maintaining and/or improving soil fertility, clean and pollution-free air, water, CO2 reduction, etc. Hemp is a crop that small farmers could use to meet the goals of environmental stewardship. We will provide financial

incentives and assistance in planting and harvesting for the small and minority farmers coops. We will ensure that the farmers are not receiving the duplication of payments or other benefits from the related USDA programs.

III. A measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verification plan.

A. Approach to greenhouse gas benefit quantification, including methodology approach consistent with the section titled “Quantification Requirements”

Quantification Goal 1. Measure the effect size of hemp genotypes with increased root biomass and root depth on soil C abundance and retention

There exists an urgent need to act on carbon sequestration and a clear need for auditable practices and with large effect sizes on soil C. This project addresses these needs. This project is fully aligned with Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities. This project tasks build on key innovations from a grant to (b)(4) from the ARPA-E ROOTS program. These innovations are the design and implementation of high throughput root and soil phenotyping, and the development of the new MEMS 2.0 agroecosystem model (Zhang et al. 2021), that can incorporate genetic prescriptions for deep rooted crop genotypes and project the impact over space and time. We also leverage progress from a grant to (b)(4) from the Wells Fargo IN2 program, which leverages NWG breeding of experimental lines to test root phenotype effects. Here we propose to continue these multidisciplinary efforts using hemp as an annual crop address economic, scientific, and technological challenges to making carbon farming scalable, auditable, and profitable.

To increase sustainable productivity, a renewed focus on the efficiency of inputs will be required. The production of synthetic N-fertilizer alone has been estimated to consume up to 3% of global energy and produce an equivalent fraction of CO₂. Yet, the nitrogen fertilization efficiency (NUE) has dropped precipitously from 75 to 25 MT grain/ MT N fertilizer from 1960 to 1995 (Tillman et al. 2002). As the absorptive surface for water, nitrogen, and other nutrients from the soil into the plant, roots play a key role in uptake and overall nutrient use efficiency (Gallais and Coque 2005). They also are the primary conduit for plant-derived carbon in the soil, however, most of the carbon that annual crop plants contribute is consumed by microbial processes in the topsoil and respired back into the atmosphere (Jansson et al. 2021). Thus, the development of deeper-rooted crop varieties is an important and scalable way to significantly reduce the N₂O and CO₂ emissions footprints of agriculture through: (1) more efficient capture of nitrogen fertilizer, and (2) contributing carbon deeper into the soil where it is more protected from microbial access and mineralization (Cotrufo et al., 2022).

It is the combination of genetics, soil science, and supply chain development that is key to determining the effectiveness of using annual cropping systems to improve soil health and sequester carbon. Introducing a new conservation rotation crop species like hemp that sequesters more soil C and emits less N₂O than corn and soy and can feed existing supply chains for protein, lipids, fiber, and biomass feedstock is the most scalable and auditable perturbation that can be made to agricultural production systems in the future. Management solutions like no-till is much harder to scale and audit and typically require farmers to invest in new equipment.

A recent study using C¹³ labeled roots shows that the durability of root derived soil C increased with depth, with roots biomass below 50cm creating SOM that is retained at a level of over 50% after two years (Cotrufo and McKay, unpublished). To measure effect sizes of enhanced roots on

soil carbon sequestration, we will grow ten hemp genotypes that differ in root mass, depth and chemical composition in multi-year field trials and monitor the genetic effects on accrual and persistence of soil C using high throughput soil sampling, organic matter fractionation, and elemental and isotopic analysis. These trials will be at field sites in Colorado, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas.

We have already identified hemp genotypes with multiple alleles that increase root biomass and depth (Figure 1 removed from this modified version). We will use genotypes with contrasting root systems to look for differences in soil carbon inputs and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE). We will use the data from our three years, multilocation experiments to evaluate the prediction that greater root biomass below 50 cm will result in increased soil carbon.

To enhance the ability to detect soil C stock changes in the short term and to accurately characterize the dynamics of soil C stocks, we will measure and model key C pools (particulate and mineral-associated organic matter), with contrasting pathways and capacity of accrual, turnover times, and vulnerability to disturbance. Empirically these stocks will be determined by fractionation of the soil. The measurement of particulate and mineral-associated organic matter over time in our soil C experiment will provide system metrics of soil C accrual for fields with different root phenotypes. We expect such phenotyping to allow us to identify shorter-term correlates to later changes in soil carbon levels.

Field trials for root trait effects on soil C. At each field site we will grow replicated plots of the ten hemp genotypes with differences in root depth and mass, to capture a range in root C inputs. We will test soil and apply N as needed to achieve the levels equivalent of adding 75 lbs./ acre and 150 lbs./acre of fertilizer. Each genotype will be grown in replicate large plots for each density. At harvest, we will measure root pulling force (RPF) and obtain root masses from each plot to confirm the genetics. We will take soil cores up to 2m (~6 ft) to measure the amount of root mass across the soil profile and for soil analysis. Genotypes will be grown in the same plots each year for three years to measure effect size.

Detection of Soil Carbon Changes. We will use repeated soil sampling to enable quantification of soil C stock changes due to different hemp root inputs to soil. Sampling will be conducted before planting to determine initial soil C stocks, and yearly thereafter to quantify rates of change immediately within and across years (Lavalley et al., 2020).

Quantification Goal 2. Quantifying benefits of CSAF hemp production strategies:

An existing experimental watershed study (EWS) will be used to quantify benefits of CSAF hemp production strategies in the current work. Eight (8) experimental plots (site = 1/5 acre) will be used to experimentally assess the influence of different cultivars of hemp and hemp associated CSAF practices, including two control plots, and three duplicated experimental plots on Lincoln University George Washington Carver Farm (note that breeding line evaluations and the MO root and soil work will be conducted at these plots). (b)(4)

(b)(4)

(b)(4) specific soil gas flux chamber locations within each plot will be systematically selected to account for spatial variability in soil gas flux according to methods published (Rodeghiero and Cescatti, 2008). (b)(4)

(b)(4)

(b)(4) These data will be made publicly available through project website and via USDA commons with a citable DOI at the end of the study period to help achieve broader impacts.

B. Approach to monitoring of practice implementation, including the anticipated number of farms and acres reached through project activities,

The location of the existing experimental watershed study (EWS) in the current proposed work is Moreau River Basin (MORRB), central Missouri, USA. Drainage area of MORRB is approximately 1,510 km² and elevations range from 162 to 335 m above mean sea level. Nested within the lower portion of this EWS are three Lincoln University farms: (1) 280 acres certified organic Alan T. Busby Farm, and (2) 140 acres conventional farm James N. Freeman Farm, and (3) 150 acres conventional farm, George Washington Carver Farm. Notably, Busby Farm is one of Missouri's largest certified organic farms at approximately 60 ha in land area. A 10 m climate flux tower was installed in the centroid of Busby Farm. The climate flux tower is equipped to monitor hydroclimate including all major surface-atmosphere energy budget variables. Data collected from the climate flux tower will be useful for developing a cost-effective and accurate approach to estimate on-farm GHG exchange in the Midwest USA. In this proposal, we are recruiting farmers to add a total of 3,000 acres for three years for the hemp production through the climate smart production practices. We will make sure that these acreages are not used for any other USDA incentive programs or climate smart projects.

Methodology approach to monitoring GHG: Greenhouse gas (GHG) exchange associated with various hemp crop production strategies will be quantified at the farm-scale following a science-based approaches outlined by Eve (2014). More specifically, a combination of field measurements, models, and inference-based data will be tested to optimize the accuracy of GHG exchange estimates. Disadvantaged farmers will need a practical and cost-effective approach to quantifying GHG exchange associated with CSAF practices. In this study, such a cost-effective approach will be developed and verified. Additionally, a hybrid estimation approach will be developed for larger CSAF farming applications that require more accurate estimates of GHG emissions, but still desire a more cost-effective approach than direct long-term measurement.

Field measurements: Direct field measurement often provides the most reliable estimate of environmental information. Leaf and soil samples will be collected from farm sites using methods suggested by Rodeghiero and Cescatti (2008). (b)(4)

(b)(4)

(b)(4) This field measurement approach will be verified using data collected from

a climate flux tower, EC system, and soil gas flux system where long-term CO₂ flux data are being continuously monitored at LU Farms.

Quantifying the accuracy of models: Model verification is important to ensure operational resources (i.e., time, money, labor, and raw materials) are not wasted on misguided management plans based on erroneous modeling results. In this proposed work, data collected from the EWS will be used to verify satisfactory model performance of various computer simulation models used to manage natural resources [e.g., COMET-Farm, DayCent, The Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT), and The Agricultural Policy / Environmental eXtender (APEX)]. Model performance assessments will be used to point to model strengths and shortcomings with regards to simulating GHG benefits associated with hemp production strategies in the study region.

Quantifying the accuracy of inference-based data (section iii, goal 1c): State, regional, or national emissions/sequestration factors will be used as inference-based data to supplement field measurements and model simulations of GHG emissions as outlined in Eve et al. (2014). Unit inputs of will be multiplied by inference-data derived factors to generate site-specific GHG emissions (Eve et al., 2014). Data collected from an existing EWS will be used to verify accuracy of inference-based data for use with hemp production in the study region.

Ultimately, lessons learned from all scales will be used to identify a cost-effective and accurate hybrid estimation approach to monitoring and quantifying GHG emissions outlined by Eve et al. (2014). A hybrid estimation approach will include a combination of field measurement data collected from this EWS, simulated data generated using verified models, and verified inference-based data. A verified hybrid estimation approach will be disseminated to hemp production partners and disadvantaged farmers via workshops, guide sheets and fact sheets. Additionally, results will be published via a peer-reviewed journal article. Results will also be broadly disseminated through project website, at presentations at international, national, regional, and local conferences before the end of the study period.

C. Approach to reporting and tracking of greenhouse gas benefits including the anticipated GHG benefits per farm, per project, per commodity produced, per dollar expended, and the anticipated longevity of GHG benefits,

A CPC (Commodity Plus Carbon) futures contract for hemp, for example, enables the CPC eligible supplier to sell cash and basis at a premium price and to lock in the premium at listing of the CPC contract on a designated commodity exchange or as a forward contract to a buyer. A CPC transaction can be limited to a single delivery in a single crop year, multiple deliveries in a single year, or by harvested field(s) without limiting participation in other carbon programs. First adapters, under CPC procedures, will be first out of the gate to appreciate returns on their early investments in carbon reduction on-farm practices, since on-farm emission levels will be authenticated and verified against benchmark levels established by USDA. The greater the emission reduction below benchmark, the higher the premium.

Data is key to any program, but it is critical under CPC procedures. Fieldview will be the default source for on-farm soil sequestration data and will be one of the sources for measuring carbon intensity levels below published data. Comet Planner will be used where applicable, and all data will be to ensure valid and accurate. We all know that emissions calculations are an inexact

science, but CPC will draw on multiple data sources and cross-check under the surveillance of MyAgData and COMET-Planner. When the emissions calculations are verified, we will be ready to evaluate the emissions reductions, based on the market prices for carbon credits here in the US and Europe. The outcomes of the Fieldview methods will be compared against the Entity-Scale Methods and/or COMET-Planner, as appropriate. All data will be documented transparently, be based in peer-reviewed literature whenever possible, be replicable by third parties, and be available for other projects to implement.

D. Approach to verification of greenhouse gas benefits,

Results showing GHG benefits will be scaled-up using a process-based watershed model developed by USDA, The Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) integrated with COMET-Farm. The most recent version of SWAT will be used (i.e., SWAT+). Input data to run a SWAT+ / COMET-Farm hybrid model will be derived from an existing EWS, results from section iii (goals 1 and 2), and publicly available spatial input data. Model performance assessment will quantify accuracy of a SWAT+ / COMET-Farm modeling approach.

A verified SWAT+ / COMET-Farm model will be used to perform scenario modeling including a pre-development scenario using native vegetation (circa 1800's), a post-development baseline scenario (current conditions), and CSAF hemp production practices tested in the current proposed work. Results will point to GHG emissions as well as other environmental soil and water conservation benefits. Results from SWAT+ / COMET-Farm modeling efforts will be disseminated to hemp production partners and a network of 210 disadvantaged farmers via workshops, guide sheets and fact sheets.

E. Agreement to participate in the Partnerships Network

Lincoln University of Missouri as the project lead has agreed to participate in the Partnerships Network.

Section IV. A plan to develop and expand markets for climate-smart commodities generated as a result of project activities

The plan to develop and expand markets for hemp as a climate-smart commodity includes utilizing partnerships to market commodities, using smart technology to track climate-smart commodities through the supply chain, ensuring economic benefit to producers, and building the infrastructure capable of supporting the ability to scale and achieve long term viability of industrial hemp. Scaling up the industrial hemp supply chain as a carbon negative feedstock for fuel and fiber requires that partnerships be formed between the growers, processors, and end-users. Lincoln University will collaborate with the Missouri NRCS office to implement Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340. We are planting hemp fiber and fiber/fuel dual use genetics on idle ground in a Hard Red Winter Wheat/Summer Fallow Rotation. We will work closely with NRCS in implementing Climate Smart production practices. Factors to consider:

1. All land is currently in Ag production.
2. No land will be disturbed below the plow zone.
3. There will be no Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO).

4. Technical assistance will be provided by a consortium of partners from Lincoln University, New West Genetics, Saint Louis University, Danforth Center, and coordination with USDA and NRCS Missouri.

Because hemp produces both fuel and fiber the crop fits well into a wide variety of growing latitudes and crop rotations which can certainly strengthen U.S. Rural and Agriculture Communities by both lowering the carbon intensity of advanced biofuels, improve the sustainability of textiles for blending with cotton fiber in t-shirts/cotton jeans and a wide variety of building materials.

A Hemp campus will serve as an economic development driver. The initial campus is a collaboration lead by Midwest Natural Fiber but receives support from Lincoln University Hemp Institute. The processing center is in Sikeston, MO. Hemp campuses focused on genetic development for fiber and grain and coupled with processing for fiber and oil extraction will also be expanded to other states such as Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas, Colorado, and a processing plant in North Carolina in the future.

A. Any partnerships designed to market resulting climate-smart commodity

Midwest Natural Fiber (MNF) is an industrial hemp company based out of Columbia, MO with hemp fiber processing operations in Sikeston, MO. MNF hopes to expand into hemp oil extraction. Midwest Natural Fiber opened Missouri's first and only operational hemp fiber processing center in 2021 and grew 600 acres of hemp fiber, which accounted for 75% of the state's 2021 total. MNF partners have invested in the nation's leading hemp genetics company, New West Genetics to ensure that the U.S. produces world class genetics and collaborates with our university partners to develop public private genetic collaborations.

Midwest Natural Fiber's role in the project includes:

- In collaboration with LU teamwork with farmers to implement climate-smart production practices, activities, and systems on working lands with industrial hemp fiber and grain.
- Serve as the off-take purchaser for the farmers harvested fiber and grain.
- Process the harvested grain into hemp oil and sell to biodiesel and SAF markets.
- Process the harvested hemp fiber into bast fiber and hurd.
- Develop the marketplace for climate-smart products working with existing offtake partners in the building materials, textile, and animal bedding industries.
- Embark on the development process to open a pilot hemp fiber processing center in North Carolina in 2023, with consideration of expansion centers in Kansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, and Texas in the future.

Benchmark Design is an advanced biofuel developer out of Clearwater, FL that develops, owns, and operates sustainable biofuel production facilities both domestically and internationally. Benchmark's facilities produce bioethanol, bio-jet fuel, biomass electricity, and other by-products utilizing renewable feedstocks such as sorghum, grain sorghum and camelina. Benchmark's commitment to this project as a collaborator is multi-faceted, including:

- Serve as the end use buyer and processor of the hemp grain to convert into advanced biofuel.
- Utilize their existing biofuel plant in Raeford, NC as the first processing site for hemp biofuel.
- Establish the market for hemp biofuel through their network of existing contracts with the largest retailers in the United States, totaling over 100M gallons of biofuel.
- Embark on the development process to open a pilot hemp biofuel plant in Missouri in 2023, with consideration of expansion plants in Kansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, and Texas.

REA Resource Recovery Systems produces biodiesel from Brown Grease and is an off-take partner for our extracted hemp oil.

- Biodiesel producer utilizing FOG from the city of New Haven, Connecticut. Plants are scalable ranging from 5000-acre hemp requirements to 100,000-acre hemp requirements.
- Midwest Hemp oil combined with locally produced Brown Grease makes for ideal locally sourced Biodiesel or SAF.
- Hemp oil is extracted where the crop is grown and then shipped to be combined with locally produced FOG.

Renaissance Fiber is a North Carolina clean-tech and ag-tech company based out of Wilmington, NC that manufactures softened hemp fiber for the textile industry using a proprietary ecologically invisible degumming process. Renaissance Fiber's role in the project is to serve as an offtake purchaser of processed, clean hemp fiber from Midwest Natural Fiber.

HempWood is a processing and manufacturing company out of Murray, KY that takes industrial hemp stalks and processing them into eco-friendly substitutes for traditional wood products including but not limited to flooring, lumber, furniture, and frames. HempWood's role in the project is to serve as an offtake purchaser of hemp bales as raw input material for further processing and manufacturing of climate-smart products.


DTE Materials is based out of Fresno, CA and is the first domestic hempcrete block manufacturer. The hempcrete blocks are called Stonefiber, and are prefabricated to varying sizes for quick and easy installation in commercial and residential buildings. The blocks can be deployed as subfloor, roof, or wall insulation and can also be used to form nonstructural partition walls. DTE Materials' role in the project is to serve as an offtake purchaser of processed, clean hemp hurd from Midwest Natural Fiber.

B. A plan to track climate-smart commodities through the supply chain, if appropriate

Climate-smart commodities will be tracked through the supply chain utilizing Midwest Natural Fiber's (MNF) existing Quality Control program, which includes a traceability program to track field and crop data designed to assure the integrity of our material.

MNF will be utilizing best-in-class McHale Baling systems equipped with a tagging technology allowing individual bales to be marked with a radio frequency identification (RFID) tag that records and stores the extensive seed, crop, and field data.

(b)(4)



Unique identification of each bale will make it easier to move, store, group and sell hemp based on bale size, bale weight, moisture, forage cut length and other production factors.

C. Estimated economic benefits for participating producers including market returns

The participating producers have several incentives to grow hemp as a climate smart commodity.

Within a standard spring planting to fall harvest rotation industrial hemp competes favorably with traditional commodities, offering producer's an opportunity to diversify crop rotations and hedge risk of volatile commodity markets.

Our producers will make \$1,200 per acre growing a hemp fiber variety in a traditional spring to fall crop rotation, and \$1,140 per acre with a dual crop variety.

Where hemp as a climate-smart commodity becomes an increasingly attractive economic option for producers is within a Winter Wheat / Summer Fallow (WW/SF) rotation to add a cover crop on ground not otherwise earning income. As part of a WW/SF rotation hemp is also not displacing valuable food acres in a traditional rotation.

Producers can earn an extra \$1,140 per acre by replacing summer fallow land with New West Genetics' frost tolerant, dual purpose hemp genetics for fiber and grain.

D. Post-project potential, including anticipated ability to scale project activities, likelihood of long-term viability beyond project period, and ability to inform future USDA actions to encourage climate-smart commodities.

Potential idle summer fallow available acres in: Kansas 6,900,000: Oklahoma 2,700,000: Texas 2,250,000: Colorado 1,850,000: Missouri 500,000 (This is idle land; no crops are being grown on this land)

Available Advanced biofuel gallons (78 Gallons/acre)
1,107,600,000 (B) total gallons

Available Fiber 42,600,000,000 (B) Total lbs. of Fiber

Available Sequestered CO₂ per planting cycle 60 (M) tons.

Capturing 10% of the available acres in winter wheat summer fallow rotation (WW/SF) equals ~1,450,000 acres and generates ~1B in increased crop receipts. The 1.45 million acres of hemp planting in future will sequester ~6.0 million tons of CO₂. The 10 campuses will employ ~500 people and decrease our dependence on foreign oil, imported textiles and petroleum-based building materials.

The size and scope of the market demand and the needs of the fiber and the fuel is part of the study, and we will be able to advise the USDA about future growth and action. Marketing feasibility studies and technical feasibility studies will be conducted during the Climate Smart grant study to determine the scale and location of the plants. Utilizing price discovery and transparency found in traditional commodities like corn, soybeans, cotton, livestock will make hemp much more likely to be adopted by the American Farmer. Midwest Natural Fiber proposes that we utilize the Chicago Mercantile Exchange to help facilitate grower acceptance of market adoption.

Because Climate Smart Hemp could trade on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange the Climate Plus Carbon (CPC) contract producers will enjoy price discovery, liquidity and price transparency traditionally found in ag commodities. This feature of CPC Hemp contracts will greatly aid in the market adoption of utilizing hemp as a climate smart commodity. The CPC Hemp contract general market assumptions will be based off general hemp market assumptions that are derived from various emerging market platforms.

- CPC Hemp futures contracts reflect cash hemp plus the value of carbon emission reductions at an indexed threshold level below benchmark.
- CPC Hemp futures contracts will be developed to facilitate trading on the CME with an additional carbon emissions reduction premium.
- CPC indexes will be adjusted according to modeled data for emissions reductions.
- The EU ETS price of carbon will be one of the principal drivers of global carbon prices, including the US.
- The recent trend of increases in carbon prices in the US and the EU commodity markets is likely to continue in conjunction with new private and public sector carbon programs and resulting liquidity in carbon markets.

- Grower margins in carbon emission reduction measures on-farm will increase in conjunction with direct payment program under public and private sector management.

Bottom Line:

Studies indicates that Industrial Hemp produces more fuel, fiber and sequesters more carbon per acre than any commercially available non-food crop. It also remediates soil and improves the productivity of soil and enhances the micronutrient activity and flora of the soil biomass. We will collaborate with local NRCS offices for field trials.

Selection of this grant allows a Climate-Smart Hemp Commodity to become mainstream in Rural America. No technical breakthroughs are required. American Farmer's receive financial rewards for Climate-Smart Hemp commodity practices. Rural jobs are created by processing and manufacturing hemp campuses and the entire supply chain lowers its carbon intensity. America wins, the climate wins and American Agriculture wins.

Project timeline (tentative): The project team will meet every month online to discuss project experimental plan, tasks, results, deliverables, and any other aspects related to the project activities.

1. Year 2023

Quarter 1 –

Project team will conduct introductory meetings with all partners and will develop specific plans.

Initiate recruiting farmers and acreage recruitment.

Enroll underserved and small producers.

Educational meeting for growers.

Conduct rural assemblies with growers to introduce the CPC strategy,

Quarter 2 –

Producer incentive payment.

Test plots at various locations (MO, CO, OK, TX) to study carbon sequestration and GHG benefits.

Climate smart practices for hemp production in the farmers' fields, 500 acres.

Grower's training and outreach.

Quarter 3 –

Sample and data collection from the field locations to study C, N efficiency and GHG benefits.

Root and shoot phenotyping in proprietary field simulation systems.

Soil analysis.

Collect hyperspectral, LiDAR, thermal and other types of sensor data with UAVs over field sites.

Genetics analysis and physiological measurements.

Greenhouse gas benefit quantification, including methodology approach.

Approach to verification of greenhouse gas benefits.

Reporting and tracking of greenhouse gas benefits.

Fiber and grain harvesting

Initiate to develop marketing pipeline.

Review existing electronic platforms for industrial and biomass hemp futures and marketing futures contracts.

Quarter 4-

Data analysis associated the GHG measurements for the year 1.

Image processing, AI-modeling, and initiate proof-of-concept pipeline development.

Molecular and genetic analysis associated with the carbon sequestration traits.

Identify genes controlling deep rooting, root biomass, nitrogen uptake efficiency, and other traits related to carbon sequestration and plant performance.

Biochemical analysis for compliance with state and federal regulations.

Harvested climate smart hemp grain and fiber will be purchased at processing.

Hemp processing (Fiber and Grain)

Establish baseline parameters for measuring hemp environmental benefits.

Project annual meeting.

Filed days at various farm locations.

2. Year 2024

Quarter 1 –

Growers educational meeting.

Recruiting, educating, and training producers to grow industrial hemp.

Enroll underserved and small producers.

Utilize material processing partnerships to market hemp biomass and seed commodities.

Filed and greenhouse data analysis.

Conduct rural assemblies with growers to introduce the CPC strategy.

Quarter 2 -

Test plots at various locations (MO, CO, OK, TX) to study carbon sequestration and GHG benefits.

Climate smart practices for hemp production in the farmers' fields, 1000 acres.

Experiments and data collection related to agronomy, physiology, biochemical, genetic, and molecular aspects of carbon sequestration, and root system architecture.

Soil analysis.

Collect hyperspectral, LiDAR, thermal and other types of sensor data with UAVs over field sites.

Approach to verification of greenhouse gas benefits

Utilize end-use market partnerships to connect the supply chain from the processor to the marketplace.

Gowers training and outreach

Ensures economic benefit to producers.

Quarter 3 –

Fiber and grain harvest.

Economic analysis

UAV and sensor data collection

Soil analysis.

Developing algorithms for hemp composition estimation such protein or energy content.

Greenhouse gas benefit quantification, including methodology approach.

Reporting and tracking of greenhouse gas benefits

Review existing electronic platforms for industrial and biomass hemp futures and marketing futures contracts.

Training workshop and outreach.

Monitoring and measuring carbon sequestration and storage across the supply chain from crop production to the end-use application.

Quarter 4-

Economic analysis

Biochemical analysis for compliance with state and federal regulations.

Identify genes controlling deep rooting, root biomass, nitrogen uptake efficiency, and other traits related to carbon sequestration and plant performance.

Reporting GHG benefits

Harvested climate smart hemp grain and fiber will be purchased at processing.

Hemp processing (Fiber and Grain).

Establish baseline parameters for measuring hemp environmental benefits.

Filed days at selected field locations.

Design CPC future contract,

Project annual meeting.

3. Year 2025

Quarter 1 -

Grower's meeting.

Conduct rural assemblies with growers to introduce the CPC strategy,

Recruiting, educating, and training producers to grow industrial hemp.

Enroll underserved and small producers.

Filed and greenhouse data analysis.

Monitoring and measuring carbon sequestration and storage across the supply chain from crop production to the end-use application.

Quarter 2 –

Climate smart practices for hemp production in the farmers' fields, 1500 acres

Test plots at various locations (MO, CO, OK, TX) to study carbon sequestration and GHG benefits.

Collect hyperspectral, LiDAR, thermal and other types of sensor data with UAVs over field sites.

Approach to verification of greenhouse gas benefits

Greenhouse gas benefit quantification, including methodology approach.

Grower's training and outreach

Quarter 3

Image processing, AI-modeling, and proof-of-concept pipeline development.

Developing and testing algorithms for hemp composition estimation such protein or energy content

Greenhouse gas benefit quantification, including methodology approach.

Approach to verification of greenhouse gas benefits.

Reporting and tracking of greenhouse gas benefits.

Fiber and grain harvest

Quarter 4 –

Carbon sequestration trait analysis.

Identify genes controlling deep rooting, root biomass, nitrogen uptake efficiency, and other traits related to carbon sequestration and plant performance.

Build a stable infrastructure capable of scaling to achieve long term viability of industrial hemp as a carbon smart commodity.

Harvested climate smart hemp grain and fiber will be purchased at processing.

Hemp processing (Fiber and Grain).

Establish baseline parameters for measuring hemp environmental benefits.

Design CPC future contract.

Integrate CPC strategy in log-term growth strategy in collaboration with LU.

Project annual meeting.

Deliverables estimates / Schedule:**Year 2023 – 500 Total Acres**

- 300 acres of Dual-Purpose Variety (New West Genetics)
 - 2,000 lbs. of grain/acre: $\$0.27/\text{lb.} \times 2,000 \text{ lbs.} = \540
 - 4,000 lbs. of fiber/acre: $\$0.15/\text{lb.} \times 4,000 \text{ lbs.} = \600
 - $\$540(\text{grain}) + \$600(\text{fiber}) = \$1,140/\text{acre}$ for Dual Purpose
 - $\$1,140/\text{acre} \times 300 \text{ acres} = \$342,000$ gross grain revenue to producers
- 200 acres of Purpose Grown Fiber Variety (EcoFibre)
 - 8,000 lbs. of fiber/acre: $\$0.15/\text{lb.} \times 8,000 \text{ lbs.} = \$1,200$
 - $\$1,200/\text{acre} \times 200 \text{ acres} = \$240,000$ gross fiber revenue to producers

Year 2024 – 1000 acres

- 600 acres of Dual-Purpose Variety (New West Genetics)
 - 2,000 lbs. of grain/acre: $\$0.27/\text{lb.} \times 2,000 \text{ lbs.} = \540
 - 4,000 lbs. of fiber/acre: $\$0.15/\text{lb.} \times 4,000 \text{ lbs.} = \600
 - $\$540(\text{grain}) + \$600(\text{fiber}) = \$1,140/\text{acre}$ for Dual Purpose
 - $\$1,140/\text{acre} \times 600 \text{ acres} = \$684,000$ gross grain revenue to producers
- 400 acres of Purpose Grown Fiber Variety (EcoFibre)
 - 8,000 lbs. of fiber/acre: $\$0.15/\text{lb.} \times 8,000 \text{ lbs.} = \$1,200$
 - $\$1,200/\text{acre} \times 400 \text{ acres} = \$480,000$ gross fiber revenue to producers

Year 2025 – 1,500 acres

- 1100 acres of Dual-Purpose Variety (New West Genetics)
 - 2,000 lbs. of grain/acre: $\$0.27/\text{lb.} \times 2,000 \text{ lbs.} = \540
 - 4,000 lbs. of fiber/acre: $\$0.15/\text{lb.} \times 4,000 \text{ lbs.} = \600
 - $\$540(\text{grain}) + \$600(\text{fiber}) = \$1,140/\text{acre}$ for Dual Purpose
 - $\$1,140/\text{acre} \times 1100 \text{ acres} = \$1,254,000$ gross grain revenue to producers

- 400 acres of Purpose Grown Fiber Variety (EcoFibre)
 - 8,000 lbs. of fiber/acre: $\$0.15/\text{lb.} \times 8,000 \text{ lbs.} = \$1,200$
 - $\$1,200/\text{acre} \times 400 \text{ acres} = \$480,000$ gross fiber revenue to producers

Milestones/benchmarks:**Required Quantitative Targets by Quarter (Cumulative) – some initial quarters may be zero:**

- Number of producers involved: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 6 producers, quarter 2 = 6 producers, quarter 3: 6 producers, Quarter 4= 6 producers). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 12, quarter 2 =12, quarter 3: 12, Quarter 4= 12). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 20, quarter 2 =20, quarter 3: 20 Quarter 4= 20)
- Number of underserved producers involved: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 3, quarter 2 =3, quarter 3: 3, Quarter 4= 3). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 6, quarter 2 =6, quarter 3: 6, Quarter 4= 6). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 10, quarter 2 =10, quarter 3: 10, Quarter 4= 10)
- Number of acres involved: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 500, quarter 2 =500, quarter 3: 500, Quarter 4= 500). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 1,000, quarter 2 =1,000, quarter 3: 1,000, Quarter 4= 1,000). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 1,500, quarter 2 =1,500, quarter 3: 1,500, Quarter 4= 1,500)
- Number of head involved (Not applicable): Year 1 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= XXX). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= XXX). Year 3 (quarter 1=.....)
- Dollars provided to producers: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = \$50,000, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 0). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = \$100,000, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 0). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = \$150,000, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 0)
- GHG Benefits (Metric Tons of CO₂e Reduced or Sequestered): Year 1 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= 900). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= 1,800). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= 3,000). Every hemp acre sequesters around 3 tons of CO₂, per acre.
- Number of new marketing channels* established: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 4). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 4, quarter 2 =6, quarter 3: 6, Quarter 4= 8). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 10, quarter 2 = 10, quarter 3 - 12, Quarter 4 - 12).

Explain

We will begin marketing the harvested material in Q4 of Year 1 to customers in the biofuel and fiber/hurd industries. The project anticipates having at least 4 new marketing channels to distribute material to in Q4 of Year 1. We expect this number to grow steadily to 8 new marketing channels by the end of Year 2 and to 12 by the conclusion of the program in Q4 of Year 3. The marketing channels we anticipate selling into include the biofuel, (sustainable diesel, sustainable aviation fuel, SAF) building material, animal bedding, and composite industries.

- Number of marketing channels* expanded: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= 4). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 4, quarter 2 =6, quarter 3: 6, Quarter 4= 8). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 10, quarter 2 =10, quarter 3: 10, Quarter 4= 10).

Explain

We assumed that all of the markets were expanded from a baseline of zero. We are establishing the hemp fiber and fuel industry.

- Number of measurement tools utilized: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 4, quarter 2 =15, quarter 3: 17, Quarter 4= 18). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 20, quarter 2 =21, quarter 3: 22, Quarter 4= 22). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 20, quarter 2 =21 quarter 3: 22 Quarter 4= 22).

Explain

Starting year 1 quarter 1, we will use repeated soil sampling to enable quantification of soil C stock changes due to different hemp root inputs to soil. Sampling will be conducted before planting to determine initial soil C stocks, and yearly thereafter to quantify rates of change immediately within and across years.

Plant experimental Soil C plots in Missouri: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =44, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 0). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =44, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 0). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =44, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 0).

> Plant 10 hemp genotypes + 1 control plot at four replicates each Spring of the project

Harvest experimental Soil C plots in Missouri: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 44, Quarter 4= 0). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 44, Quarter 4= 0). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 44, Quarter 4= 0).

> Collect root crowns, shoot biomass and grain yield for each plot in each Fall of the project.

Take soil cores from experimental Soil C plots in Missouri: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 44). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 44). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 0, quarter 2 =0, quarter 3: 0, Quarter 4= 44).

> Collect soil cores for soil carbon and other analysis for each plot in each Fall of the project.

Same number of plant experimental soil C plots will be in other locations.

(b)(4)

Other Required Benchmarks that may be quantitative or qualitative:

- Outreach, training, and other technical assistance: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = 2, quarter 2 =2, quarter 3: 2, Quarter 4= 2). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = 2, quarter 2 =2, quarter 3: 2, Quarter 4= 2). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = 2, quarter 2 =2, quarter 3: 2, Quarter 4= 2).

Explain

The Lincoln University Small Farm program and the extension team staff will conduct grower meetings four times a year to educate growers on all aspects of hemp production, processing, and marketing. On site meetings at production facilities will educate growers about fiber and fuel processing. The Lincoln University extension and outreach team will conduct a total of 4 workshops and two trainings per year. The Missouri farmers union will conduct minimum 2 meetings with the farmers in the state per year. Drafting terms/ specifications of Hemp contract, discussions with selected exchanges for listing contract and grower surveys and tabulations will be addressed in the workshops.

A research scientist with remote sensing expertise will be recruited in quarter 2 and trained with machine learning and AI. Starting from third quarter, two graduate students will be joining the Saint Louis University team to take on tasks related to UAV data collection, satellite image processing, and developing tools to measure carbon and greenhouse gas emissions. Findings of the study will be presented at conferences, workshops and STEM training activities through Taylor Geospatial Institute Academy that would reach general public, scientists, and policymakers. It will significantly boost the exposure of the project outcomes to broader community in the Year 2 and Year 3 of the project.

- Other MMRV and supply chain traceability attributes: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= McHale baling system). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = Seed genetics, quarter 2 =Producer name, quarter 3: Field location, Quarter 4= Planting date). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = Chemical inputs, quarter 2 =XXX, quarter 3: XXXX, Quarter 4= all attributes used in the year 1 and 2).

Explain

Carbon inventory will be determined by utilizing NRCS' Soil Conditioning Index tool. This tool can predict the consequences of cropping systems and tillage practices on soil organic matter. Carbon intensity will be measured by taking the aggregate of the greenhouse gas emissions and applying it at a farm level or by acre.

Individual farm's historical data will be passed to the COMET API. The results will be delivered to MyAgData® via email that contains the farm information and the baseline scores for each field with emission values for CO₂, and N₂O measured in metric ton/year. These benchmark scores will be stored for each field along with a date and timestamp.

The project will be registered with Climate Action Reserve (CAR). CAR has thorough requirements on methodology approval, project validation and verification.

This system enables comprehensive, easily accessible, real-time “field-to-processor” data with a simple RFID scanner which allows MNF to track all bale information through the processing. This system will also be used to trace grain for hemp fuel products.

- Demonstrated engagement of major partners: Year 1 (Quarter 1 = Midwest Natural Fiber (MNF), New West Genetics (NWG), quarter 2 = MNF, NWG, quarter 3: REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Quarter 4= REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Benchmark Design, DTE Materials). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, quarter 2 = REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, quarter 3: REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, Benchmark Design, Quarter 4= REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, Benchmark Design, DTE Materials). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, Benchmark Design, quarter 2 =REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, Benchmark Design, quarter 3: REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, Benchmark

Design, Quarter 4= REA Resource System, MNF, NWG, Renaissance Fiber, HempWood, Benchmark Design, DTE Materials). **Explain**

Midwest Natural Fiber (MNF) is an industrial hemp company based out of Columbia, MO with hemp fiber processing operations in Sikeston, MO. MNF hopes to expand into hemp oil extraction.

Benchmark Design is an advanced biofuel developer out of Clearwater, FL that develops, owns, and operates sustainable biofuel production facilities both domestically and internationally. Benchmark's facilities produce bioethanol, bio-jet fuel, biomass electricity, and other by-products utilizing renewable feedstocks such as sorghum, grain sorghum and camelina.

REA Resource Recovery Systems produces biodiesel from Brown Grease and is an off-take partner for our extracted hemp oil.

Renaissance Fiber is a North Carolina clean-tech and ag-tech company based out of Wilmington, NC that manufactures softened hemp fiber for the textile industry using a proprietary ecologically invisible degumming process. Renaissance Fiber's role in the project is to serve as an offtake purchaser of processed, clean hemp fiber from Midwest Natural Fiber.

HempWood is a processing and manufacturing company out of Murray, KY that takes industrial hemp stalks and processing them into eco-friendly substitutes for traditional wood products including but not limited to flooring, lumber, furniture, and frames. HempWood's role in the project is to serve as an offtake purchaser of hemp bales as raw input material for further processing and manufacturing of climate-smart products.

DTE Materials is based out of Fresno, CA and is the first domestic hempcrete block manufacturer.

The hempcrete blocks are called Stonefiber. and are prefabricated to varying sizes for quick and easy installation in commercial and residential buildings.

- Climate smart technologies employed (if applicable): Year 1 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 = Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340., quarter 3: Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340., Quarter 4= Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340.). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 = Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340., quarter 3: Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340., Quarter 4= Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340.). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 = Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340., quarter 3: Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340., Quarter 4= Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340.). **Explain**

Lincoln University will collaborate with the Missouri NRCS office to implement Cover Crop – Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 and Conservation Crop Rotation Code 340. We are planting hemp fiber and fiber/fuel dual use genetics on idle ground in a Hard Red Winter Wheat/Summer Fallow Rotation. We will work closely with NRCS in implementing Climate Smart production practices. Factors to consider:

1. All land is currently in Ag production.
2. No land will be disturbed below the plow zone.
3. There will be no Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO).
4. Technical assistance will be provided by a consortium of researchers from Lincoln University, New West Genetics, Saint Louis University, Danforth Center, and coordination with USDA and NRCS Missouri.

We will be developing remote sensing, ML/AI technologies to measure carbon sequestration in soil and plant, and greenhouse gas emissions. The technologies will be available to stakeholders in the form of

algorithms that translate imagery to information, software packages, and/or online greenhouse measurement tool. Exact number of technologies employed are hard to determine at this early stage but envision some use of these tools developed by farmers and stakeholders.

Other specific project benchmarks/milestones

- **Hemp will be planted in a Hard Red Winter Wheat/ Summer Fallow crop rotation.:** Year 1 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =Planted, quarter 3: growing, Quarter 4= harvest). Year 2 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =planted, quarter 3: growing, Quarter 4= harvest). Year 3 (Quarter 1 = X, quarter 2 =planted, quarter 3: growing, Quarter 4= harvest).

Climate-Smart Practices and Limitations

Climate-Smart practices under this grant shall be limited to the following practices:

NRCS Practice Code (if applicable)	Practice Name
340	Cover Crop
328	Conservation Crop Rotation

All practices applied under this grant will follow NRCS practice standards unless noted below:

N/A



Partnerships for
Climate-Smart
Commodities
Data Dictionary
for Recipients
February 2023
Version 1.0



Table of Contents

Overview of Reporting Requirements.....	2
Project Summary.....	3
Partner Activities.....	4
Marketing Activities	5
Producer Enrollment	6
Field Enrollment.....	7
Farm Summary.....	8
Field Summary	9
GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled.....	10
GHG Benefits - Measured.....	11
Additional Environmental Benefits	12
Supplemental Data Submission.....	13
Data Descriptions.....	14
Unique IDs.....	14
Project Summary.....	15
Partner Activities.....	20
Marketing Activities	25
Producer Enrollment	30
Field Enrollment.....	38
CSAF Practice Sub-questions	44
Farm Summary.....	45
Field Summary	49
GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled.....	57
GHG Benefits - Measured.....	61
Additional Environmental Benefits	65
CSAF Practice Sub-questions	75
Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices.....	83
All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices).....	83
Other CSAF Practices.....	85
Appendix B: Commodity List	86



Overview of Reporting Requirements

Grant recipients are required to submit reports to document their performance under the *Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodity* funding opportunity. These submissions will be required to use the Microsoft Excel workbook templates provided by USDA. The workbooks contain a series of worksheets that collect data in a standardized format to ensure data quality and allow for aggregation and summary of this information. The entire workbook must be submitted quarterly, with updates to all applicable worksheets. This guide is divided into three sections. The *Overview of Reporting Requirements* section summarizes the layout of the reporting workbook and presents the data elements included in each worksheet. It also describes additional documents that must be submitted to supplement the performance reports. The *Data Definitions* section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated. Finally, the *Appendices* contain practice and commodity lists that will be used for these reports. Reporting is necessary for USDA oversight of this effort. The data elements required for inclusion in the quarterly performance reports allow USDA to conduct selected audits to review whether producers are receiving federal funds from multiple sources for the same purpose; to determine whether GHG benefits from implementation of climate-smart agriculture and forestry (CSAF) practices are being estimated accurately; and for other purposes deemed appropriate by USDA.

The reporting worksheets collect information at four levels: project, partner, producer, and field.

Descriptions of each level:

Project level: Information about activities and impacts at a whole project/aggregate level (i.e., reflecting all activities under the grant agreement). Some project-level reporting is further subdivided by commodity type or a combination of commodity and CSAF practice(s) (commodity x practice).

Partner level: Information about activities related to a single organization (recipient, subrecipient, contractor, or other partner) within a project.

Producer level: Information about individual producers who have one or more farms enrolled in a project.

Field level: Information about individual fields enrolled in a project.

Certain data elements are required to be reported for each producer and field enrolled in a project. In order to minimize the burden associated with data collection and to enable USDA to match data to existing records, these producer- and field-specific records must use the producer's established FSA Farm, Tract and Field IDs, and report the State and County associated with the Farm ID. Associated data entered in conjunction with these data elements, such as Producer Name, must match the data contained in the customer's Business Partner record, and the Farm Operating Plan in Business File for that Farm ID. Disclosure of this information is protected under Section 1619 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (PL 110- 246), 7 U.S.C. 8791. Additionally, Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA's policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Note: For purposes of this guide, "farm" refers to the operation from which climate-smart commodities are produced and may represent farms, ranches, forests or other operations. Similarly, "field" refers to the individual land units at which climate-smart practices are being implemented to produce climate-smart commodities and may represent lots, farmsteads or other units, depending on the type of operation and commodity. The use of "Farm", "Tract" and "Field" align with the FSA definitions; for example, "A field is a part of a farm that is separated from the balance of the farm by a permanent boundary, such as; fences, permanent waterways, woodlands, croplines in cases where farming practices make it probable that this cropline is not subject to change, and other similar features."



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

The following tables list the data elements included in each reporting worksheet, along with a brief description of each item.

Project Summary

These data will be collected about each project. Cumulative results are reported each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Table 1. Project Summary elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) incentivized by the project	Quarterly
Commodity sales	Indicates sales of the commodity(ies) related to the project occurred this quarter	Quarterly
Farms enrolled	Indicates enrollment activities occurred this quarter	Quarterly
GHG calculation methods	Methods used to calculate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits	Quarterly
GHG cumulative calculation	Method used to calculate cumulative GHG benefits	Quarterly
Cumulative GHG benefits	Whole project estimate of total GHG (CO ₂ e) emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative carbon stock	Whole project estimate of total carbon sequestration	Quarterly
Cumulative CO ₂ benefit	Whole project estimate of total CO ₂ emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative CH ₄ benefit	Whole project estimate of total CH ₄ emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative N ₂ O benefit	Whole project estimate of total N ₂ O emission reductions	Quarterly
Offsets produced	Amount of carbon offsets produced by project	Quarterly
Offsets sale	Name of marketplace where carbon offsets were sold	Quarterly
Offsets price	Price of carbon in offset sales	Quarterly
Insets produced	Amount of carbon insets produced by project	Quarterly
Cost of on-farm TA	Cost of on-farm technical assistance (TA) provided to producers	Quarterly
MMRV cost	Cost of measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) activities	Quarterly
GHG monitoring method	Methods used by project to monitor GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly
GHG reporting method	Methods used by project to report on GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly
GHG verification method	Methods used to verify GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Partner Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will represent one organization involved in the project, including the recipient and all contributing partners. A partner is any organization that is receiving project funds or providing matching contributions (funds or in-kind contributions) to the project. While the recipient must complete one row for their own organization, not all data elements apply to the recipient. These exceptions are noted in the detailed descriptions of the specific elements in the *Data Definitions* section of this guide. Data are reported cumulatively each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Table 2. Partner Activities elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Partner ID	Unique ID for each partner	One-time
Partner name	Name of partner organization	One-time
Partner type	Type of organization	One-time
Partner POC	Partner point of contact name	As applicable
Partner POC email	Partner point of contact email	As applicable
Partnership start date	Start of partnership on project	One-time
Partnership end date	End of partnership on project	As applicable
New partnership	Indicator for partner organizations that have no prior work with the recipient	As applicable
Partner total requested	Total amount requested to date by partner from recipient	Quarterly
Total match contribution	Total amount of match contribution by partner to date	Quarterly
Total match incentives	Total amount of match contribution by partner for incentives	Quarterly
Match type	Top 3 types of match contribution by partner, other than incentives	Quarterly
Match amount	Value of match contributions by type	Quarterly
Training provided	Top 3 types of training provided to the partner through project	Quarterly
Activity by partner	Top 3 types of activities provided by this partner to producers or other partners	Quarterly
Activity cost	Approximate cost per activity type provided by partner to producers or other partners	Quarterly
Products supplied	Names of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives	Quarterly
Product source	Supplier or source of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Marketing Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to one commodity for which the project enrolls fields and one marketing channel used to sell that commodity by the project or producers enrolled in the project. Data are reported for the current quarter and are not cumulative. If no sales of the commodity were reported during a quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 3. Marketing Activities elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Commodity type	Type of commodity incentivized by the project	Quarterly
Marketing channel type	Type of marketing channels used	Quarterly
Number of buyers	Number of buyers per marketing channel	Quarterly
Names of buyers	Names of buyers in the marketing channel	Quarterly
Marketing channel geography	Geography of marketing channel	Quarterly
Value sold	Value of commodity sold by marketing channel	Quarterly
Volume sold	Volume of commodity sold by marketing channel	Quarterly
Price premium	Price premium of commodity by marketing channel	Quarterly
Price premium to producer	Percent of price premium that goes to the producer	Quarterly
Product differentiation method	Top 3 types of product differentiation methods used	Quarterly
Marketing method	Top 3 types of marketing methods used	Quarterly
Marketing channel identification method	Top 3 ways marketing channel was identified	Quarterly
Traceability method	Top 3 types of supply chain traceability methods used	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Producer Enrollment

These data will be collected at the producer level about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. Data are reported when a producer first enrolls one or more fields in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the farm characteristics each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates. The quarterly submission should contain information about each farm initially enrolled in the project during that quarter and for updates to farms that have re-enrolled during that quarter, as applicable. If no farms are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 4. Producer Enrollment elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
Producer data change	Indicator that producer data was updated at re-enrollment	As applicable
Producer start date	Contract start date	Enrollment
Producer name	Name of primary operator	Enrollment
Underserved status	Indicator the primary operator is considered underserved and/or a small producer	Enrollment
Total area	Total area of enrolled operation	Annual
Total crop area	Total crop area in enrolled operation enrolled	Annual
Total livestock area	Total livestock confinement, pasture and rangeland in enrolled operation	Annual
Total forest area	Total forest area in enrolled operation	Annual
Livestock type	Top 3 types of livestock on enrolled operation	Annual
Livestock head	Total livestock currently managed (by type)	Annual
Organic farm	Indicator that part of the farm is certified or transitioning organic	Annual
Organic fields	Indicator that any of the enrolled fields are certified or transitioning organic	Annual
Producer motivation	Motivation for participation	Annual
Producer outreach	Top 3 types of outreach provided to producer	Annual
CSAF experience	Indicator of prior implementation of CSAF practices at this farm	Annual
CSAF federal funds	Indicator of prior receipt of federal funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF state or local funds	Indicator of prior receipt of state funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF nonprofit funds	Indicator of prior receipt of nonprofit funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF market incentives	Indicator of prior receipt of market incentives for CSAF practices	Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Enrollment

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row corresponds to one field x commodity combination enrolled in the project. Generally, data are reported once for each field, at its initial enrollment. The quarterly submission should contain information about each field initially enrolled in the project during that quarter. If no fields are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. If a field is enrolled for multiple years, any relevant changes, such as a new ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations should be entered in this worksheet during the quarter it is re-enrolled, or as applicable.

Table 5. Field Enrollment elements

Data element name	Description
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name
Physical County of field	Physical county name must match FSA farm records
Prior Field ID	Previous Field ID when reconstitution of farm results in new Field IDs
Field data change	Indicator that field data has changed from initial enrollment
Contract start date	Start date of contract
Total field area	Size of enrolled field
Commodity category	Category of commodity(ies) produced
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) produced
Baseline yield	Average yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment
Baseline yield location	Location for which baseline yield is provided
Field land use	Most common land use in field in past 3 years
Field irrigated	Most common irrigation type in field in past 3 years
Field tillage	Most common tillage in field in past 3 years
Practice past extent - farm	Extent of operation that implemented this practice prior to project enrollment
Field any CSAF practice	Indicator for prior CSAF practices in this field in past 3 years
Practice past use - this field	Indicator of prior use of this practice in this field in the past 3 years
Practice type	CSAF practice(s) that will be implemented in enrolled field (up to 7)
Practice standard	Organization that developed CSAF practice standard implemented in field
Planned practice implementation year	Year that practice is planned to be implemented
Practice extent	Area or number of animals for which practice is implemented
Follow-on questions	Follow-on questions by practice type (see Table 11)



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Farm Summary

These data will be collected about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. The quarterly submission should contain updates to any data elements that have changed for each farm enrolled in the project during that quarter. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. Data are not cumulative.

Table 6. Farm Summary elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name	
County of residence	County name	
Producer TA received	Type of technical assistance provided to producer	Quarterly
Producer incentive amount	Total financial incentive provided to the producer	Quarterly
Incentive reason	Top 4 reason(s) for financial incentives provided to producer	Quarterly
Incentive structure	Top 4 units on which financial incentives are structured	Quarterly
Incentive type	Top 4 type(s) of financial incentives provided to producer	Quarterly
Payment on enrollment	Extent of payment provided to producer upon enrollment	Quarterly
Payment on implementation	Extent of payment provided to producer upon implementation of CSAF practices	Quarterly
Payment on harvest	Extent of payment provided to producer upon harvest or slaughter	Quarterly
Payment on MMRV	Extent of payment provided to producer upon reporting or verification	Quarterly
Payment on sale	Extent of payment provided to producer upon sale of commodity	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Summary

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project for a commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field x commodity x practice(s) combination enrolled in the project. Data for each field will be reported quarterly and are not cumulative. Report data for any elements that have an update in that quarter. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. This worksheet includes a section to report the “official” estimate of GHG benefits – amounts of greenhouse gas emissions reduced and carbon sequestered – for the field. These quantities refer to the estimates that are used to calculate the project’s aggregate impact (reported in Table 1). Tables 8 and 9 are used to report alternate estimates of the field-level GHG benefits when additional methods are used to model (Table 8) or measure (Table 9) these impacts. Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model.

Table 7. Field Summary elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name	
County of field	County name	
Commodity type	Type of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Practice type	Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to seven)	Quarterly
Date practice complete	Date that practice implementation is certified complete	Quarterly
Contract end date	End date of contract	Quarterly
MMRV assistance provided	Indicator that MMRV assistance is provided to field	Quarterly
Marketing assistance provided	Indicator that marketing assistance provided for commodity from field	Quarterly
Incentive per acre or head	Indicator that a per acre/head incentives is provided for the CSAF practice(s) on this field	Quarterly
Field commodity value	Value of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Field commodity volume	Volume of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Cost of implementation	Total cost of practice implementation in field	Quarterly
Cost coverage	Percent of total cost of implementation of practice covered by project incentives	Quarterly
Field GHG monitoring	Methods used to monitor GHG benefits in field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG reporting	Methods used to report on GHG benefits for field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG verification	Methods used to verify GHG benefits for field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG calculations	Methods used to calculate GHG benefits for field	Quarterly
Field official GHG calculation	Method used to calculate official GHG benefits for field	Quarterly
Field official GHG ER	Official estimate of total GHG emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official carbon stock	Official estimate of total carbon sequestration for field	Quarterly
Field official CO ₂ ER	Official estimate of total CO ₂ emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official CH ₄ ER	Official estimate of total CH ₄ emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official N ₂ O ER	Official estimate of total N ₂ O emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field offsets produced	Amount of carbon offsets produced in field	Quarterly
Field insets produced	Amount of carbon insets produced in field	Quarterly
Other field measurements	Indicator that field data was collected for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled

If greenhouse gas benefits are modeled for the same field using multiple methods, the results for the alternate models are reported in this worksheet. The “alternate” models refer to those model results that were not used in the calculation of the project’s aggregate impact (as reported in Table 1). Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model. These data will be collected about the modeled GHG benefits for each field x commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field enrolled in the project. Data are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for all fields that have new modeled data. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 8. GHG Benefits – Alternate Modeled elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name	
County of field	County name	
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) produced from the field (up to 6)	Annual
Practice type	Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to 7)	Annual
GHG model	Model used to calculate GHG benefits	Annual
Model start date	Start date of model run	Annual
Model end date	End date of model run	Annual
Total GHG benefits estimated	Estimate of total GHG benefits for field	Annual
Total carbon stock estimated	Estimate of total change in carbon stock for field	Annual
Total CO2 estimated	Estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field	Annual
Total CH4 estimated	Estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field	Annual
Total N2O estimated	Estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field	Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Measured

Projects must report the results of any carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this worksheet. These data will be collected at the field level. Each row will represent a separate measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits for a given field. Data are reported once per year of measurement and are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for any field for which there are new soil samples or new calculations of annual GHG benefits based on actual measurements.

Table 9. GHG Benefits - Measured data elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State	State name	
County	County name	
GHG measurement method	Method of measurement	Annual
Lab name	Entity that conducted analysis	Annual
Measurement start date	Start date of measurements	Annual
Measurement end date	End date of measurements	Annual
Total CO ₂ reduction calculated	Calculation of total CO ₂ reduction	Annual
Total carbon stock change calculated	Calculation of change in carbon stock	Annual
Total CH ₄ reduction calculated	Calculation of total CH ₄ reduction	Annual
Total N ₂ O reduction calculated	Calculation of total N ₂ O reduction	Annual
Soil sample result	Numeric result from soil sample	Annual
Measurement type	Type of analysis conducted	Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Additional Environmental Benefits

Projects that track additional environmental benefits (e.g., water quality improvements) from enrolled fields report results in this worksheet. These data will be collected about each field. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to an enrolled field. Data are not cumulative. Estimates of environmental benefits must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 10. Additional Environmental Benefits elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State	State name	
County	County name	
Environmental benefits	Indicator that project tracks other environmental benefits	Annual
Reduction in nitrogen loss	Indicator that project tracks reductions in nitrogen loss	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduction in phosphorus loss	Indicator that project tracks reductions in phosphorus loss	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Other water quality	Indicator that project tracks other water quality improvements	Annual
Type	Type of water quality metric being tracked	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Water quantity	Indicator that project tracks reduced water use	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduced erosion	Indicator that project tracks reductions in soil erosion	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduced energy use	Indicator that project tracks reductions in energy use	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Avoided land conversion	Indicator that project tracks reductions in land conversion	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Improved wildlife habitat	Indicator that project tracks improvements in wildlife habitat	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual



Supplemental Data Submission

Project MMRV Plan

Definition of MMRV elements:

Measurement: Quantification of the greenhouse gas benefits (reduction or capture) using mathematical models and/or direct physical measurements in the field

Monitoring: Ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time

Reporting: Documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization

Verification: Independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable.

Projects must submit an MMRV plan that includes details about how each of the following are addressed:

- Quantification approach, including:
 - GHG models used
 - GHG measurement plan (if applicable)
 - Approach to quantifying additional environmental benefits, if applicable (e.g., water quality, habitat)
- Verification approach:
 - Compliance criteria
 - Verification plan/methodology
- Approach to ensuring:
 - Additionality
 - Permanence
 - Leakage
 - Impacts of weather
- Plan for non-compliance

If the project is using a specific MMRV methodology or approach developed by the recipient, a project partner, or an outside organization, the project can submit documentation associated with the methodology as long as the documentation addresses each of the above categories.

If the project is tracking other environmental benefits (as reported in the *Additional Environmental Benefits* worksheet), include a description of the methodology and tools used to track and report on these benefits.

Field modeled GHG benefit reports

Results from any models besides COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits must also be submitted as a separate report. This includes projects running COMET-Farm. The full results of any model can be submitted in the native/standard format generated by the modeling tool and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID.

Field direct measurement results

For any direct physical measurements in the field, measurement results must be submitted as a separate report and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID. Measurement results reports must include the name of the equipment used for sampling or data collection, the name of the lab that analyzed the data, and the analytical method used.

Sample report types include soil analysis reports, summarized results of portable emissions analyzers or flux towers, water quality analyses, and plant species counts. These could be collected for the purposes of determining GHG emission reductions or carbon sequestration amounts, for calibration of tools or models, for tracking other environmental benefits, or for other reasons.



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Data Descriptions

This section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated.

Unique IDs

Project ID: Unique ID at the project level – “Award Identifying Number” shown on award documentation

Partner ID: Unique ID at the partner level – use EIN; if no EIN, a unique ID will be assigned for use in these reports

State or territory of operation: State or territory name

County of operation: Physical county name

Farm ID: Unique ID at the operation level assigned by Farm Service Agency (FSA)

Tract ID: Unique ID at the tract level assigned by FSA

Field ID: Unique ID at the field level assigned by FSA



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Project Summary**Commodity type****Data element name:** Commodity type**Reporting question:** What climate-smart commodity types are produced by this project?**Description:** Type of commodity incentivized by the project. These commodities include those for whom farmers are directly receiving incentives or other types of marketing support. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. List one commodity per row.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Commodity sales****Data element name:** Commodity sales**Reporting question:** Did project activities result in sales this quarter of the commodity(ies) produced by this project?**Description:** Indicator of sales of commodity(ies) related to project activities. If sales are reported, complete the *Marketing Activities* worksheet (Table 3) as part of the quarterly performance report.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Farms enrolled****Data element name:** Farms enrolled**Reporting question:** Did the project enroll any producers or fields this quarter?**Description:** Indicator that the project enrolled producers or fields. If enrollment activities occurred this quarter, complete the *Producer Enrollment* and *Field Enrollment* worksheets (Tables 4 and 5) as part of the quarterly performance report.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**GHG calculation methods****Data element name:** GHG calculation methods**Reporting question:** What methods is the project using to calculate GHG benefits?**Description:** List the way(s) that GHG benefits are being measured and calculated by the project this quarter.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements
- Both

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG cumulative calculation**Data element name:** GHG cumulative calculation**Description:** List the method(s) that was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported by the project this quarter.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What method(s) was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported here?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements
- Both

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative GHG benefits****Data element name:** Cumulative GHG benefits**Description:** Total cumulative estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What are the project's estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO₂eq) to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative carbon stock****Data element name:** Cumulative carbon stock**Description:** Estimated total cumulative change in carbon stock based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** How much carbon has the project sequestered to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative CO₂ benefit****Data element name:** Cumulative CO₂ benefit**Description:** Estimated total cumulative carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What are the project's estimated total cumulative CO₂ emission reductions to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative CH₄ benefit****Data element name:** Cumulative CH₄ benefit**Description:** Estimated total cumulative methane reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH₄ reduced in CO₂eq**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What are the project's estimated total CH₄ emission reductions to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Cumulative N2O benefit**Data element name:** Cumulative N2O benefit**Reporting question:** What are the project's estimated total N2O emission reductions to date?

Description: Estimated total cumulative nitrous oxide reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no updated numbers enter the same number as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Offsets produced****Data element name:** Offsets produced**Reporting question:** How many carbon offsets have been produced in the project?

Description: Total carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields during the quarter. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Offsets sale****Data element name:** Offsets sale**Reporting question:** To what marketplace(s) were carbon offsets sold?

Description: Marketplaces to which carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields were sold. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. List each marketplace name. Separate names with commas.

Data type: Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Offsets price****Data element name:** Offsets price**Reporting question:** What was the average price of carbon received for offsets?

Description: Average price per metric ton paid for carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars per metric ton**Allowed values:** 0-500**Logic:** Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Insets produced****Data element name:** Insets produced**Reporting question:** How many carbon insets have been produced in the project?

Description: Total carbon insets produced by enrolled fields during the quarter. Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Cost of on-farm TA**Data element name:** Cost of on-farm TA**Reporting question:** What is the total amount that has been spent to provide on-farm TA?**Description:** Total cost of any field- or practice-specific technical assistance provided by the project (by recipient or partners) to any producers. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$50,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**MMRV cost****Data element name:** MMRV cost**Reporting question:** What is the total amount that has been spent on MMRV activities?**Description:** Total cost of all MMRV activities paid for by the project (recipient or partners). MMRV components are defined as measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practices have been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable). This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$50,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**GHG monitoring method****Data element name:** GHG monitoring 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project monitor GHG benefits?**Description:** Up to the five most common forms of monitoring GHG benefits used this quarter as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Drones
- Ground-level photos and videos
- On-farm visit
- Plot-based sampling
- Producer records or attestation
- Satellite monitoring or remote sensing
- Soil metagenomics
- Soil sensors
- Water sensors
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG reporting method**Data element name:** GHG reporting 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project track and report implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of tracking and reporting on practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**GHG verification method****Data element name:** GHG verification method 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project verify implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of verifying practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Artificial intelligence
- Audit by recipient
- Computer modeling
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Partner Activities**Unique IDs**

Partner ID	Unique Project ID for each partner
------------	------------------------------------

Partner name

Data element name: Name of partner organization	Reporting question: What is the official name of the recipient or partner organization?
--	--

Description: Legal name of recipient or partner organization

Data type: Text

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: NA

Allowed values: Text

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation
--

Partner type

Data element name: Type of partner organization	Reporting question: What type of organization is this?
--	---

Description: Legal/financial structure of recipient or partner organization
--

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Commodity groups (501c5)
- For-profit
- Individual
- Nonprofit
- State or local agency
- Tribal agency
- University

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation
--

Partner POC

Data element name: Partner POC	Reporting question: Who is the point of contact for this project at the recipient or partner organization?
---------------------------------------	---

Description: Name of a point of contact for the recipient or partner organization
--

Data type: Text

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: NA

Allowed values: Text

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary

Partner POC email

Data element name: Partner POC email	Reporting question: What is the point of contact's email address?
---	--

Description: Email of the point of contact for the recipient or partner organization

Data type: Text

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: NA

Allowed values: Text

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Partnership start date

Data element name: Partnership start date	Reporting question: When did the partnership start?
Description: Date that the partner organization and the recipient began formally partnering on the project	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partnership end date

Data element name: Partnership end date	Reporting question: When did the partnership end?
Description: Date that the partner organization and the recipient stopped formally partnering on the project	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership end quarter

New partnership

Data element name: New partnership	Reporting question: Is this a new partnership?
Description: A new partnership means that the recipient and the partner organization have not had a formal working relationship (under contract or on a grant) prior to the start of the project.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Yes • No • I don't know
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partner total requested

Data element name: Partner total requested	Reporting question: What is the total amount of funding the partner has requested to date from this project?
Description: Cumulative (total) amount of funds that the partner has requested reimbursement for from the recipient from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus the amount of funds requested in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total match contribution**Data element name:** Total match contribution**Reporting question:** What is the total match value the organization has contributed to the project to date?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds and in-kind contributions (e.g., staff time, inputs, equipment rental, marketing support) that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match contributions in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Total match incentives****Data element name:** Total match incentives**Reporting question:** What is the total value of match provided by this organization for producer incentives?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for incentive payments directly to producers that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match incentives in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Match type****Data element name:** Match type 1-3**Reporting question:** What types of match contributions has the organization provided to the project?

Description: Types of match contributions *other than incentives* provided directly to producers by the organization from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of match contributions provided. In-kind staff time could be used for technical assistance, marketing assistance, or other support to producers. Production inputs include seed, fertilizer, pesticides, equipment and other inputs for use in the field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other match types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Equipment rental or use
- In-kind staff time
- Production inputs (reduced cost or free)
- Program income
- Software
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Match amount**Data element name:** Match amount 1-3**Reporting question:** What is the value of the match contributions the organization provided to the project?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for each match type that the organization has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) match types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Training type provided****Data element name:** Training type 1-3 provided**Reporting question:** What types of training has the organization provided to project partners?

Description: Types of training provided to the project partner as a result of participating in the project during the past quarter. Training can come from the recipient, a project partner organization (including other divisions of their own organization, or an outside organization. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of partner training provided. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 training types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other training types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Data collection
- Grant reporting
- Marketing opportunities
- Providing financial assistance
- Providing technical assistance
- Writing producer contracts
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Activity by partner****Data element name:** Activity 1-3 by partner**Reporting question:** What types of activities has the organization provided to the project?

Description: Types of activities that the recipient or partner organization has provided during the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of activities undertaken. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other activity types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Marketing support
- MMRV support
- Producer outreach for enrollment
- Technical assistance to producers
- Training to other partner organizations
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Activity cost**Data element name:** Activity cost 1-3**Reporting question:** What is the value of the activities this organization has provided to the project?

Description: Cumulative (total) cost of each activity type that the organization has undertaken or offered from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) activity types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are provided, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Products supplied****Data element name:** Products supplied**Reporting question:** What products or supplies were provided to enrolled fields?

Description: Name(s) of products supplied to enrolled producers as incentives or matching contributions. Enter the name of each product, including its brand. Separate each product name with a comma. If no products or supplies were provided by the organization, leave the column blank.

Data type: Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Product source****Data element name:** Product source**Reporting question:** Which companies provided the supplies?

Description: Name of firm or company from which supplies were obtained.

Data type: Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** Respond if text entered for 'Products supplied'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Marketing Activities**Commodity type**

Data element name: Commodity type	Reporting question: What type of commodity is produced by the farmers enrolled in this project?
Description: List a single commodity produced or marketed through incentives from this project. If multiple commodities are produced by the project, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each commodity. Use the FSA commodity list in Appendix B and choose the commodity from the list.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: FSA commodity list
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Marketing channel type

Data element name: Marketing channel type	Reporting question: What type of marketing channel is used to sell this commodity?
Description: List a single type of marketing channel used to sell the commodity produced by farmers enrolled in the project. If a single commodity is marketed through multiple channels, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each combination of commodity and marketing channel. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the other marketing channel type(s) as free text.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Agricultural marketing board • Biorefinery • Commodity broker • Direct to consumer • Direct to institution • Direct to restaurant • Distributor (including grain elevators) • Food hub or cooperative • Food processor • Non-food byproducts processor • Retailer • USDA • Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Number of buyers

Data element name: Number of buyers	Reporting question: How many buyers are there in this marketing channel?
Description: List the number of individual firms or buyers in this marketing channel.	
Data type: Integer	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Count	Allowed values: 1-500
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Names of buyers**Data element name:** Names of buyers**Reporting question:** What are the names of all of the buyers in this marketing channel?**Description:** Provide the names of all buyers in this marketing channel. Separate each name with a comma.**Data type:** Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Marketing channel geography****Data element name:** Marketing channel geography**Reporting question:** What is the primary geography of the marketing channel?**Description:** The primary geography of the type of marketing channel. Primary geography means the scale at which most of the activity of buying and selling happens. Local means within a single state or directly neighboring states. Regional means within a five-to-ten state area. National means across the United States. International means specific locations outside of the United States. Global means across the world or not to a specific international location.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Local
- Regional
- National
- Global

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Value sold****Data element name:** Value sold**Reporting question:** What is the value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?**Description:** The dollar value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$1-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Volume sold****Data element name:** Volume sold**Reporting question:** What is the volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?**Description:** The volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Number**Allowed values:** 1-100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Volume sold unit**Data element name:** Volume sold unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit of volume?**Description:** The unit associated with the volume of the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Bales (500 pounds)
- Bushels
- Carcass pounds
- Gallons
- Kilograms
- Linear board feet
- Liveweight pounds
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Short tons
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Price premium****Data element name:** Price premium**Reporting question:** What price premium is received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?**Description:** The price premium received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a 'business as usual' price.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0.01-\$10,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Price premium unit****Data element name:** Price premium unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the price premium?**Description:** The unit associated with the price premium for the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Per bale (500 pounds)
- Per bushel
- Per carcass pound
- Per gallon
- Per kilogram
- Per linear board foot
- Per live pound
- Per metric ton
- Per ounce
- Per short ton
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Price premium to producer**Data element name:** Price premium to producer**Reporting question:** What percent of the price premium is provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?**Description:** The percent of the price premium provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a 'business as usual' price.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Percent**Allowed values:** 0-100**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Product differentiation method****Data element name:** Product differentiation method 1-3**Reporting question:** What methods are used to differentiate climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?**Description:** Provide the methods used to differentiate the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Product differentiation methods are ways to distinguish or differentiate the climate-smart commodity in the marketplace. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 product differentiation methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other product differentiation methods as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Certification/verification for internal insetting
- Farm certification
- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing
- Third party certification/verification
- Trademark
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Marketing method****Data element name:** Marketing method 1-3**Reporting question:** What methods are used to market climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?**Description:** Provide the method(s) used to market this commodity in this market channel. Marketing method is the way that potential buyers of the climate-smart commodity are engaged by the project partners as the sellers or facilitators of sale. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing methods as free text**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing materials
- Marketing partnership (e.g., promotion by buyer)
- Print marketing campaign
- Social media and digital marketing campaign
- Verbal marketing campaign (e.g., radio, word of mouth)
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Marketing channel identification method**Data element name:** Marketing channel identification method 1-3**Reporting question:** What methods are used to generate interest in climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

Description: Provide the marketing channel identification method(s) used for this commodity in this market channel. Market channel identification methods are the ways that producers and project partners generate interest in purchasing the climate-smart commodity. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing channel identification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing channel identification methods as free text

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Educational tours for buyers
- In-person lead generation
- Negotiated contracts with buyers
- Partnership network or project partner
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Traceability method****Data element name:** Traceability method 1-3**Reporting question:** What traceability methods are used for climate-smart commodities in this channel?

Description: Provide the traceability method(s) used for the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Traceability methods are ways to trace the climate-smart commodity or the climate-smart claims through the supply chain. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 traceability methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other traceability methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Barcode or unique ID
- Blockchain
- Book and claim
- Chain of custody
- Mass balance
- Recordkeeping
- Registry with certification
- Segregation
- Supply shed
- Volume proxy
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Producer Enrollment**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Producer data change**Data element name:** Producer data change**Reporting question:** Is there new/updated information for a producer who is re-enrolling in the project?**Description:** Indicates that there is new or updated information for a producer who had previously enrolled in the project and is re-enrolling.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Re-enrollment**Producer start date****Data element name:** Producer start date**Reporting question:** When did the producer enroll in the project?**Description:** Date that the producer enrolled in the project by signing their first contract.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Producer name****Data element name:** Producer name**Reporting question:** What is the name of producer enrolled in the project?**Description:** Name of the producer enrolled in the project; the name must match the name contained in the customer's Business Partner record and the Farm Operating Plan in FSA Business File for that Farm ID.**Data type:** Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** NA**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Underserved status**Data element name:** Underserved status**Reporting question:** Is this producer considered an underserved and/or a small producer?

Description: Underserved status of the primary operator of the enrolled operation. Underserved producers generally include beginning farmers, socially disadvantaged farmers, veteran farmers, and limited resource farmers; women farmers and producers growing specialty crops are generally also included in these categories. Small farms are generally those with less than \$350,000 in annual gross cash farm income. Indicate whether this producer is considered underserved, a small producer, or both underserved and a small producer. Use "I don't know" if the producer declines to answer. Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA's policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes, underserved
- Yes, small producer
- Yes, underserved and small producer
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Total area****Data element name:** Total area**Reporting question:** What is the total area of the farm?

Description: Total area of the farm associated with the Farm ID. Report total area of the farm, even if only a portion of the farm is enrolled in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Less than 1 acre
- 1 to 9 acres
- 10 to 49 acres
- 50 to 69 acres
- 70 to 99 acres
- 100 to 139 acres
- 140 to 179 acres
- 180 to 219 acres
- 220 to 259 acres
- 260 to 499 acres
- 500 to 999 acres
- 1,000 to 1,999 acres
- 2,000 to 4,999 acres
- 5,000 or more acres

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total crop area

Data element name: Total crop area **Reporting question:** What percent of the current operation is cropland?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently used as cropland. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total crop area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Total livestock area

Data element name: Total livestock area **Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is used for livestock (by area)?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently used for pasture, grazing, rangeland; or animal housing, feeding or milking. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total livestock area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Total forest area

Data element name: Total forest area **Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is forested (by area)?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently considered forest land use. Forest land use means that at least 10% of the land area is covered in trees that will be at least 13 feet tall when mature. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total forest area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Livestock type**Data element name:** Livestock type 1-3**Reporting question:** What types of livestock are raised on the farm?

Description: Up to top three types of livestock (by head count) on the farm. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other livestock types as free text. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the livestock type each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Alpacas
- Beef cows
- Beefalo
- Buffalo or bison
- Chickens (broilers)
- Chickens (layers)
- Dairy cows
- Deer
- Ducks
- Elk
- Emus
- Equine
- Geese
- Goats
- Honeybees
- Llamas
- Reindeer
- Sheep
- Swine
- Turkeys
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if 'Total livestock area' >0**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable**Livestock head****Data element name:** Livestock head 1-3**Reporting question:** How many livestock (by type) are on this operation?

Description: Average annual head count for each type of livestock. Enter amounts for up to the top three livestock types by number. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the average annual head count each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Head count**Allowed values:** 1-10,000,000**Logic:** Respond if 'Total livestock area' >0**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Organic farm**Data element name:** Organic farm**Reporting question:** Is any part of the farm currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?

Description: USDA-certified organic means that the farm has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the farm each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable**Organic fields****Data element name:** Organic fields**Reporting question:** Are any of the fields enrolled in the project currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?

Description: USDA-certified organic means that the operation has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the enrolled fields each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Organic operation'**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable**Producer motivation****Data element name:** Producer motivation**Reporting question:** Which of the following was the primary reason the producer enrolled in this project?**Description:** Primary operator's motivation for enrolling in the project.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Financial benefit
- Environmental benefit
- New market opportunity
- Partnerships or networks
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Producer outreach**Data element name:** Producer outreach 1-3**Reporting question:** What types of outreach were provided to producers?

Description: Up to three most common types of outreach provided to producer prior to enrollment. Outreach activities are those focused on identifying and enrolling producers in the project. Outreach can come from the recipient or project partners. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 outreach types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other outreach types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** Yes**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Commodity organizations
- Conferences
- Cooperative extension
- Digital communications and resources
- Education workshops, field days, and town halls
- Existing partner networks
- Farm visits and one-on-one meetings
- General advertising
- Peer referrals and producer groups
- Phone calls
- Print communications and resources
- Retailers
- State agencies
- Targeted messaging using proprietary data
- Technical service providers
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**CSAF experience****Data element name:** CSAF experience**Reporting question:** Has the primary operator implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years anywhere on the farm?

Description: Has this farm implemented climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practices anywhere on the farm in the past 10 years or since the current primary operator took control (whichever time period is shorter)? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

CSAF federal funds**Data element name:** CSAF federal funds**Reporting question:** Were prior CSAF practices supported by federal funds?

Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by federal funds? Federal funds are defined as being from programs including, but not limited to, those from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), including through Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP), or related programs), the Farm Service Agency Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), as well as funds from other USDA programs or other federal agencies.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**CSAF state or local funds****Data element name:** CSAF state or local funds**Reporting question:** Were prior CSAF practices supported by state or local funds?

Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by state funds? State or local funds are those from state departments of agriculture or other state agencies, local water quality districts and other local agencies.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**CSAF nonprofit funds****Data element name:** CSAF nonprofit funds**Reporting question:** Were CSAF practices supported by nonprofit funds?

Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by nonprofit funds? Nonprofit funds are those offered directly from a nonprofit organization to a producer.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

CSAF market incentives**Data element name:** CSAF market incentives**Reporting question:** Were CSAF practices supported by market incentives?**Description:** If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by market incentives? Market incentives include premiums paid by a commodity buyer or by a consumer based on branding or labeling as a climate-smart commodity.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Enrollment**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
Prior Field ID, if applicable	Prior Field ID assigned by FSA if there has been reconstitution of the farm resulting in a new Field ID during the field's enrollment in the project

Field data change**Data element name:** Field data change**Reporting question:** Has the information previously reported for this field changed?**Description:** Indicator that this entry is being used to report any relevant changes, such as a new Field ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations, for a field that has previously been enrolled in the project.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Re-enrollment**Contract start date****Data element name:** Contract start date**Reporting question:** What is the start date of the contract with the producer that includes this field?**Description:** Start date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Total field area****Data element name:** Total field area**Reporting question:** What is the total size of the enrolled field?**Description:** Total size of the field enrolled with the project.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Acres**Allowed values:** .01-500**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Commodity category**Data element name:** Commodity category**Reporting question:** What category of commodity(ies) is (are) produced from this field?**Description:** Category of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Crops
- Livestock
- Trees
- Crops and livestock
- Crops and trees
- Livestock and trees
- Crops, livestock and trees

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Commodity type****Data element name:** Commodity type**Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced from this field?**Description:** Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose the appropriate value. Enter additional commodities in subsequent rows.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Baseline yield****Data element name:** Baseline yield**Reporting question:** What is the baseline yield of this field?**Description:** Average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. Provide yield for the enrolled field if possible. If not at field level, provide average annual yield for the specific commodity for the operation.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Production per acre or animal**Allowed values:** .01-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Baseline yield unit**Data element name:** Baseline yield unit**Reporting question:** Baseline yield unit

Description: Unit of average annual yield of commodity in enrolled field in 3 years prior to enrollment. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Animal units per acre
- Bushels per acre
- Carcass pounds per animal
- Head per acre
- Hundred-weights (or pounds) per head
- Linear feet per acre
- Liveweight pounds per animal
- Pounds per acre
- Tons per acre
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Baseline yield location****Data element name:** Baseline yield location**Reporting question:** For what portion of the operation is the baseline yield being reported?

Description: Location of the reported average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate location as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Enrolled field
- Whole operation
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Field land use****Data element name:** Field land use**Reporting question:** What is this field's land use history?

Description: Prior to enrollment, what was the most common land use for this field in the past 3 years?

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Crop land
- Forest land
- Non-agriculture
- Other agricultural land
- Pasture
- Range

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field irrigated**Data element name:** Field irrigated**Reporting question:** What is this field's irrigation history?**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common irrigation practice on this field the past 3 years?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- No irrigation
- Center pivot
- Drip-subsurface
- Drip-surface
- Flood/border
- Furrow/ditch
- Lateral/linear sprinklers
- Micro-sprinklers
- Seepage
- Side roll
- Solid set sprinklers
- Supplemental
- Surface
- Traveling gun/towline
- Wheel Line
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Field tillage****Data element name:** Field tillage**Reporting question:** What is this field's tillage history?**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common tillage approach during the past 3 years?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- None
- Conventional, inversion
- Conventional, vertical
- No-till, direct seed
- Reduced till, inversion
- Reduced till, vertical
- Strip till
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Practice past extent - farm**Data element name:** Practice past extent - farm**Description:** Prior to enrollment, on what portion of the whole farm had this (these) CSAF practice(s) ever been used by the primary operator? If multiple practices are planned to be implemented in this field, enter the value that best corresponds to the farm's prior experience with the planned set of practices.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What percent of the farm has implemented this CSAF practice (combination) previously?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Never used
- Used on less than 25% of operation
- Used on 25-50% of operation
- Used on 51-75% of operation
- Used on more than 75% of operation

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Field any CSAF practice****Data element name:** Field any CSAF practice**Description:** Prior to enrollment, have any CSAF practice or practices been used in this field in the past 3 years? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is this field's prior experience with CSAF practices?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Practice past use - this field****Data element name:** Practice past use - this field**Description:** Prior to enrollment, had this (these) CSAF practice(s) been used in this field in the in the past 3 years? Enter yes if all of the practices had been used previously in this field; enter some if multiple practices are being implemented and one or more, but not all of the practices had been used previously in this field; and enter no if none of the practices had been used previously in this field.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** Have this CSAF practice (combination) been implemented previously in this field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- Some
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Practice type**Data element name:** Practice type 1-7**Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project?

Description: Which CSAF practice or practices will be implemented on this field as part of enrollment in the project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** See list in Appendix A**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Practice standard****Data element name:** Practice standard 1-7**Reporting question:** What standard does the CSAF practice follow?

Description: Is the CSAF practice being implemented on the field as part of enrollment in the project following a defined practice standard? The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- NRCS
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Planned practice implementation year****Data element name:** Practice 1-7 implementation year**Reporting question:** What year is the CSAF practice planned to be implemented?

Description: Year that the CSAF practice is planned to be implemented on the field. Use 2022 for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in 2022 (prior to contract being signed for this project). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Integer**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Year**Allowed values:** 2022-2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Practice extent****Data element name:** Practice 1-7 extent**Reporting question:** To what extent is the practice implemented?

Description: Total area, length, or head where the practice is being implemented in the field specified by the contract.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Extent**Allowed values:** .01-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Practice extent unit**Data element name:** Practice 1-7
extent unit**Reporting question:** Unit for extent of practice implementation**Description:** Unit for extent of practice implementation on the field specified by the contract. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Acres
- Head of livestock
- Linear feet
- Square feet
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollmentCSAF Practice Sub-questions

For certain practices, additional questions are asked that provide information necessary to estimate greenhouse gas benefits from implementation of the practice. See Table 11 in the *CSAF Practice Sub-questions* section for descriptions of individual questions to be answered depending on the CSAF practices selected.



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Farm Summary**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Producer TA received

Data element name: Producer TA received
1-3

Reporting question: What types of technical assistance were provided to this producer?

Description: Did the recipient or any partner provide technical assistance (TA) to the producer this year? Technical assistance is any training, education, capacity building or other support provided by any project partner(s) directly to producers enrolled in the project. List up to the top three most common types of TA provided to this producer. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 TA types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other TA types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Demonstration plots
- Equipment demonstrations
- Group field days or in-person field workshops
- Hotline
- One-on-one enrollment assistance
- One-on-one field visits
- One-on-one producer mentorship
- Producer networks and peer-to-peer groups
- Retailer consultation
- Social media/digital tools
- Train-the-trainer opportunities
- Virtual meetings or field days
- Webinars and videos
- Written materials
- None
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Producer incentive amount

Data element name: Producer incentive amount

Reporting question: What is the total value of financial incentives provided to this producer?

Description: Total incentive payment received by the producer from USDA project funds for the year (non-cumulative). Do not include incentive payments made with partner match funds.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: Dollars

Allowed values: \$0-\$5,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Incentive reason

Data element name: Incentive reason 1-4 **Reporting question:** Why were incentives provided to this producer?

Description: List up to four reasons for producer incentive payments. List the top 4 based on total value of the incentive for each reason. The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 reasons, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other reasons as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Avoided conversion
- Conference or training attendance
- Demographics/equity payment
- Enrollment
- Foregone revenue
- Historic data collection
- Identity preservation (supply chain tracing)
- Implementation of practices
- MMRV (e.g., data collection, reporting)
- Passing audit
- Price premium on output
- Yield change
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Incentive structure

Data element name: Incentive structure 1-4 **Reporting question:** What are the units for the financial incentives provided to this producer?

Description: List the structures (units) corresponding to the top 4 (by dollar value) incentive payments to producers. Production unit is weight or volume (bushel, kilogram, ton). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 structure types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other structure types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Flat rate
- Per animal head
- Per area
- Per length
- Per production unit
- Per ton GHG
- Per tree
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Incentive type**Data element name:** Incentive type 1-4**Reporting question:** What type of incentives were provided to each producer?

Description: List the top 4 types of incentive payments to producers (based on dollar value). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 incentive types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other incentive types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Cash payment
- Equipment loan
- Guaranteed commodity premium payment
- Inputs and supplies
- Land rental
- Loan
- Paid labor
- Post-harvest transportation
- Tuition or fees for training
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on enrollment****Data element name:** Payment on enrollment**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon enrollment in the project?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon enrollment/signing a contract, and not related to any implementation, MMRV or sales activities. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on implementation****Data element name:** Payment on implementation**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon implementation of the practices?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon implementing the practices included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Payment on harvest**Data element name:** Payment on harvest**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon harvest of the commodity?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon harvesting or slaughtering the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on MMRV****Data element name:** Payment on MMRV**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon completing MMRV requirements?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon completing the annual MMRV requirements included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on sale****Data element name:** Payment on sale**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Summary**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Commodity type

Data element name: Commodity type **Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced from this field?

Description: Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values: FSA commodity list

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Practice type

Data element name: Field practice type 1-7 **Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project?

Description: Which climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values: See list in Appendix A

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Date practice complete

Data element name: Date practice complete **Reporting question:** When did the project certify CSAF practice implementation as complete?

Description: Date that the project certifies that implementation of the CSAF practice is complete on the field. Use January of the year prior to contract year for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in the year prior to a contract associated with this project is signed). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Date

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY

Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Contract end date**Data element name:** Contract end date**Reporting question:** Contract end date**Description:** End date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project. If contract end date changes, submit updated end date during the next quarter's reporting.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**MMRV assistance provided****Data element name:** MMRV assistance provided**Reporting question:** Was MMRV assistance provided?**Description:** Was any MMRV assistance provided to the primary operator for this field? MMRV assistance includes in-field support for the use of technologies, consultation on data collection and input, and other support related to MMRV. MMRV is defined a measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable).**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Marketing assistance provided****Data element name:** Marketing assistance provided**Reporting question:** Was marketing assistance provided?**Description:** Was any marketing assistance provided to the primary operator for the commodity(ies) produced from this field? Marketing assistance includes guaranteeing the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a platform for the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a label, branding, or other support related to marketing.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Incentive per acre or head****Data element name:** Incentive per acre or head**Reporting question:** Is this field receiving a per-acre or per-head incentive?**Description:** Is this field receiving an incentive payment to implement a specific CSAF practice or set of practices on a per-acre or per-head (livestock) basis?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field commodity value

Data element name: Field commodity value	Reporting question: What is the value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field?
Description: The dollar value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field commodity volume

Data element name: Field commodity volume	Reporting question: What is the volume of commodity produced on the enrolled field?
Description: The volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Number	Allowed values: 1-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field commodity volume unit

Data element name: Field commodity volume unit	Reporting question: What is the unit of volume?
Description: The unit associated with the volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Bushels • Carcass weight pounds • Gallons • Head • Linear feet • Liveweight pounds • Pounds • Tons • Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Cost of implementation

Data element name: Cost of implementation	Reporting question: What is the cost of practice implementation in the field?
Description: Total annual estimated cost per unit of implementing the practice(s) in the enrolled field.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Cost unit**Data element name:** Cost unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for cost?**Description:** The unit associated with the cost of implementing CSAF practices in the field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Per acre
- Per bushel
- Per head
- Per linear foot
- Per pound
- Per ton
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cost coverage****Data element name:** Cost coverage**Reporting question:** What percent of the practice cost is covered by the incentive?**Description:** Estimated proportion of total annual cost of implementing the practice(s) that is covered by project incentives.**Data type:** Integer**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Percent**Allowed values:** 0-100**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field GHG monitoring****Data element name:** Field GHG monitoring 1-3**Reporting question:** How were GHG impacts monitored in this field?

Description: Up to the top three forms of monitoring GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Drones
- Ground-level photos and videos
- On-farm inspection
- Plot-based sampling (e.g., soil, water)
- Producer records or attestation
- Satellite monitoring or remote sensing
- Soil metagenomics
- Soil sensors
- Water sensors
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field GHG reporting**Data element name:** Field GHG reporting 1-3**Reporting question:** How were GHG benefits reported for this field?

Description: Up to the top three forms of reporting on GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field GHG verification****Data element name:** Field GHG verification 1-3**Reporting question:** How was implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions verified for this field?

Description: Up to the top three of verification of GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Artificial intelligence
- Computer modeling
- Recipient audit
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field GHG calculations**Data element name:** Field GHG calculations**Reporting question:** What methods are used to calculate GHG benefits in this field?**Description:** List the method(s) used to calculate GHG benefits in this field. If yes to direct physical measurements, submit result reports (see *Supplemental Data Submission – Field direct GHG measurement results*).**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements
- Both

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official GHG calculation****Data element name:** Field official GHG calculation**Reporting question:** What method was used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field?**Description:** List the method used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official GHG ER****Data element name:** Field official GHG emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO₂eq) in this field?**Description:** Estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official carbon stock****Data element name:** Field official carbon stock**Reporting question:** How much carbon has been sequestered in this field?**Description:** Estimated total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in this field. This data element can be reported in any quarter and is cumulative for the year. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field official CO2 ER**Data element name:** Field official CO2 emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total CO2 emission reductions in this field?**Description:** Estimated total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official CH4 ER****Data element name:** Field official CH4 emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total CH4 emission reductions in this field?**Description:** Estimated total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official N2O ER****Data element name:** Field official N2O emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total N2O emission reductions in this field?**Description:** Estimated total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field offsets produced****Data element name:** Field offsets produced**Reporting question:** How many carbon offsets have been produced in this field?**Description:** Total carbon offsets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field insets produced

Data element name: Field insets produced **Reporting question:** How many carbon insets have been produced in this field?

Description: Total carbon insets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Metric tons CO₂eq

Allowed values: 0-10,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Other field measurement

Data element name: Other field measurement **Reporting question:** Were data collected from the field for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation?

Description: Direct physical measurements or data collection taken in the field for any reason other than GHG benefits estimation. These reasons could include calibration of GHG estimation tools or models, tracking other environmental benefits (see Field environmental benefits report), and other reasons. If yes, submit corresponding reports (see *Supplemental data submission - Field direct measurement results*).

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Commodity type**Data element name:** Commodity type 1-6**Reporting question:** What type of commodity(ies) is produced from this field?

Description: Type of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with drop-down lists of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Practice type****Data element name:** Practice type 1-7**Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented by this project?

Description: Which CSAF practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented by the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** See list in Appendix A**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG model**Data element name:** GHG model **Reporting question:** What model was used for alternate calculation of GHG benefits?**Description:** Select the model used for the alternate calculation of the field's GHG benefits.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- ACC Calculator
- Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Carbon Calculator
- AIRES
- APEX
- Bowen Ratio Energy Balance
- Carat-Calculator
- CArPE
- CDFA web-based calculator
- COMET-Farm
- COMET-Planner
- CoolFarm
- Cover Crop Explore
- CropTrak
- CultivateAI's FMIS
- DayCent-CR
- DNDC
- DSSAT
- Earth Optics
- EcoPractices
- EPIC
- Extrapolation based on literature
- FieldPrint
- Granular
- GREET
- gTIR
- IFSM
- IPCC default emissions factors & models
- itree
- Nitrogen Balance
- Nutrient Tracking Tool (NTT)
- RCD Project Tracker
- Revised Universal Soil Loss equation 2 (RUSLE2)
- RuFaS
- SAFE-Link
- SALUS (CIBO)
- SNAPGRAZE
- SquareRoots
- SWAT-C
- SYMFONI
- Truterra Sustainability Tool
- Verra
- WEPP
- YardStick
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Model start date**Data element name:** Model start date**Reporting question:** For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model start date)?**Description:** Date that the model parameters begin.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/1950 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Model end date****Data element name:** Model end date**Reporting question:** For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model end date)?**Description:** Date that the model parameters end.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023– 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total GHG benefits estimated****Data element name:** Total GHG benefits estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total GHG emission reductions?**Description:** Total greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total carbon stock estimated****Data element name:** Total carbon stock estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of how much carbon has the field has sequestered?**Description:** Total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total CO₂ estimated****Data element name:** Total CO₂ estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CO₂ emission reductions?**Description:** Total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total CH4 estimated**Data element name:** Total CH4 estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CH4 emission reductions?**Description:** Total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total field N2O estimated****Data element name:** Total N2O estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total N2O emission reductions?**Description:** Total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate method. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Measured**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

GHG measurement method**Data element name:** GHG measurement method**Reporting question:** What measurement method is used to calculate GHG benefits?**Description:** Field-based measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Emissions measurement unit
- Flux towers
- Litterbags
- Plant measurements
- Portable emissions analyzers
- Soil flux chambers
- Soil samples
- Soil sensors
- Vehicle-mounted sensors
- Other (specify)

Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Lab name****Data element name:** Lab name**Reporting question:** What is the name of the lab that processed the measurement samples?**Description:** Name of entity that received data and conducted analysis of samples.**Data type:** Text**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** NA**Allowed values:** Free text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If applicable**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Measurement start date**Data element name:** Measurement start date**Reporting question:** On what date did the measurement start?**Description:** Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements first began.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Measurement end date****Data element name:** Measurement end date**Reporting question:** On what date did the measurement end?**Description:** Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements were completed.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023– 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total CO2 reduction calculated****Data element name:** Total CO2 reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured CO2 emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual CO2 emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total field carbon stock measured****Data element name:** Total field carbon stock measured**Reporting question:** What is the total amount of carbon sequestered based on repeat measurements in this field?**Description:** Change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field calculated from repeat soil sampling in this field. (Results for initial field soil samples should be reported in the 'Soil sample result' and 'Measurement type' columns.) Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total CH4 reduction calculated**Data element name:** Total CH4 reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured CH4 emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total N2O reduction calculated****Data element name:** Total N2O reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured N2O emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Soil sample result****Data element name:** Soil sample result**Reporting question:** What is the numeric result from this soil sample?**Description:** Results of measurement(s) taken to determine the carbon stock of a soil (the tons of carbon found in a specified volume of soil).**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Amount**Allowed values:** .00001-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Soil sample result unit**Data element name:** Soil sample result unit **Reporting question:** What is unit for the soil sample result?**Description:** Unit for the corresponding soil sample result. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Percent
- Ppm
- Grams
- Grams per cubic centimeter
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Measurement type****Data element name:** Measurement type**Reporting question:** What type of analysis was conducted for this soil sample?**Description:** Type of soil analysis conducted. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Organic matter
- Total organic carbon
- Bulk density
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Additional Environmental Benefits**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Environmental benefits

Data element name: Environmental benefits

Reporting question: Are environmental benefits other than GHGs being tracked in the field?

Description: Tracking of environmental benefits other than greenhouse gas emission reductions and carbon sequestration in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduction in nitrogen loss

Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss

Reporting question: Are reductions in nitrogen losses being tracked in the field?

Description: Tracking reductions in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduction in nitrogen loss amount

Data element

name: Reduction in nitrogen loss amount

Reporting question: How much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field?

Description: Total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Amount

Allowed values: 0-1,000,000

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit**Data element name:** Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the unit for how much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Kilograms
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose****Data element name:** Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in phosphorus loss****Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss**Description:** Tracking of reductions in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** Are reductions in phosphorus losses being tracked in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in phosphorus loss amount****Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss amount**Description:** Total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Reporting question:** How much reduction in phosphorus losses have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Required:** Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit**Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the reduction in phosphorus losses measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Kilograms
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose****Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduction in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reductions in phosphorus losses?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Other water quality****Data element name:** Other water quality**Reporting question:** Are other water quality metrics being tracked in the field?**Description:** Project tracking of other water quality metrics in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Other water quality type**Data element name:** Other water quality type**Description:** Type of other water quality metric (besides nitrogen loss and phosphorus loss reductions) that is measured in the field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What type of other water quality metric have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Sediment load reduction
- Temperature
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Other water quality amount****Data element name:** Other water quality amount**Description:** Total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** How much reduction in other water quality metrics have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Other water quality amount unit****Data element name:** Other water quality amount unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the reduction in other water quality metrics measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Degrees F
- Kilograms
- Kilograms per liter
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Other water quality purpose**Data element name:** Other water quality purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking other water quality benefits in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking other water quality benefits?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Water quantity****Data element name:** Water quantity**Reporting question:** Is water conservation being tracked in the field?**Description:** Tracking of water conservation or reduction in use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Water quantity amount****Data element name:** Water quantity amount**Reporting question:** How much water conservation has been measured in the field?**Description:** Total amount of water conservation or reduction that is measured in the field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Water quantity amount unit****Data element name:** Water quantity amount unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of water conservation measured in the field?**Description:** Unit for the total amount of water conservation or reduced use that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Acre-feet
- Cubic feet
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Water quantity purpose**Data element name:** Water quantity purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking water conservation or reductions in water use in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking water conservation?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced erosion****Data element name:** Reduced erosion**Reporting question:** Is reduced soil erosion being tracked in the field?**Description:** Tracking of reduced soil erosion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced erosion amount****Data element name:** Reduced erosion amount**Reporting question:** How much erosion reduction has been measured in the field?**Description:** Total amount of erosion reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Amount**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced erosion amount unit****Data element name:** Reduced erosion unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of erosion reduction measured?**Description:** Unit for the total amount of erosion reduction from enrolled fields that is measured and reported by the project. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Tons
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduced erosion purpose**Data element name:** Reduced erosion purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduced erosion the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduced erosion in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced energy use****Data element name:** Reduced energy use**Reporting question:** Is reduced energy use being tracked in the field?**Description:** Tracking of reduced energy use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced energy use amount****Data element name:** Reduced energy use amount**Reporting question:** How much energy use reduction has been measured in the field?**Description:** Total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Amount**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced energy use amount unit****Data element name:** Reduced energy use unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the energy use reduction measured in the field?**Description:** Unit for the total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Kilowatt hours
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduced energy use purpose**Data element name:** Reduced energy use purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Avoided land conversion****Data element name:** Avoided land conversion**Description:** Tracking of avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. Land conservation means land use changing from agricultural uses to non-agricultural uses.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** Is avoided land conversion being tracked in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Avoided land conversion amount****Data element name:** Avoided land conversion amount**Description:** Total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** How much avoided land conversion has been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Avoided land conversion amount unit****Data element name:** Avoided land conversion unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of avoided land conversion measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Acres
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Avoided land conversion purpose**Data element name:** Avoided land conversion purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Improved wildlife habitat****Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat**Description:** Tracking of improvements to wildlife in and around the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** Are improvements to wildlife habitat being tracked in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Improved wildlife habitat amount****Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat amount**Description:** Total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around the enrolled fields.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Reporting question:** How much improved wildlife habitat has been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Improved wildlife habitat amount unit****Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around enrolled fields. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of improved wildlife habitat measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Acres
- Linear feet
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Improved wildlife habitat purpose**Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

CSAF Practice Sub-questions

For some CSAF practices, there is an additional set of questions that are unique to each practice. Responses to these questions are needed to verify estimated GHG benefits of these practices. If a field is implementing a CSAF practice with an NRCS CPS code in Table 11, answer the follow-up questions listed next to the relevant practice name in the table. Use the *Supplemental Reporting Workbook – CSAF Practice Sub-questions* to report the required information.

Table 11. Follow-on questions for select CSAF practices

Practice name and code	Follow-up question	Options (select one)
Alley Cropping (CPS 311)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Anaerobic Digester (CPS 366)	Waste storage system prior to installing anaerobic digester	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/range/paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin
		Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Complex mix with energy generation Plug flow with energy generation Other (specify)
		Food waste Straw or bedding Wastewater Other (specify)



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Combustion System Improvement (CPS 372)	Fuel type before installation	Coal
		Diesel
	Fuel amount before installation	Electricity
		Gasoline
		Kerosene
		Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
		Natural gas
		Propane
		Wood
		Other (specify)
	Fuel amount unit before installation	0-1,000,000
Conservation Cover (CPS 327)	Fuel type after installation	Cubic feet (natural gas)
		Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene)
	Fuel amount unit after installation	Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
		Pounds (wood, coal)
		Other (specify)
		Coal
		Diesel
		Electricity
		Gasoline
		Kerosene
		Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
		Natural gas
	Fuel type after installation	Propane
		Wood
	Fuel amount unit after installation	Other (specify)
		0-1,000,000
		Cubic feet (natural gas)
		Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene)
		Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
		Pounds (wood, coal)
		Other (specify)
		Brassicas
	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grasses
		Legumes
		Non-legume broadleaves
		Shrubs



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Conservation Crop Rotation (CPS 328)	Conservation crop type	Brassica Broadleaf Cool season Grass Legume Warm season
	Change implemented	Added perennial crop Reduced fallow period Both
	Conservation crop rotation tillage type	Conventional (plow, chisel, disk) No-till, direct seed Reduced till Strip till None Other (specify)
	Total conservation crop rotation length in days	1-120
Contour Buffer Strips (CPS 332)	Strip width (feet)	1-100
	Species category	Grasses Forbs Mix
Cover Crop (CPS 340)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Brassicas Forbs Grasses Legume Non-legume broadleaves
	Cover crop planned management	Grazing Haying Termination
	Cover crop termination method	Burning Herbicide application Incorporation Mowing Rolling/crimping Winter kill/frost
Critical Area Planting (CPS 342)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grass Grass legume/forb mix Herbaceous woody mix Perennial or reseeding Shrubs Trees
Feed Management (CPS 592)	Crude protein (percent)	0-100
	Fat (percent)	0-100
	Feed additives/supplements	Chemical Edible oils/fats Seaweed/kelp Other (specify)
Field Border (CPS 386)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

	Strip width (feet)	20-1,000
Filter Strip (CPS 393)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs
Forest Farming (CPS 379)	Land use in previous year	Forest Multi-story cropping Pasture/grazing land Row crops Other agroforestry
Forest Stand Improvement (CPS 666)	Purpose for implementation	Maintain or improve forest carbon stocks Maintain or improve forest health and productivity Maintain or improve forest structure and composition Maintain or improve wildlife, fish, and pollinator habitat Manage natural precipitation more efficiently Reduce forest pest pressure Reduce forest wildfire hazard
Grassed Waterway (CPS 412)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Flowering Plants Forbs Grasses
Hedgerow Planting (CPS 422)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grasses Shrubs Trees
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Herbaceous Wind Barriers (CPS 603)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs
	Barrier width (feet)	1-1,000
	Number of rows	1-100
Mulching (CPS 484)	Mulch type	Gravel Natural Synthetic Wood
	Mulch cover (percent of field)	0-100



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Nutrient management (CPS 590)	Nutrient type with CPS 590	Biosolids Commercial fertilizers Compost EEf (nitrification inhibitor) EEf (slow or controlled release) EEf (urease inhibitor) Green manure Liquid animal manure Organic by-products Organic residues or materials Solid/semi-solid animal manure Wastewater
	Nutrient application method with CPS 590	Banded Broadcast Injection Irrigation Surface application Surface application with tillage Variable rate
	Nutrient application method in the previous year	Banded Broadcast Injection Irrigation Surface application Surface application with tillage Variable rate
	Nutrient application timing with CPS 590	Single pre-planting Single post-planting Split pre- and post-planting Split post-planting
	Nutrient application timing in the previous year	Single pre-planting Single post-planting Split pre- and post-planting Split post-planting
	Nutrient application rate with CPS 590	0-20,000
	Nutrient application rate unit with CPS 590	Gallons per acre Pounds per acre
	Nutrient application rate change	Decrease compared to previous year Increase compared to previous year No change
	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Cool-season broadleaf Cool-season grass Warm-season broadleaf Warm-season grass
	Termination process	Grazing Haying (i.e., cutting and baling) Other (specify)
Prescribed Grazing (CPS 528)	Grazing type	Cell grazing Deferred rotational Management intensive Rest-rotation



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Range Planting (CPS 550)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Legumes Shrubs Trees
Residue and Tillage Management – No-till (CPS 329)	Surface disturbance	None Seed row only
Residue and Tillage Management – Reduced Till (CPS 345)	Surface disturbance	None Seed row/ridge tillage for planting Shallow across most of the soil surface Vertical/mulch
Riparian Forest Buffer (CPS 391)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Riparian Herbaceous Cover (CPS 390)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Ferns Forbs Grasses Legumes Rushes Sedges
Roofs and Covers (CPS 367)	Roof/cover type	Concrete Flexible geomembrane Metal Timber Other (specify)
Silvopasture (CPS 381)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Forage Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Stripcropping (CPS 585)	Strip width (feet)	1-1,000
	Crop category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Erosion resistant crops Fallow Sediment trapping crops
	Number of strips	2-100
Tree/Shrub Establishment (CPS 612)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Vegetative Barrier (CPS 601)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grasses Grass forb mix Grass legume mix
	Barrier width (feet)	3-1,000



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Waste Separation Facility (CPS 632)	Separation type	Chemical (e.g., salts, polymers) Mechanical (e.g., screens, presses) Settling basin
	Most common use of solids	Bedding Field applied Other (specify)
Waste Storage Facility (CPS 313)	Waste storage system prior to installing your waste storage facility	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/range/paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin
Waste Treatment (CPS 629)	Treatment type	Biological Chemical Mechanical
Waste Treatment Lagoon (CPS 359)	Waste storage system prior to installing waste treatment lagoon	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/Range/Paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin
	Is there a lagoon cover/crust?	Yes No
	Is there lagoon aeration?	Yes No



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation (CPS 380)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000



Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices

All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices)

309, Agrichemical Handling Facility	390, Riparian Herbaceous Cover
311, Alley Cropping	391, Riparian Forest Buffer
313, Waste Storage Facility	393, Filter Strip
314, Brush Management	394, Firebreak
315, Herbaceous Weed Treatment	395, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
316, Animal Mortality Facility	396, Aquatic Organism Passage
317, Composting Facility	397, Aquaculture Pond
318, Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and By-Products	398, Fish Raceway or Tank
319, On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility	399, Fishpond Management
320, Irrigation Canal or Lateral	400, Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control
324, Deep Tillage	402, Dam
325, High Tunnel System	410, Grade Stabilization Structure
326, Clearing and Snagging	412, Grassed Waterway
327, Conservation Cover	420, Wildlife Habitat Planting
328, Conservation Crop Rotation	422, Hedgerow Planting
329, Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	423, Hillside Ditch
330, Contour Farming	428, Irrigation Ditch Lining
331, Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crops	428A, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Plain Concrete
332, Contour Buffer Strips	428B, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Flexible Membrane
333, Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products	428C, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Galvanized Steel
334, Controlled Traffic Farming	430, Irrigation Pipeline
336, Soil Carbon Amendment	432, Dry Hydrant
338, Prescribed Burning	436, Irrigation Reservoir
340, Cover Crop	441, Irrigation System, Microirrigation
342, Critical Area Planting	442, Sprinkler System
345, Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	443, Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface
348, Dam, Diversion	447, Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery
350, Sediment Basin	449, Irrigation Water Management
351, Well Decommissioning	450, Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application
353, Monitoring Well	453, Land Reclamation, Landslide Treatment
355, Groundwater Testing	455, Land Reclamation, Toxic Discharge Control
356, Dike and Levee	457, Mine Shaft and Adit Closing
359, Waste Treatment Lagoon	460, Land Clearing
360, Waste Facility Closure	462, Precision Land Forming and Smoothing
362, Diversion	464, Irrigation Land Leveling
366, Anaerobic Digester	466, Land Smoothing
367, Roofs and Covers	468, Lined Waterway or Outlet
368, Emergency Animal Mortality Management	472, Access Control
371, Air Filtration and Scrubbing	484, Mulching
372, Combustion System Improvement	490, Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
373, Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces	500, Obstruction Removal
374, Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation	511, Forage Harvest Management
375, Dust Management for Pen Surfaces	512, Pasture and Hay Planting
376, Field Operations Emissions Reduction	516, Livestock Pipeline
378, Pond	520, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
379, Forest Farming	521, Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner
380, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	521A, Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane
381, Silvopasture	521B, Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant
382, Fence	521C, Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant
383, Fuel Break	
384, Woody Residue Treatment	
386, Field Border	
388, Irrigation Field Ditch	



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

521D, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment	632, Waste Separation Facility
522, Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete	633, Waste Recycling
527, Sinkhole Treatment	634, Waste Transfer
528, Prescribed Grazing	635, Vegetated Treatment Area
533, Pumping Plant	636, Water Harvesting Catchment
543, Land Reclamation, Abandoned Mined Land	638, Water and Sediment Control Basin
544, Land Reclamation, Currently Mined Land	640, Waterspreading
548, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment	642, Water Well
550, Range Planting	643, Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
554, Drainage Water Management	644, Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
555, Rock Wall Terrace	645, Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
557, Row Arrangement	646, Shallow Water Development and Management
558, Roof Runoff Structure	647, Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
560, Access Road	649, Structures for Wildlife
561, Heavy Use Area Protection	650, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation
562, Recreation Area Improvement	654, Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment
566, Recreation Land Improvement and Protection	655, Forest Trails and Landings
570, Stormwater Runoff Control	656, Constructed Wetland
572, Spoil Disposal	657, Wetland Restoration
574, Spring Development	658, Wetland Creation
575, Trails and Walkways	659, Wetland Enhancement
576, Livestock Shelter Structure	660, Tree-Shrub Pruning
578, Stream Crossing	666, Forest Stand Improvement
580, Streambank and Shoreline Protection	670, Energy Efficient Lighting System
582, Open Channel	672, Energy Efficient Building Envelope
584, Channel Bed Stabilization	736, Crop By-Product Transfer, interim
585, Stripcropping	724, Water Treatment Facility, interim
587, Structure for Water Control	735, Waste Gasification Facility, interim
588, Crosswind Ridges	737, Reduced Water and Energy Coffee Conveyance System, interim
589, Cross Wind Trap Strips	740, Pond Sealing and Lining, Soil Cement, interim
590, Nutrient Management	751, Individual Terrace, interim
591, Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste	753, Infiltration Ditch, interim
592, Feed Management	755, Well Plugging, interim
595, Pest Management Conservation System	770, Livestock Confinement Facility, interim
600, Terrace	775, Drainage Ditch Covering, interim
601, Vegetative Barrier	782, Phosphorus Removal System, interim
602, Equitable Relief	800, Controlling Existing Flowing Wells, interim
603, Herbaceous Wind Barriers	803, Water Well Disinfection, interim
604, Saturated Buffer	805, Amending Soil Properties with Lime, interim
605, Denitrifying Bioreactor	808, Soil Carbon Amendment, interim
606, Subsurface Drain	809, Conservation Harvest Management, interim
607, Surface Drain, Field Ditch	810, Annual Forages for Grazing Systems, interim
608, Surface Drain, Main or Lateral	812, Raised Beds, interim
609, Surface Roughening	815, Groundwater Recharge Basin or Trench, interim
610, Salinity and Sodic Soil Management	817, On-Farm Recharge, interim
612, Tree/Shrub Establishment	818, Water Conservation System, interim
614, Watering Facility	821, Low Tunnel Systems, interim
620, Underground Outlet	823, Organic Management, interim
629, Waste Treatment	
630, Vertical Drain	

Other CSAF Practices

Traditional or cultural practices

Microbial products

Solar power generation

Grain bin construction

Pre-season drainage



Appendix B: Commodity List

CROPS

ALFALFA
ALMONDS
AMARANTH GRAIN
APPLES
APRICOTS
ARONIA (CHOKEBERRY)
ARTICHOKES
ASPARAGUS
ATEMOYA
AVOCADOS
BAMBOO SHOOTS
BANANAS
BARLEY
BEANS
BEETS
BIRDSFOOT/TREFOIL
BLUEBERRIES
BREADFRUIT
BROCCOFLOWER
BROCCOLI
BROCCOLINI
BRUSSEL SPROUTS
BUCKWHEAT
CABBAGE
CACAO
CACTUS
CAIMITO
CALABAZA MELON
CALALOO
CAMELINA
CANARY MELON
CANARY SEED
CANEERRIES
CANISTEL
CANOLA
CANTALOUPE
CARAMBOLA (STAR FRUIT)
CARROTS
CASHEW
CASSAVA
CAULIFLOWER
CELERIAC
CELERY
CHERIMOYA
CHERRIES
CHESTNUTS
CHICORY/RADICCHIO
CHINESE BITTER MELON
CHRISTMAS TREES
CHUFAS

CINNAMON
CLOVER
COCONUTS
COFFEE
CORN
COTTON ELS
COTTON UPLAND
CRANBERRIES
CRENSHAW MELON
CRUSTACEAN
CUCUMBERS
CURRANTS
DASHEEN
DATES
DURIAN
EGGPLANT
EINKORN
ELDERBERRIES
EMMER
FIGS
FINFISH
FLAX
FLOWERS
FORAGE SOYBEAN/SORGHUM
GAILON
GARLIC
GENIP
GINGER
GINSENG
GOOSEBERRIES
GOURDS
GRAPEFRUIT
GRAPES
GRASS
GREENS
GROUND CHERRY
GUAMABANA/SOURSOP
GUAR
GUAVA
GUAVABERRY
GUAYULE
HAZEL NUTS
HEMP
HERBS
HESPERALOE
HONEY
HONEYBERRIES
HONEYDEW
HOPS
HORSERADISH
HUCKLEBERRIES

HYBRID POPLAR TREES
IDLE
INDIGO
ISRAEL MELONS
JACK FRUIT
JERUSALEM ARTICHOKES
JICAMA
JOJOBA
JUJUBE
JUNEERRIES
KENAF
KHORASAN
KIWIBERRY
KIWIFRUIT
KOCHIA (PROSTRATA)
KOHLRABI
KOREAN GOLDEN MELON
KUMQUATS
LAMBS EAR
LEEKs
LEMONS
LENTILS
LESPEDEZA
LETTUCE
LIMES
LONGAN
LOQUATS
LYCHEE
MANGOS
MANGOSTEEN
MAPLE SAP
MAYHAW BERRIES
MEADOWFOAM
MILKWEED
MILLET
MIXED FORAGE
MOHAIR
MOLLUSK
MORINGA
MULBERRIES
MUSHROOMS
MUSTARD
NECTARINES
NIGER SEED
NONI
OATS
OKRA
OLIVES
ONIONS
ORANGES
PAPAYA



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

PARSNIP	STRAWBERRIES	
PASSION FRUITS	SUGAR BEETS	
PAWPAW	SUGARCANE	<u>LIVESTOCK</u>
PEACHES	SUNFLOWERS	ALPACAS
PEANUTS	SUNN HEMP	BEEF COWS
PEARS	TANGELOS	BEEFALO
PEAS	TANGERINES	BUFFALO OR BISON
PECANS	TANGORS	CHICKENS (BROILERS)
PENNYCRESS	TANGOS	CHICKENS (LAYERS)
PEPPERS	TANNIER	DAIRY COWS
PERENNIAL PEANUTS	TARO	DEER
PERIQUE TOBACCO	TEA	DUCKS
PERSIMMONS	TEFF	ELK
PINE NUTS	TI	EMUS
PINEAPPLE	TOBACCO CIGAR WRAPPER	EQUINE
PISTACHIOS	TOBACCO BURLEY	GEESE
PITAYA/DAGONFRUIT	TOBACCO BURLEY 31V	GOATS
PLANTAIN	TOBACCO CIGAR BINDER	HONEYBEES
PLUMCOTS	TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER	LLAMAS
PLUMS	TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER BINDER	REINDEER
POMEGRANATES	TOBACCO DARK AIR CURED	SHEEP
POTATOES	TOBACCO FIRE CURED	SWINE
POTATOES SWEET	TOBACCO FLUE CURED	TURKEYS
PRUNES	TOBACCO MARYLAND	
PSYLLIUM	TOBACCO VIRGINIA FIRE CURED	
PUMMELO	TOMATILLOS	
PUMPKINS	TOMATOES	
QUINCES	TREES TIMBER	
QUINOA	TRITICALE	
RADISHES	TRUFFLES	
RAISINS	TURNIPS	
RAMBUTAN	VETCH	
RAPESEED	WALNUTS	
RHUBARB	WAMPEE	
RICE	WASABI	
RICE SWEET	WATERMELON	
RICE WILD	WAX JAMBOO FRUIT	
RUTABAGA	WHEAT	
RYE	WILLOW SHRUB	
SAFFLOWER	WINTER MELON	
SAPODILLA	WOLFBERRY/GOJI	
SAPOTE	YAM	
SCALLIONS		
SESAME		
SHALLOTS		
SORGHUM		
SORGHUM DUAL PURPOSE		
SORGHUM FORAGE		
SOYBEANS		
SPELT		
SQUASH		
STAR GOOSEBERRY		

Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities

Additional Specific Terms and Conditions

February 2023

I. Overarching Statement

The following award terms and conditions are applicable to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities agreements and are in addition to the USDA FPAC General Terms and Conditions. The award recipient must abide by all terms of this grant including, but not limited to, the General Terms and Conditions, the terms in the Funding Opportunity and associated Frequently Asked Questions, and this addendum. The recipient must also deliver on the planned objectives in the project narrative and budget narrative associated with this grant.

II. Eligibility and Highly Erodible Lands and Wetlands Compliance

In order to be eligible for an incentive payment as a part of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities, a producer must:

- Establish Farm Records with the Farm Service Agency (FSA) (have farm, tract, and field numbers in place);
- Complete an AD-2047 (Customer Data Worksheet to facilitate the collection of customer data for Business Partner Record);
- Certify highly erodible land conservation (HEL) and wetland conservation (WC) compliance via Form AD-1026, Highly Erodible Land Conservation (HELC) and Wetland Conservation (WC) Certification; and
- Certify that they are not a foreign person or entity.

Farm, tract, and field numbers are required for the producer, and ultimately the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipient, to report climate-smart practice implementation to USDA, as well as to certify and maintain HELC/WC compliance. This will require that some producers who do not already have these numbers, like perennial crop growers or feedlots, establish these records with USDA's FSA. Farm, tract, field numbers, producer name, and Core Customer I.D. (CCID) will be provided by the recipient to the National Program Officer as a part of routine grant reporting. Recipients must ensure that producers receiving financial assistance or incentives through this project use the same name as is included in the relevant FSA Business File for that Farm ID in any contracts or similar documentation kept by the recipient.

Producers are not bound by the payment limitations and the adjusted gross income (AGI) limitations that are in place for other USDA programs.

In order to demonstrate HELC/WC compliance for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities incentive payments, producers will need to request a copy of their subsidiary print from their

USDA FSA field office. The Subsidiary Print includes print year specific eligibility related information about a selected producer. The producer will then provide this documentation to the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipients as proof of compliance. A current year subsidiary print will be required for each crop year that the producer receives a payment, and HELC/WC eligibility information is provided under the AD-1026 and Conservation Compliance sections of subsidiary (determined by year, which can change at any time during the year or in a subsequent year). As is the case already, field offices will not be expected to provide documentation to anyone besides the producer themselves (and must always comply with Section 1619 limitations if they ever do provide documentation to third parties). Producers must have control of the land for the term of their beneficiary contract.

Recipients are responsible for determining producer eligibility within the funding opportunity requirements. Recipients must inform producers of eligibility requirements and direct them to local USDA offices for requested information as necessary, including but not limited to, farm and tract establishment and Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Compliance determinations. Privacy of producers is a priority throughout this process, and recipients are responsible for maintaining producer privacy in the process.

At minimum, the recipient will collect and review subsidiary reports from participating producers. They will ensure that the producer is listed as “compliant” in all sections of the conservation compliance portion of subsidiary and “certified” for AD-1026 before an incentive payment is made. If payments to a producer span more than one Federal fiscal year, the recipient will review an updated subsidiary print each fiscal year to ensure that the status is still compliant.

III. Other Environmental and Cultural Resources Reviews

A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was signed by USDA NRCS on August 26, 2022. A copy of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities is available at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities. USDA may determine that additional environmental and cultural resources review is needed for any particular action under Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities. The recipient must not execute any beneficiary contracts under this grant agreement prior to receipt of a letter from USDA that specifically details:

- 1) further procedures deemed appropriate by the Agency to ensure a completed National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review and all appropriate consultation requirements are met, and
- 2) additional instructions for any unanticipated discoveries or conditions.

A resolution of support is required for projects on Tribal lands from the governing body of the Tribe with jurisdiction over that land, if the applicant is not the Tribe nor an entity owned or

operated by that Tribe. USDA may approve alternative documentation for resolutions when USDA deems necessary and legally sufficient.

IV. Producer Benefits

USDA encourages the recipient to disclose to participating producers the manner and amount for which any market premiums derived from the development of the relevant climate-smart commodity will be shared between participating parties, including producers. USDA will be monitoring producer benefits, in particular those to small and underserved producers, throughout the grant period. Recipients agree that their project(s) will implement a plan for engaging small and underserved producers as laid out in this agreement.

V. Producer Data Protection and Disclosure

Recipients must ensure each producer has convenient access to any data collected from that producer or the producer's land and any associated modeling as part of the project. The recipient must provide each producer applying for benefits under this grant a description in writing of how their information, including but not limited to data about their farm and commodities, will be utilized, protected and shared as applicable.

VI. Other Data and Reporting Requirements

In addition to the reporting information provided in the statement of work and General Terms and Conditions, USDA will provide a template for the Detailed Progress Report, also known as the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities (PSCS) Project Reporting Workbook. Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, a copy of this workbook will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer. USDA may provide updates to the PCSC Project Reporting Workbook or submission methods to streamline the data collection process and/or reduce the burden on the recipient throughout the grant period. Generally, these updates will be provided at least 3 months in advance of any required changes. The recipient must not transfer any data to foreign governments or foreign entities without prior approval from USDA.

USDA will provide a Technical Contact for this grant. The Technical Contact will have the responsibility of technical oversight for USDA for the project. The recipient is responsible for providing the technical assistance required to successfully implement and complete the project. The recipient must comply with any requests for information from the Technical Contact. The Technical Contact for this award is the National Program Officer assigned to this grant.

Prior to execution of this grant, the recipient must provide a shapefile depicting the project boundary for enrollment under this grant. Producer enrollment may not occur outside this boundary without modification of this grant.

Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, the recipient must provide to the National Program Officer a website address where enrollment information will be posted for producers for the project associated with this grant. Recipients will be responsible for the following reports:

- Submit quarterly performance reports that include a written progress report, as well as additional reporting on specific data elements contained in the most up-to-date version of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Project Reporting Workbook. Additional information about each reported element is described in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit supplemental reports required to validate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefit data, including: (1) an initial project MMRV plan, (2) field-modeled GHG benefit reports, and (3) field-direct GHG measurement results, as applicable. Additional information about these reports is included in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit copies of project outputs and deliverables (e.g., fact sheets, reports) as attachments in ezFedGrants along with quarterly performance reports.
- Report the version of COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits of the project within each quarterly performance report. As COMET-Planner is updated, recipients must adopt the latest version of the tool as directed by USDA for use in performance reports.

Recipients must designate an individual as a member of the USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Learning Network (Partnerships Network); this representative should be identified in the Project Narrative for this grant. Each project includes a plan for up to two Partnerships Network virtual meetings and two in-person meetings a year during the project duration. Dates and other details on events will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer.

The Partnerships Network will be co-chaired by representative from the USDA Office of the Chief Economist and the Farm Production and Conservation Mission Area. The Partnerships Network will inform synthesis reports to be assembled by USDA on a range of topics related to the implementation of Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities projects, including:

- Lessons-learned as projects are implemented;
- Options for providing technical assistance;
- Procedures for measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verifying GHG benefits;
- Options for tracing climate-smart commodities through the supply chain;
- Mechanisms for reducing costs of implementation;
- A forum for discussion and learning regarding approaches to climate-smart agriculture and forestry implementation (including but not limited to deployment and

measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, tracking, and verification of associated greenhouse gas benefits and marketing of climate-smart commodities).

- Synthesis of outcomes; and
- Opportunities for USDA and others to inform future approaches to generating new and expanded markets for climate-smart commodities.

The Partnerships Network topics to be discussed will cover at minimum the areas described in previous FAQs and will evolve with USDA's ongoing project data analysis efforts and with input from the project recipients on the kinds of sessions that will be most helpful to them in building the diverse climate-smart markets associated with their projects. Participation may include at least one interview a year and include questions related to the following areas:

- Technical assistance approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Producer outreach approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Monitoring, measurement, reporting, and verification (MMRV) approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Marketing approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Partnership approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Data collection and storage approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Supply chain approaches, methods and successes and/or challenges, including approaches to traceability
- Supply chain benefits and demand for climate-smart commodities
- Perspectives on program design, climate-smart commodity definitions, and future approaches or opportunities
- Project successes and stories

USDA may also request producer exit reports at a later date. Additional marketing and branding-related requirements may be provided by USDA, including signage related to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities.

VII. Competition and Anti-Competitive Practices

In connection with this grant, recipients may not prohibit or otherwise limit a producer from changing the provider of other services or materials not included as part of this grant.

Recipients may not condition, limit, steer, or discriminate in their provision or sale of non-project business functions or products to producers based on their participation or non-participation in or use of any services provided as part of this grant. Additionally, funds in this agreement shall not be used for purposes or activities related to mergers or acquisitions.

VIII. Suspension and Disbarment

The provisions governing Suspension and Disbarment in subsection 1.a.8 shall also apply to fraud, embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification, or destruction of records, making false statements, or violations of the Federal civil antitrust or unfair trade practice laws.

IX. Special provisions for awards to for-profit entities as recipients

This section contains provisions that apply to awards to for-profit entities. These provisions are in addition to other applicable provisions of these terms and conditions, or they make exceptions from other provisions of the terms and conditions for awards to for-profit entities. For-profit entities that receive awards have two options regarding audits:

- 1) A financial related audit of a particular award in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, in those cases where the for-profit entity receives awards under only one USDA program; or, if awards are received under multiple USDA programs, a financial related audit of all awards in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; or
- 2) An audit that meets the requirements contained in 2 CFR 200 subpart F.

For-profit entities that receive annual awards totaling less than the audit requirement threshold in 2 CFR 200 subpart F are exempt from USDA audit requirements for that year, but records must be available for review by appropriate officials of Federal agencies or the Government Accountability Office.

X. Non-Disparagement

Recipients may not engage in any advertising deemed by USDA as disparaging to another agricultural commodity or competing product, or in violation of the prohibition against false and misleading advertising. Disparagement is defined as anything that depicts other commodities in a negative or unpleasant light via overt or subjective video, photography, or statements. Comparative advertising is allowable, provided the presentation of facts is truthful, objective, not misleading, and supported by a reasonable basis.