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Statement of Work

Purpose
The purpose of this agreement, between the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and Mississippi State University (Recipient), is to build markets for climate-smart commodities and invest in America’s climate-smart producers to strengthen U.S. rural and agricultural communities.

Objectives
The objectives of this project are to support the production and marketing of climate-smart commodities by providing voluntary incentives to producers and landowners, including early adopters, to implement climate-smart agricultural production practices, activities, and systems on working lands; measure/quantify, monitor and verify the carbon and greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits associated with those practices; and develop markets and promote the resulting climate-smart commodities.

Budget Narrative
The official budget summarized below and described in the attached Budget Narrative will be considered the total budget as last approved by the Federal awarding agency for this award.

Amounts included in this budget narrative are estimates. Reimbursement or advance liquidations will be based on actual expenditures, not to exceed the amount obligated.

TOTAL BUDGET $10,561,022

TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS $10,000,000
PERSONNEL $975,720
FRINGE BENEFITS $325,740
TRAVEL $81,447
EQUIPMENT $526,851
SUPPLIES $33,600
CONTRACTUAL $157,052
CONSTRUCTION $0
OTHER $7,444,382 (includes PRODUCER INCENTIVES $3,885,750)
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS $9,544,792
INDIRECT COSTS $455,208

TOTAL NON-FEDERAL FUNDS $561,022
PERSONNEL $151,139
FRINGE BENEFITS $59,957
TRAVEL $0
EQUIPMENT $0
SUPPLIES $0
CONTRACTUAL $0
CONSTRUCTION $0
OTHER $186,045 (includes PRODUCER INCENTIVES $0)
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS $397,141
INDIRECT COSTS $163,881

Recipient has an approved Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with a rate of 32 percent of the Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC). MTDC shall exclude equipment over $5,000, tuition, Participant Support Costs, and the costs over $25,000 for each subaward.

Recipient has elected to voluntarily waive a portion (4.8%) of indirect costs in the amount of $80,331 and has elected to use the waived indirect costs as match.

When equipment is purchased with Federal funds it must be used until no longer needed as described in the General Terms and Conditions and 2 CFR 200. If the residual value of the equipment is $5,000 or more at the time it is no longer needed, the recipient must request disposition instructions. The disposition instructions may direct the recipient to: 1) sell the equipment and return a proportionate share of the proceeds to the Federal agency; 2) transfer title to another eligible entity identified by the Federal agency; or 3) keep the equipment if desired and compensate the Federal agency.
for its proportionate share of the value.

### Responsibilities of the Parties:

If inconsistencies arise between the language in this Statement of Work (SOW) and the General Terms and Conditions attached to the agreement, the language in this SOW takes precedence.

**RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES**

Perform the work and produce the deliverables as outlined in this Statement of Work and attachments.

Ensure Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) clearance is obtained prior to conducting data collection from producers or other project participants, including data collection performed by subrecipients.

Comply with the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions.

Submit reports and payment requests to the ezFedGrants system as outlined in the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions. Reporting frequency is as follows:

- **Performance Reports**: Quarterly
- **SF425 Financial Reports**: Quarterly
- **Detailed Progress Report**: Quarterly
  
  (The detailed progress report is in addition to the performance and financial reports referenced above and described in the general terms and conditions)

### Expected Accomplishments and Deliverables

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.

### Resources Required

See the Responsibilities of the Parties section for required resources, if applicable.

### Milestones

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.
GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Please reference the below link(s) for the General Terms and Conditions pertaining to this award:

Attachments:
Budget Narrative
Project Narrative
Benchmarks Table
Climate-Smart Practices List and Limitations
Data Dictionary
Climate-Smart Specific Terms and Conditions
Withheld pursuant to exemption (b)(4) of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act.
Withheld pursuant to exemption (b)(4) of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act.
Withheld pursuant to exemption
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Title: Developing climate-smart grain markets in the mid-south through diverse partnerships and a farming-systems approach to practice integration to reduce greenhouse gas emissions

i. Executive Summary

A. Contact information:
Applicant Organization: Mississippi State University
Address: Mailstop 9564, P.O. Box 6156, Mississippi State, MS 39762
Institutional Administrative Contact: Mr. Chris Gordon
Email: cgordon@osp.msstate.edu
Phone: (662) 325-7404
Fax: (662) 325-3803

B. List of project partners:
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, 39762
Southern Ag Services, Inc., Starkville, MS, 37959
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, 72701
Conservation Solutions LLC, Greenwood, MS 38930
Alcorn State University, Lorman, MS, 39096

C. List of underserved/minority-focused project partners:
Mississippi State University (MSU), University of Arkansas (UA), and Alcorn State University (ASU) all serve historically underserved and minority populations in their respective states. ASU is also the oldest public historically black land-grant institution in the United States.

D. Compelling need for the project:
It is estimated that approximately 40% of U.S. corn production in 2020 went towards animal feed ingredients (USDA, 2022). Likewise, approximately 51% of U.S. soybean production in 2020 was crushed domestically resulting in over 50 million tons of meal that was mainly used as feed ingredients (USDA, 2022). A 2020 life cycle assessment of broiler production found that feed contributed approximately 72% of emissions contributing to climate change, where primary ingredients were corn and soybean meal (Thoma and Putnam, 2020). While feed supply chains can often be complex, in the mid-south region of the U.S. (MS, AR, LA, AL), the broiler company Peco Foods, Inc. has reported sourcing 94.5% of corn from local growers, creating a direct supply chain between grain and poultry production systems (Peco Foods, 2020). Achieving greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions in animal feed production systems will require the adoption of climate-smart practices, as well as dedicated research to quantifying GHG reduction benefits to verify a climate-smart commodity. However, adoption of conservation practices that can provide climate benefits, such as cover crops, remains low in comparison to other regions of the U.S., ranging from 1-10% in the mid-south (CTIC, 2020). Therefore, the implementation and comprehensive assessment of a pilot climate-smart systems (CSS) program in grain production operations is critical to document the environmental, agronomic, and economic benefits of adoption, and to demonstrate a viable and scalable opportunity to produce a climate-smart commodity in the mid-south.

Climate-smart systems that 1) integrate with existing crop rotations, 2) support profitability and
food security, and 3) minimize adoption risks for producers while demonstrating GHG emissions reductions are critical to overcoming producer barriers to conservation adoption. Research highlights the urgent need for targeted outreach to optimize CSS management to generate measurable changes in GHG reduction benefits (Blanco, 2022). Such needs are even more compelling in areas where barriers to adoption are significant. Frameworks for measurement, monitoring, and verification highlight the need for local data to calibrate and validate process-based models that support accurate estimation of GHG reductions at scale and justify a holistic approach to monitoring to enhance the accuracy of estimates (Wiesmeier et al. 2019). Failure to develop an approach that integrates with existing production systems, includes accurate GHG reduction estimates, and addresses immediate market demands, will result in decreased yield, increased direct costs of implementation, and limited ability to market and sell a climate-smart commodity for a value-added premium. Such impacts would ultimately increase undue burden and risk on our agricultural community and decrease conservation adoption.

Our **long-term goal** is to increase climate-smart market opportunities across the mid-south by facilitating increased adoption of climate-smart practices and promoting innovation and consistency in quantifying farm-level GHG benefits that support sustainable and resilient agricultural production and rural communities. The **overall objectives** of this project are to 1) demonstrate a viable climate-smart grain market by implementing a CSS pilot program; 2) execute a robust measurement, monitoring, and verification approach to document GHG reductions; and 3) utilize data (collected, historic, and geospatial) for modeling and scaling verified GHG emissions reductions that are sold and transferred through direct sale to poultry feed operations. Our multi-pronged **approach** implements CSS that include cover crops, low-till or no-till, enhanced efficiency fertilizers, and nutrient management to achieve GHG reductions. We combine the pilot CSS with a robust monitoring and verification program, and a local market opportunity for direct sale and tracking of the climate-smart grain product to broiler operations. Project partners leverage extensive producer networks, decades of on-farm demonstration, and long-term monitoring of conservation benefits, as well as on-going research that informs our monitoring and verification design. Our team is **uniquely positioned** to undertake the work as demonstrated by the diverse nature of the project team and complementary applied research and outreach backgrounds that include agricultural advisors, land-grant universities, and private industries that support producer- and profitability-centered climate-smart strategies. We propose the following specific project activities:

1. **Piloting Climate Smart Systems across the mid-south:** Recruit producers to participate in the CSS pilot program through Southern Ag Services producer consulting network; existing client network of NRCS technical service provider, Conservation Solutions; and land-grant university Extension Services. Provide financial incentives for CSS adoption that are comparable to existing NRCS program payments and ensure no duplication of program enrollment through Conservation Solutions. Provide technical assistance, training, and on-site support and outreach of practice integration to mid-south cropping systems via university personnel and private collaborators. The CSS will be implemented across 10,000 acres annually.

2. **Multi-scale framework for measuring, monitoring, reporting, and verifying of GHG emissions:** A cost-effect GHG emissions measurement approached will be deployed
across all 10,000 acres annually which will include bulk density and soil carbon sampling. On a subset of the CSS acres, we will conduct holistic and intensive GHG emissions monitoring on 7 paired (conventional vs. CSS) fields enrolled in the pilot program, which will consist of traditional monitoring methods with direct gas measurements (e.g. gas flux, soil organic carbon (SOC) storage, plant biomass, microbial biomass, and soil physical properties). These data will be utilized to refine a generalized regional scale model of estimated suitability for CSS and prediction of benefits, which we will also develop. Such a model will incorporate data collected during the project with publicly available datasets. The model will be validated using archival soil and management practice data provided by Southern Ag Services. Production of such a model and associated variable reduction analysis is imperative to produce a scalable and cost-effective monitoring and verification framework for the region.

3. **Development and expansion of climate smart commodity markets:** Verified GHG emissions reports and ownership of verified emission reductions will be directly provided to producers as the owners. We will establish a direct market for sale of climate-smart grains through partnerships with local poultry industries who source grain as a key feed ingredient. Direct sale opportunities already exist on certain poultry industry websites, which provides a direct sale opportunity for producers who produce a climate-smart grain product. We will explore promotion opportunities that enable submission of climate-smart product quantities, GHG emissions verification documents, and location to automate direct sale opportunities from producers to local poultry operations. The project team will assess supply chain identity preservation to feed mills and physical infrastructure to track the physical grain product from farm to the broiler feed mill. A supply chain assessment will directly allow for the identification of potential market development opportunities, such as the expansion of grain storage capacity specifically for climate-smart commodities. All climate-smart commodity premiums will be determined by buyer willingness to pay and producer costs.

E. **Approach to minimize transaction costs associated with project activities:** Mississippi State University (MSU) will directly execute contracts with sub-contractors to allow for maximum funding impact toward goals of the funding announcement and for producer partners. With grant administration, managerial, and accounting services being provided from within MSU, we can ensure that no additional transaction fees are accrued.

F. **Approach to reduce producer barriers to implementing climate-smart practices:** The project leverages the client base of Southern Ag Services, and their fertility management programs to reduce producer barriers to implementing climate-smart systems. Developing and delivering CSS with Certified Crop Advisers utilizes a trusted producer adviser network. Such an approach minimizing risk to production and increases profitability, directly addressing producer concerns regarding production system economics. Partnering with Conservation Solutions, a trusted technical and financial service provider for NRCS programs in the region, adds another producer network and demonstrated experience in executing conservation contracts with producers in an equitable and transparent manner. We will also reduce barriers to adoption with producers retaining ownership of GHG emissions, enabling the opportunity for direct sale to poultry feed buyers.
G. Geographic focus: The proposed work will occur within the mid-south region of the U.S. (MS, AR, LA, AL). This region is known for areas of intensive row-crop production, a significant poultry industry, and a substantial population of historically underserved producers and communities in rural areas. The project team is well positioned to work with growers across this region, as Dr. Daniels leads the Arkansas Discovery Farm Program working with growers to evaluate the efficacy of conservation practices across Arkansas. Dr. Baker leads the Research and Education to Enhance Conservation and Habitat (REACH) program where she works with producers in Mississippi to implement and assess the efficacy of conservation practices. Southern Ag Services provides crop consulting and fertility recommendations, amongst other services across a widespread network with approximately 1 million acres under management across the mid-south (MS, AL, AR, LA), and Conservation Solutions currently provides Conservation Technical Assistance and Conservation Planning in MS and LA. We anticipate approximately 10-15 producers enrolled in MS, 5-10 in AR, and 2-3 each in LA and AL enrolled annually in the pilot program. Some of the logistical considerations include access to fields by research teams during extreme weather and proximity to local grain receiving points (Gordo, AL; Philadelphia, MS; Lake, MS; Bay Springs, MS; Corning, AR; Newark, AR).

H. Project management capacity of partners: MSU is one of only a few schools to earn both research and community engagement rankings from the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching. MSU reported $280 million in research and development expenditures in Fiscal Year 2020, placing it among the National Science Foundation’s top 90 research universities. The Sponsored Programs Accounting unit is primarily responsible for the management of restricted funds of MSU. This unit is the holder of official university records concerning grants and contracts and submits interim and final financial reports as required by each funding agency. Sponsored Programs Accounting is part of the Office of the Controller and Treasurer, which reports to the Vice President for Finance and Administration of MSU. Our multi-state team of research and extension specialists are all fully capable and eager to fulfill proposed objectives. Embedded into each team member’s professional duties is the capacity to organize, manage, and produce timely outputs and outcomes for their respective stakeholders. All university partners on this project have active Extension networks and facilities, Agricultural Experiment Stations, and administrative infrastructure to leverage toward project success. Brief descriptions of project partners and their experience follow. Principal investigator with MSU (Dr. Beth Baker) will the Project Administrator and Extension team and University of Arkansas (UofA; Dr. Mike Daniels) have current and historical experience working with landowners and producers to conduct on-farm research and demonstration of conservation practices through the MSU Research and Education to Advance Conservation and Habitat (REACH) and Arkansas Discovery Farms™ Programs, respectively. Alcorn State University has resources and personnel who oversee external grant accounting, expenditures, and reporting, and Dr. Frank Mrema brings both Extension and research program management in agriculture and forestry systems. Southern Ag Services provides crop consulting and fertility recommendations, and widespread existing relationships with approximately 1 million acres under management across the mid-south to optimize fertility programs and advance natural resource management (incorporating cover crops and alternative tillage strategies), along with a suite of data analytics and production management strategies for increased return on investment. Conservation Solutions is a NRCS technical service provider and is a Certified Conservation Planner (#TSP-
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19-22703) with four years of experience managing and reporting to NRCS. Conservation Solutions currently manages 32 active Conservation Stewardship Program contracts in 5 states covering 80,000+ acres, amounting to near $5M in contracts. Researchers on the project team leverage widespread research expertise in measuring and monitoring GHG sequestration and flux at the field scale, applying systems modeling frameworks to management decisions, and applying economic analyses to pilot program outcomes. The GHG measurement, monitoring, and modeling team has a history of collaboration. Individual scientific and research program management experience Each member provides individual scientific experience to execute and manage GHG measurement, verification, optimization, and valuation in climate-smart cropping systems; this includes Dr. Kris Brye (UofA), Dr. Mike Mulvaney (MSU), Dr. Joby Czarnecki (MSU), Dr. Brian Smith (MSU), and Dr. Will Maples (MSU).

Project Roles and Responsibilities:

- Dr. Baker will be the PI and Project Administrator, she will lead the Extension sub-team, and coordinate across all project objectives.
- Conservation Solutions (Mr. Palmer Brock) will lead the pilot program regarding executing and managing producer contracts and NRCS technical assistance/compliance.
- Drs. Baker, Daniels, and ASU personnel will lead Extension, outreach and educational efforts with producers. This includes developing print and digital recruitment, annual trainings, 1-on-1 meetings, demonstration of land health assessment tools, production of outreach materials for producers and technical assistance related to conservation implementation and effectiveness. The Extension team with Dr. Mrema’s student will also evaluate outreach effectiveness and barriers to participate in NRCS programs across the diversity of producers we will work with.
- Southern Ag Services will lead agronomic data collection across the 10,000 acres enrolled in the program annually (soil fertility, mapping, carbon stock sampling, bulk density sampling) and development of fertility recommendations for nutrient management, technical assistance related to Adapt-N and enhanced efficiency fertilizers and field data aggregation into GIS systems for the modeling team. Dr. Baker’s team will support soil C stock and bulk density sampling as needed as the work will be intensive and time sensitive. Dr. Baker’s team will coordinate soil C analysis with the MSU Soil Testing Laboratory. Dr. Baker’s research associate will model bulk density with electromagnetic resistivity and penetrometer resistance.
- Drs. Brye and Mulvaney will co-lead intensive GHG emissions monitoring at the 7 paired field sites and development of annual estimations. This includes intensive in field measurements as well as syringe gas sampling that will be analyzed in Dr. Brye’s lab. Dr. Drs. Brye, Mulvaney, and Mrema with Southern Ag will also document co-benefits of CSS adoption. This work is critical to verify additionality of practices, enhance outreach of processes to producers, and to obtain accurate GHG benefits in mid-south productions systems and to develop accurate regional models. Their teams will be supported as needed by Extension and Research associates at MSU and UofA.
- Drs. Czarnecki and Smith will work with their graduate students to integrate field data from Southern Ag, soil C and bulk density data, and GHG emissions to develop a regionally specific and scalable GHG estimation model. Dr. Smith will lead optimization of practice implementation scenarios to ensure that GHG benefits and profitability are
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- Dr. Maples will lead the economic analysis GHG reduction benefits to the climate-smart poultry commodity, where economic tradeoffs exist, and assess buyer willingness to pay.
- Drs. Maples and Baker work to develop climate-smart marketing materials that are visual appealing, simple, scientifically valid and support the buyer interests of domestic and foreign markets.

**ii. Implement pilot climate-smart systems on a large scale:**

A. **Climate-Smart Practices to be deployed:** This project will employ a systems approach to climate-smart practice implementation which includes **cover crops, low-till or no-till, enhanced efficiency fertilizers, and nutrient management.** Practices will be utilized in a stacked fashion that aligns with targeted decision frameworks for addressing resource concerns and implementing practices in croplands that achieve GHG emissions (Paustian et al. 2016). The justification for utilizing this approach is to meet producers’ individual needs in addressing resource concerns, while enhancing practice synergies with practice stacking. Due to the individuality of farm and field resource availability (natural, financial, equipment, labor, etc.), CSS adoption provides a tiered approach for stacking that supports current farmer resource access. All four climate-smart practices which comprise the CSS will be implemented together where appropriate. However, enhanced efficiency fertilizers and nutrient management approaches will be utilized as priority practices for late adopters into the CSS program. We give emphasis to optimizing nutrient management to provide the greatest benefit to reduce N₂O loss, and offsite nutrient transport, while promoting return on investment for producers. This strategy will provide synergistic benefits toward the functionality of other climate-smart practices, such as cover crops and reduced tillage, by reducing excess fertilizer inputs and optimizing biogeochemical cycling (Smith, 2017). The direct benefit for producers enrolled in the CSS program is flexibility and agency in adoption decisions with reduced risk, required capital, and equipment resources for adoption, along with a clear incentive. The modular nature allows implementation across operations of varied sizes and production diversity.

B. **Recruitment of producers and landowners:** A general overview of our recruitment strategy will include four elements: 1) recruitment of **late adopters** who currently do not have extensive climate-smart practice adoption identified through Southern Ag Services existing crop consultant acres, 2) recruitment of eligible producers through Conservation Solutions’ existing database of more than 80,000 acres, 3) recruitment of **underserved, small, and minority** producers through land-grant university Extension networks and existing client networks of Conservation Solutions and Southern Ag Services, and 4) recruitment of **early adopters** who already have 5+ years of cover cropping experience to optimize monitoring and verification of GHG emissions. Southern Ag Services and university Extension personnel will utilize digital marketing, direct contact, farm visits, and producer meetings to recruit and enroll producers in the CSS program on an annual basis. We will enroll, at a minimum, 20 producers in the CSS program annually, to achieve a target annual enrollment of 10,000 acres (of which half will be from historically underserved producers) per 3 years. We estimate $1.3M annually ($3.9M total) will directly support producer CSS adoption. This total is based on financial incentive rates of $119.79 per acre (following 2022 NRCS EQIP/CSP payment schedules) across 5,000 acres, with a 15% payment increase for historically underserved producers ($139.26 across 5,000 acres).
C. Delivery of technical assistance, outreach, and training: Our approach to deliver technical assistance, outreach, and training will be co-led by Conservation Solutions (Palmer Brock) and Extension personnel from each land-grant university (Drs. Beth Baker, Mike Daniels, and Frank Mrema with professional support staff), along with the Southern Ag Services (Dan Prevost). Additionally, Producers enrolled in the pilot program will be informed regarding program objectives related to reducing GHG emissions, effective implementation strategies, and methods the project team is using to quantify GHG reductions. This initial program orientation and training will be led by Drs. Baker and Daniels in years 1 through 3 of the project, held alongside implementation of the CSS pilot program. Specific technical assistance roles and responsibilities are described below:

- **Conservation Solutions:** Technical assistance will be provided to producers so that they understand the NRCS eligibility and application requirements, how contracts are developed, requirements for meeting NRCS specifications and how those must be verified once implemented, as well as all reporting requirements and ensuring each producer who enrolls in the program meets NRCS conservation practice specifications for receiving incentive payments.

- **Southern Ag Services:** Technical assistance will be provided to producer’s regarding advance nutrient management recommendations which requires fertility mapping, assistance with utilizing the Adapt-N nutrient management program and enhanced efficiency fertilizers, and in-season fertilizer application decisions. This robust approach ensures that producers understand how nutrient management a critical part of the agronomic system and a mechanism is for optimizing input costs to sustain adoption of these conservation practices.

- **Extension Team (working with Drs. Baker, Daniels Mrema, and Buckner):** Technical assistance will be provided regarding the root causes of resource concerns and how conservation practices work to address those issues. This technical assistance includes the goal driven nature of choosing cover crop species, seeding rates, timing, method of implementation, and termination method and timing. The team will also provide outreach and education to producers regarding how to assess soil health with various in-field tools, demonstrations, provide technical support as needed throughout the project and across the diverse production systems of producers enrolled in the pilot program. Specific outreach activities are outlined below:
  - Extension personnel will develop print and digital outreach materials and lead marketing for producer recruitment and enrollment annually.
  - Extension personnel, with input from Southern Ag Services, will coordinate, plan, and host annual trainings for producers on climate smart practices and planning in years 1-3 focused on optimal implementation and in year 4 with a focus on outcomes and lessons learned.
  - 1-on-1 technical assistance will be provided for climate-smart practice implementation that addresses individual production and sustainability goals.
  - Extension personnel will also coordinate with producers related to field data collection to provide education about GHG and carbon measurements.
  - Extension teams will conduct workshops to provide outreach specific to demonstrating simple in-field land health (soil, water, plant) assessment tools to
help advance systems thinking and educate producers about soil biology, mycology, and nutrient storage and cycling that can reduce GHG emissions.

- Extension personnel (Drs. Baker and Daniels with support staff) will develop a guide for Agricultural and Natural Resources Professionals (NRCS, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Extension Agents, and Crop Consultants) who are assisting producers with developing farm-scale climate-smart management plans, as well as a curriculum for a complementary training program in years 1-2. The guide will be vetted with pilot program producers in year 3.

To expand conservation program participation with underserved communities through a science-based and scalable approach, Dr. Mrema will guide 2 M.S.-level students. One student will work with Dr. Mrema and the Extension team to evaluate outreach approaches (in-person, small workshops, educational materials, etc.) for engaging minority stakeholders and evaluating barriers to participate in the pilot program. One student will work with Dr. Mrema to monitor the co-benefits of climate smart practice to soil microbiology. The student’s work with the Extension Associate and Dr. Buckner to ensure we capture the sustainability of the program for growers with different sizes of operations (small, medium, and large farmers). This approach will help us support smaller producers who may have mixed landscapes (crop, pasture, woodland, vegetable), and provide structured feedback to how NRCS about how they might support growers to diversify production and profit streams. All Extension teams will provide outreach and education to increase understanding of how climate-smart practices address various resource concerns (increasing SOM, water storage and water-sue efficiency, nutrient storage and cycling, soil biology, and various production opportunities to enhance that). Together, the Extension team will develop outreach materials that convey the benefit of climate-smart practices on soil organic matter and carbon storage, and the impact of these practices in grain systems, as well as the applicability to other landscapes on diverse operations. Outcomes from this work will directly inform USDA programming and best practices for conservation delivery and adoption that result in meaningful benefits to historically underserved producers and communities.

The project team is well prepared to execute all technical assistance, outreach, and training elements as demonstrated by the following qualifications. Dr. Beth Baker is an Assistant Extension Professor with 10 years of experience with on-farm conservation demonstration and 6 years of experience providing conservation outreach and education to producers and agriculture and natural resource professionals. Dr. Mike Daniels has served as an Extension Specialist in soil and water conservation with the University of Arkansas for over twenty years. He co-leads the Arkansas Discovery Farms™ program and leads statewide extension efforts in soil and water conservation including nutrient management, watershed education, water quality, and soil health. Dr. Frank Mrema is a Research Scientist in the Department of Agriculture at ASU, with expertise in mycology and soil microbiology. His expertise and guidance of Extension personnel will be invaluable to drawing biological connections between ecosystem services and agronomic crop health and resiliency of CSS implementation. Palmer Brock, with Conservation Solutions, develops approximately 400 conservation plans annually for the Conservation Reserve Program, covering about 10,000 acres per year. Dan Prevost has fifteen years of experience providing conservation technical assistance to landowners through his work with the non-profit organization Delta F.A.R.M., and more recently as the Operations and Sustainability Lead for
Southern Ag Services, where he provides 1-on-1 services to their agronomic clients seeking to enhance the sustainability of their production system.

**D. Providing financial assistance to producers:** Financial incentive payments will be provided to producers enrolled in the pilot CSS program for implementing any of a suite of climate-smart practices including cover crops, low-till or no-till, enhanced efficiency fertilizers, and/or nutrient management. We will include innovative technologies like carbon-based fertilizers as enhanced efficiency fertilizer option, as well as use of precision nutrient management tools for optimal nitrogen management (e.g., Adapt-N, N sensors). All financial assistance payments to producers will be made after confirming compliance with highly erodible land guidelines and no duplication of financial incentives associated with other federal programs (e.g., Environmental Quality Incentives Program or Conservation Stewardship Program). Project partner, Conservation Solutions, is a NRCS technical service provider that is equipped to determine eligibility of producers and execute financial incentive contracts. If a producer is receiving financial assistance for one, but not all, of the practices they are willing to adopt, incentives will be provided for the currently non-incentivized practices. We anticipate providing incentives to 20 producers for climate smart systems on 10,000 acres annually. The CSS program will be implemented for three years, in which 1-year contracts will be executed with producers in each year of the program. All rates of economic incentives will align with payment scales for relevant NRCS activities (cover crops, reduced tillage, enhanced efficiency fertilizers, nutrient management). Economic analysis of producer risk, lost opportunity costs, direct expenses, and human dimensions of adoption that may be addressed through financial incentives will be documented throughout the project to verify if current financial incentives are appropriate and/or to propose revised payment schedules.

**E. Recruitment and enrollment of underserved and small producers:** Land-grant Universities will recruit, at minimum, 10 minority or underserved producers annually to be enrolled in the climate-smart program (50% of annual producer enrollment). To accomplish this, we will work directly through existing Extension networks and client networks of Southern Ag Services and Conservation Solutions. Alcorn State University will also assist with recruitment and enrollment of minority producers. Estimating total annual awards executed with producers at $1.3 million, we anticipate 50% ($696,300) to go directly to underserved producers in the form of financial and technical assistance annually.

**iii. Measuring, monitoring, reporting, and verification of GHG emissions**

**A. GHG benefit quantification and monitoring:** Our approach for GHG benefit quantification will be tiered in monitoring intensity to optimize cost-effectiveness without compromising fundamental measurements that are required to calibrate and validate models. Regional model parameterization will enable scaling of monitoring and verification required to expand climate-smart commodity markets. Our approach includes collection of data that align with input parameters for existing process models (e.g., DAYCENT, COMET). These data overlap with those required for proposed GHG monitoring frameworks that aim to enhance the accuracy and consistency of GHG verification (Wiesmeier et al. 2019). On a subset of the enrolled acres in which the CSS is adopted, we will establish field monitoring on 7 CSS fields and 7 paired (side by side or adjacent to) conventional fields (not enrolled in CSS program) where we will utilize a comprehensive measurement and verification methodology to determine GHG emissions.
benefits of CSS implementation. Intensive measurement and monitoring will include the quantification of annual cash crop and cover crop biomass, SOC stocks, and direct CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O flux. Paired-field monitoring and verification activities will be conducted on-farm and will prioritize and leverage previous work with producer partners where cover crops and low-till or no-till have been utilized for more than 5 years to increase the likelihood that GHG benefits are documented in the first year of the study. It has been suggested that assessment of GHG emissions via direct gas measurement is not cost-effective (White et al. 2021); however, GHG emissions data is fundamental to building accurate process-based models and represents a critical GHG loss pathway in the warm and humid climate characteristic of the mid-south region.

**Task 1. Piloting scalable monitoring and verification approach**

All monitoring will be conducted throughout an annual cycle, which starts and ends at cover crop planting. Across all pilot program acres, zone-based soil sampling will be conducted consistent with current Certified Crop Advisor methods to obtain soil fertility, texture, SOC, penetration resistance, and bulk density measurements to determine annual changes in SOC stock. We will utilize SOC and bulk density to calculate annual net carbon storage, which will be calculated on a per ha, field, farm, bushel, and project basis annually. Soil sampling across the project area will be collected by Southern Ag Services who will establish field management zones and collect zone-based soil samples at standard depths to 30 cm (IPCC, n.d.). Samples will be analyzed utilizing laboratories that have full capacity for scalable, quality assured fertility, carbon, and bulk density analysis (e.g., Waters Agricultural Laboratories, MSU Soil Testing Lab). Measurements and monitoring across all pilot acres via this scalable approach will be compared to the intensive measurements and monitoring described below. If needed, intensive data collection can be used to calibrate and validate the scaled measurements for GHG emissions reduction verification. Additionally, variable reduction analysis will be performed to optimize sample parameters and intensity for scientific vigor and cost effectiveness. Minimizing assessment cost while ensuring accuracy is critical to scaling GHG benefit verification.

**Task 2. Intensive measurement, monitoring, and verification via paired-field approach**

Intensive measurement and monitoring of CSS will include a multi-faceted approach which is critical to ensure accuracy in GHG reduction estimates and sufficient data to calibrate and validate models. Specifically, measurements will include 1) annual SOC stocks, 2) direct GHG emissions flux (seasonally, annually, during acute flux events), and 3) biomass of cash crops and decomposition rates to develop a more comprehensive quantification of SOC relative to losses of carbon equivalents (Ceq) from the system. Expanded SOC measurements in the paired trials will align with methods described in Task 1. In addition, we will measure soil microbial biomass carbon and aggregate stability, and soil moisture. We will utilize the additional data to develop a local model to estimate bulk density, using measured soil organic matter, penetration resistance, and soil moisture. A bulk density model will replace the need for intensive bulk density sampling and enhance cost-effectiveness of calculating accurate C sequestration across the project region (Carlos, 2011).

Direct, in-field GHG measurements in the paired field sites (7 CSS paired with 7 conventional) will be conducted weekly throughout the cash-crop growing season, and every other week during the fallow/cover crop season following published methods for CH₄ (Humphries et al. 2018), N₂O (Slayden et al. 2022), and CO₂ using a static-chamber-based approach (30-cm diameter). Chambers will be manually syringe-sampled over a 1-hr period at 0, 30, 60 min intervals in
triplicate in each field. Syringes containing gas samples will be transported to Dr. Brye's Laboratory in Fayetteville, AR, and analyzed with a Shimadzu GC-2014 ATFSPL 115V gas chromatograph (Shimadzu North America/Shimadzu Scientific Instruments Inc., Columbia, MD) to quantify CH₄, CO₂, and N₂O gas concentrations. Static chambers will be placed in planted crop rows, but plants will be removed from inside the chambers. At two paired-field locations (i.e., four fields in total), static-chamber-based GHG flux measurements will be paired with LI-COR survey chambers that have the capacity to measure CH₄, CO₂, and N₂O (LI-190R and LI-200R, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE) at a greater temporal frequency in-situ. The ability to have more continuous GHG measurements in the experimental fields will enhance emissions estimations. One additional survey chamber will be procured (with the capacity to measure all three GHGs of interest) to utilize on field visits with producers to conduct spot sampling. Finally, we will install two long-term LI-COR Multiplex systems (LI-8250-M4, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE) at two of the paired fields. The long-term systems will continuously monitor (every 2 hours) flux of CH₄, CO₂, and N₂O, allowing the project team to fill critical knowledge gaps related to emissions estimates diurnally and during discrete events (e.g., precipitation, irrigation, fertilizer application). These data will be used to develop annual GHG emissions rates, to quantify total annual GHG emissions reductions, and to account for currently unknown GHG flux magnitudes in certain upland cropping systems.

Above ground biomass estimates of the cash crop will be estimated on a bi-weekly basis throughout the growing season to quantify the total carbon stored in biomass and cash crop yield. We will also quantify total cover crop biomass following cover crop termination. Soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization and sequestration will also be quantified using litterbag methods (Mulvaney et al., 2010). In brief, cover crop residue will be packed into nylon mesh litterbags and deployed (placed on the soil surface and also buried) at representative sites. Bags will be periodically retrieved to estimate net C and N loss and sequestration over one year. Data will be empirically modeled to generate exponential decay equations, which may then be overlayed to estimate C and N sequestration after multiple years of continuous cover crop adoption (Mulvaney et al., 2010).

**Task 3. Development and refinement of a generalized regional-scale model to estimate practice-based reductions in GHG**

Scalability is not possible without a methodology that provides (at least) regional-level estimates for ecosystem benefits that result from a combination of inherent site characteristics, historic management, and adoption of CSS. To increase the scalability of our proposed measuring and monitoring framework, we plan to develop a broader coverage model that can be applied to the region of interest to produce high-level estimation of suitability for CSS and prediction of benefit. The initial model will be based on publicly available datasets that provide inputs equivalent to those used in other process-based models for GHG emissions and carbon planning (e.g., DAYCENT, COMET). The model will be applied over the study area using modified program code from Maheshwari et al. (2020). Such a model would provide a gross estimate that could be validated or refined using individual landowner input by future users. We will use archival data from Southern Ag Services for validation, and our monitoring data for refinement. We expect this model can be used for planning at regional scales as a means to inform future NRCS actions that encourage climate smart commodities; or conversely, identify areas where such conservation practices are unlikely to produce significant return on investment (Del Grosso...
et al, 2009). If the model is reliable based on data from Tasks 1 and 2, as a next step the suitability index could be placed as an interpretation in USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey; such an activity will further increase scalability and access by a wider audience. Table 1 identifies data, following Del Grosso et al. (2009) and Wiesmeier et al. (2019), to be used in the model (see table 1 on next page).

Task 4. Optimization of CSS practice selection
To extend the model further, we intend to apply optimization modelling. Principle Component Analysis and Exploratory Factor Analysis variable reduction techniques will be employed to reduce the number of variables in the model by identifying the key explanatory variables of the system. Once the key explanatory variables are identified, we will develop an optimization model that describes the best combination of inputs which maximizes farm return on investment subject to natural, financial, and other resource constraints. Constraints in the model will be incorporated following the monitoring and verification effort which is expected to provide more specific information on performance of individual soil types and farming system particulars.

Table 1. Input parameters and data source for county-level index estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA NEED</th>
<th>SOURCE DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crop history</td>
<td>Rotation (NASS Cropland Data Layer); Productivity (NASS Row Crop County Yield Estimates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop management</td>
<td>Irrigation (Census of Agriculture - probability based on irrigated acres); Planting and harvest dates (satellite imagery; multiple platforms following methods from Zhong et al. 2014 and Becker et al. 2021); Grazing (probability based on given crop and livestock presence); Fertilizer (standardized by crop based on university recommendation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site characteristics</td>
<td>Climate and weather (RDA NCAR); soils (NRCS SSURGO); topography (USGS 3DEP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior conservation</td>
<td>CRP enrollment (Farm Service Agency); conservation payments (Environmental Working Group); count of projects (Census of Agriculture)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed climate-smart practices provide non-GHG environmental co-benefits to water quality through reduced nutrient and sediment losses to downstream aquatic ecosystems (Dabney et al., 2001, Ruffatti et al., 2019) and enhanced water savings based on increased soil moisture in systems with cover crops and no-till (Humberto Blanco-Canqui et al., 2015). Additional co-benefits are expected to include enhanced soil health to promote the sustainability of local production systems and economic viability for the region (Humberto Blanco-Canqui et al., 2015, Nouri et al., 2019) and improved air quality through reduced dust and debris generation (Baker et al. 2005). Co-benefits to directly mitigate effects of climate change are expected to include greater tolerance to drought stress and reduced flood risk (Degani et al., 2019, Federico Antolini et al., 2019) and climate adaptation and resiliency to pest outbreaks (Dong et al., 2013). Wildlife related co-benefits of the CSS are also expected to result in enhanced habitat via increased terrestrial ground cover (Wilcoxon et al., 2018). Such co-benefits are critical to address primary
natural resource concerns in the region. The implementation and expansion of climate-smart commodity production will provide climate adaptation benefits by directly enhancing the long-term sustainability of local production systems through stewardship of soil, water, and habitat. Moreover, direct benefits for climate adaptation will be realized through the development of local supply chains.

**B. Approach to monitoring of practice implementation:** Annual audits of practice implementation will be completed on 100% of acres enrolled in the program. A digital system for documenting field-level agronomic and climate-smart practices has been developed as a component of Southern Ag Services crop consulting framework. With this system, all field-level management data is captured to accompany soil and crop data and will be incorporated into a generalized regional-scale model (see Task 3). At a minimum, 20 farms will be enrolled in the CSS program annually, with an annual target enrollment of 10,000 acres.

**C. Approach to reporting and tracking of GHG benefits:** All monitoring data collected by project partners will be aggregated by the project manager to generate GHG benefit profiles for all enrolled producers. Measured GHG emissions will be calculated on a per practice basis, along with annual and seasonal emissions estimates. We will also input all cropland CSS practice scenarios into COMET-Farm to compare field verified GHG reductions to COMET estimates (serves as model refinement under Task 3).

Producer anonymity will be present in all reporting of annual producer financial incentives, practice implementation, and GHG emissions reductions with certificates of verification in any public documentation, to align with USDA privacy regulations. All data reporting requirements will align with those set forth by USDA and will follow Section 1619 requirements. All location and personal data associated with producer practice implementation and generation of GHG emissions reductions will be housed at MSU following federal data management guidelines. Emissions reductions reporting and verification will be generated on an averaged and aggregated basis to include GHG emissions reductions and will be reported per ha, bushel, field, farm, and project annually. The project manager will maintain data records from all partners and complete final calculations related to quantification of overall GHG benefits.

The expected benefits from this CSS pilot project were estimated utilizing a multiple practice scenario from COMET-Planner (intensive till to no-till or strip till + adding a non-legume cover crop + replacing synthetic nitrogen with compost (C:N ratio 10) on irrigated cropland in the study region) estimates GHG reductions of 1.54 T Ceq/acre or 15,400 T Ceq across the pilot program annually. The annual COMET-planner estimates translate to 154 T Ceq per farm (assuming 100 ac enrolled), 46,206 T Ceq per project, 5 kg Ceq per bushel of corn, 26 kg Ceq per bushel of soybeans, and 907 k Ceq per dollar expended given an average estimated financial incentive of $136.48/ac. The majority of estimated GHG reductions from COMET-Planner were in the form of CO₂, with only 12% of the estimated GHG reductions attributed to N₂O. However, recent advancements in fertilizer technologies detail the potential for N₂O reductions of 14-61% depending on the specific technology and pairing with tillage practices (Halvorson et al. 2014).

As we are incorporating enhanced efficiency fertilizers, nutrient management, and direct N₂O measurements, we will have the capacity to quantify these additional GHG reduction benefits. The anticipated longevity or permanence of GHG benefits is expected in perpetuity as long as the practices are maintained in each production system. While soil carbon storage is expected to
plateau after several decades, sustained reductions in GHG emissions as a result of the CSS will be realized in the form of reduced fertilizer inputs, optimized nutrient cycling, and maintained soil carbon stores for the life of the management practice to achieve soil security.

D. Approach to verification of GHG benefits: Verification and reporting of GHG emissions will include change in soil carbon stock in CSS as a ton of Ceq per ha (yield (bu), field (ha), farm, and project) on a per year basis as a total quantity and relative to storage in conventional systems. This value will be adjusted based on the relative Ceq emissions reductions measured between CSS and conventional systems, which will be quantified by annualizing GHG emissions measurements. Total Ceq GHG emissions reductions will be reviewed comprehensively by all scientists on the project team. GHG emissions verification documents with supporting measurement and monitoring data, including relevant raw values and calculations, will be prepared and a report will be provided to producers who will retain documented ownership of their GHG emissions reductions associated with each cropping year. The project manager working with Dr. Baker will manage data and facilitate communication for efficient data sharing between project partners. Through the monitoring and verification of GHG emissions in conventional systems, we will be able to directly measure the commodity benefit. Steps will be taken to ensure that benefits are not double counted by monitoring CSS practice implementation.

Certified GHG reduction verification documents will be provided with direct ownership to the producer. This direct producer ownership of the climate-smart commodity along with a direct buyer in the form of the poultry industry partner, significantly reduces transaction costs, and risks of producers losing value of their climate-smart activities through cumbersome brokerage operations, while facilitating a directly viable market with a local buyer. Ownership of GHG emissions reductions will remain with the buyer once the physical product is transferred through the supply chain.

E. Mississippi State University agrees to participate in the Partnerships Network.

iv. Development and expansion of climate-smart commodities markets

A. Any partnerships designed to market resulting climate smart commodities: A critical component of our approach will be to leverage the presence of the poultry industry in the mid-south as a viable market for climate-smart commodities produced by our local producers. Corn and soybeans (in the form of meal) constitute the majority of a poultry feed ration (and other animal rations). The development of this climate-smart commodity market approach is mutually beneficial for two agricultural sectors in the region.

Specifically, we are supported by Peco Foods, Inc (see support letter) in investigating the viability of marketing climate-smart commodities to the poultry industry. Peco Foods is a poultry company located in Tuscaloosa, AL, that has a footprint across the mid-south. This proposal aligns with one of Peco Foods’ “Core Values”, which is to practice sustainability. As stated in Peco Foods’ Corporate Sustainability Report (Peco Foods, 2022, pg. 7), “We respect all aspects of sustainability with a commitment to set the industry standard while “doing the right things” for the environment, our animals, and our people.” Specifically, this project directly addresses Peco Foods’ sustainability goal to “measure and reduce Scope 3 GHG emissions in our grain and chicken supply chains by 2030.” (Peco Foods, 2022, pg. 73.)

Important to this project, Peco has six feed mills located in our proposed study area (1 in AL; 2
in AR; 3 in MS) that serve as large local buyers for the end market for the climate-smart grain. Peco Foods, Inc has a strong commitment to sourcing grain from local producers in the radius of the mills (Peco Foods, 2022, pg. 54), and as stated in the support letter, they have sourced over 90% of their corn from the Mid-South region. The project team will collaborate with Peco Foods’ internal grain buying team to recruit current customers within their footprint to participate in the project as potential buyers of the climate-smart commodity. Additionally, we will work with Peco Foods, Inc to assess opportunities in their supply chain and sourcing locations to help calculate any additional tradeoffs of incorporating the climate-smart commodities. Due to the similarities of basic infrastructure in grain supply chains between companies, the results of this study will be easily transferable to other regions and applicable to other commodities in the crop rotation. Given Peco Foods, Inc’s commitment to sustainability and its commitment to the local commodities from which they purchase feed ingredients, we view Peco Foods, Inc. as a strong and reliable supporter in meeting the goals of this proposal. Dr. Maples and Dr. Baker are prepared to utilize GHG emissions and economic outcomes, with feedback from the Peco Foods, Inc grain buying team to develop print and digital (infographics, 1-page and 2-page fliers, press releases) marketing materials to expand climate-smart poultry markets which could be shared with domestic and foreign buyers through the USDA Foreign Agricultural Service. A fundamental resource available to the project team is the MSU Department of Agriculture Communications which supports the work of all Extension employees and has full media and public relations capabilities.

B. Plan to track climate-smart commodities through the supply chain: The development of a local climate-smart grain market opportunity enables supply chain tracking that is feasible and cost-effective. Identity preservation of the physical product through trucking transport to feed mills provides a simplified tracking framework. The simplified grain feed supply chain can be utilized to assess development needs for more complex supply chain tracing and infrastructure needs, such as the expansion of grain storage capacity specifically for climate-smart commodities. All climate-smart commodity premiums will be determined by real-time buyer willingness to pay, which will be a primary component of the economic analysis and feasibility, led by Dr. Will Maples. At the time of transaction, the value-added premium will be paid directly to the producer for exchange of the physical commodity. Peco has an existing digital platform where growers can directly contact local mills to sell grain products. Additional automated fields in the digital platform could be added to include GHG emissions verification documents to negotiate value-added pricing.

C. Estimated economic benefit for participating producers: Estimated economic benefit to producers will include: 1) direct financial incentive for CSS adoption, 2) the net profit gain of system adoption at the farm-level, and 3) premium pricing of the produced climate-smart commodity. Producers will receive direct payments based on current NRCS payment rates to incentive participation in the project. These direct payments are estimated based on incentive rates of $129.79 per acre (following 2022 NRCS Environmental Quality Incentives Program/Conservation Stewardship Program payment schedules) across 5,000 acres, with a 15% increase for historically underserved producers ($149.26 across 5,000 acres).

Within the production system, CSS adoption is expected to lower input costs with a minimal change in yields. Costs of adopting the CSS will be calculated using the MSU Budget Generator. This software is maintained by the MSU Department of Agricultural Economics and is capable
of producing enterprise budgets based on various crops and production practices. The cost information within the software is updated yearly from surveys conducted across Mississippi. While Mississippi-related cost information is the default, the software is easily updated with cost information from other states to develop enterprise budgets for locations in the project study area. The development of budgets will provide estimates of the costs and benefits of CSS adoption. Data collected in this project will be used to: 1) develop partial budgets to establish baseline profit figures; 2) estimate the costs and benefits of CSS adoption; 3) set a baseline market premium for CS commodities.

We will work closely with Peco Foods to quantify the economic benefit of climate-smart commodity premium pricing to producers. Premium pricing estimates will be compared to baseline premiums needed to maintain profitability from farm budget calculations. As the climate-smart grain commodities produced don’t represent an organic product or a direct carbon credit for comparative valuation. Therefore, the project team will assess buyer willingness to pay and options for an anonymous bidding platform as a practical market development tool.

**D. Post-project potential:** Our approach demonstrates immediate scalability potential of the project, with thoughtful strategies to enhance the future expansion of this climate-smart commodity market. Specific elements that demonstrate the scalability of the pilot project include (1) a viable buyer of the climate-smart commodity with a local supply chain and (2) the dedicated platform for purchasing grain from local producers. In addition, currently scaled measurement, monitoring, and verification procedures highlight the immediate feasibility of quantifying GHG emissions reductions, while comprehensive field-level measurements demonstrate future enhancements to the accuracy of GHG emissions quantification. Our partnerships and systematic comprehensive vision for data collection that will parameterize our global model and inform the USDA COMET models in future work also demonstrate the direct applicability of our pilot approach. We expect outcomes of our CSS program and producer experiences to also inform USDA actions to encourage climate-smart commodities through specific outcomes such as: 1) optimized practice scenarios, 2) determination of critical financial incentives rates, 3) recommendations for overcoming barriers to adoption, and 4) best practices for promoting climate-smart commodities. Of critical importance is the direct support to producers to adopt CSS that support the profitability, sustainability, and resiliency of their operations. The pilot program and project team have the capacity to provide the financial and technical expertise needed to identify and overcome barriers to adopting climate-smart practices. Specific project outcomes that will directly support producers and overcome barriers to adoption include: 1) a cost-effective and accurate GHG reductions estimation tool, demonstration of viable CSS for regional technology and information transfer, and clear process for access and participation in climate-smart commodity markets. For visual interpretation of the proposed work, see the conceptual logic model in Figure 1 below.

The integration of Certified Crop Advisor and Extension personnel to provide technical expertise that aims at enhancing the productivity and profitability of existing grain production systems provides a framework that can have long-term viability in the region. Our comprehensive measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification approach also enables the determination of priority GHG emissions reductions to advance in agricultural production systems. The net reduction of GHG through the implementation of the CSS is a necessary and viable long-term solution for continuous GHG reduction and agricultural sustainability in the mid-south.
**Figure 1.** Conceptual model of inputs, activities, and outcomes. This model is a visual representation of the assets, tasks, and deliverables of the proposed effort.

### Inputs
- USDA-NRCS funds and support network
- Combined knowledge and experience from multiple university partners and private industry collaborators
- Established avenues for conservation Extension, university outreach, and technical assistance
- Existing infrastructure for demonstration farms and related Extension materials
- Producer network of underserved and minority producers
- Access to significant agricultural acreage and historic farm data

### Activities

#### Climate Smart Market Development
- Implement pilot program with > 20 producers representing 10,000 acres, 50% producers from underserved populations
- Market and promote climate-smart grain to feed companies in the mid-south
- Assess financial gain and estimate premium

#### Outreach & Technical Assistance
- Train producers to effectively manage climate-smart systems in the mid-south
- Develop a climate-smart systems planning guide for agriculture and natural resource professionals
- Assess barriers to conservation adoption and program access for underserved producers

#### Monitoring, Measuring, & Verification
- Monitor the 10,000 enrolled acres with low-cost, scalable methods
- Conduct intensive, comprehensive direct gas and decomposition measurements on 20 study fields, including 10 climate-smart and 10 reference fields

#### Modelling, Scalability, & Optimization
- Develop bulk density model to enhance scalability
- Estimate GHG emission reductions broadly across the mid-south from public data
- Establish tradeoffs between practices to optimize outcomes and guide future efforts

### Outcomes

#### Short Term
- Demonstrate viable and profitable climate-smart commodities in the mid-south
- Increase adoption of climate-smart systems in the mid-south

#### Intermediate Term
- Reduce barriers to adoption across pilot program region, specifically for historically underserved communities
- Improve conservation programming that supports climate-smart agriculture and provides accurate and scalable GHG reduction estimates
- Develop process for cost-effective and accurate GHG estimation

#### Long Term
- Expand climate-smart commodity markets
- Enhance resiliency of rural communities with sustainable production systems
- Improve transparency in conservation programming
- Increase public participation in achieving environmental sustainability goals
Mississippi State University: Developing climate-smart grain markets in the mid-south through diverse partnerships and a farming-systems approach to practice integration to reduce greenhouse gas emissions

**Project Benchmarks**

Table 1. Objectives, tasks, and milestone timeline for all activities throughout the project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 1. Develop and implement pilot climate smart systems across the mid-south</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1. Develop recruiting materials for producer enrollment, 1 print flyer, 1 digital flyer for email, 1 social media tile. (Lead: Baker with ASU Ext and Daniels)</td>
<td>3 Products</td>
<td>3 Products</td>
<td>3 Products</td>
<td>3 Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2. Recruitment &amp; Enrollment of producers into pilot program, 20-30 producers enrolled across 10,000 acres by Q3 of each Y1-Y3, 20-30 total producers with 50% of producers (10-15) enrolled</td>
<td>Recruitment on going across region</td>
<td>10,000 acres enrolled with 50% HU producers</td>
<td>Recruitment on going across region</td>
<td>10,000 acres enrolled with 50% HU producers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>being historically underserved.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead: Conservation Solutions with Baker, Daniels, ASU, and SA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3. Producer contracts executed and payments to producers made. At time of milestone completion the total amount of producer payments made will be ($1,295,250 in years 1-3; Lead: Conservation Solutions).</td>
<td></td>
<td>All Y1 Contracts executed ($1,295,250)</td>
<td>All Y2 Contracts executed ($1,295,250)</td>
<td>All Y3 Contracts executed ($1,295,250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1 Q2</td>
<td>Q1 Q2</td>
<td>Q1 Q2</td>
<td>Q1 Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4. Host annual producer Meetings- 1 annual training meeting for enrolled producers (Lead: Baker, Daniels, ASU Ext with SA and Conservation Solutions).</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5. Deploy climate-smart technologies. Nutrient management and application of EE fertilizers will occur throughout the cash crop growing season. (Lead: SA to provide producers with recommendations for producers to lead implementation).</td>
<td>Cover crops and RT deployed 10000 ac</td>
<td>Nutrient Management &amp; EE Fertilizers deployed 10000 ac</td>
<td>Cover crops and RT deployed 10000 ac</td>
<td>Nutrient Management &amp; EE Fertilizers deployed 10000 ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6. Deliver CTA regularly to enrolled producers (biweekly visits) approximately 150 individual farm visits (Lead: SA with Extension teams (MSU, UADA, and ASU).</td>
<td>150 contacts</td>
<td>150 contacts</td>
<td>150 contacts</td>
<td>150 contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7. Extension Teams to host 2 annual workshops demonstrating soil health assessment tools and soil biology education. Resulting in 6 total workshops and 2 publications at the end of the project. (Lead: Baker, Daniels, Mrema)</td>
<td>1 workshop</td>
<td>Draft 1 Extension Pub on Soil Health Tools</td>
<td>1 workshop</td>
<td>Finalize Soil Health Assessment Pub for growers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8. Complete Environmental Assessments, compliance, and audits. (Lead by: Conservation Solutions, supported by field level info from SA and Extension Teams (Lead: MSU, UADA, ASU).)</td>
<td>Y1 contract audits complete (20-30)</td>
<td>Y2 contract audits complete (20-30)</td>
<td>Y3 contract audits complete (20-30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 9. Evaluate pilot program effectiveness and potential barriers to adoption for HU producers (Co-led: Baker and ASU team).</td>
<td>Deploy Eval tool and conduct direct interviews</td>
<td>Summarize Data</td>
<td>Prepare Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective 2. Multi-scale MMRV of GHG emissions**

<p>| Task 10. Regional MMRV: Conduct soil sampling for agronomic analysis, C and bulk density across all 10000 acres before and after implementation (Lead: SA with) | Continuous soil sampling and mapping using 3 protocols and developing fertility recommendations on 10000 acres | Continuous soil sampling and mapping using 3 protocols and developing fertility recommendations on 10000 acres | Continuous soil sampling and mapping using 3 protocols and developing fertility recommendations on 10000 acres |        |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1  Q2</td>
<td>Q3  Q4</td>
<td>Q1  Q2</td>
<td>Q3  Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>support from Baker)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 11. Intensive GHG monitoring at 7 paired fields: 2 measurement tools will be used during bi-weekly sampling and 8 tools will be used during weekly sampling to capture C flux and storage in soil-crop system. (Co-lead: Brye and Mulvaney).</td>
<td>Site Selection, instrumentation, and baseline monitoring.</td>
<td>Bi-weekly GHG monitoring, 2 measurement tools</td>
<td>Weekly GHG monitoring and assessment of soil and crop biomass.</td>
<td>Bi-weekly GHG monitoring and assessment of soil and crop biomass.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 13, Quantification and documentation of co-benefits of climate-smart system adoption to include infiltration, aggregate stability, soil microbiology, microbial biomass, and crop yield. (Lead: Baker, Daniels, Mrema, Mulvaney, and SA).</td>
<td>Q1 2 measurement tools utilized</td>
<td>Q1 2 measurement tools utilized</td>
<td>Q1 2 measurement tools utilized</td>
<td>Q1 2 measurement tools utilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 14. Develop regional carbon model (Lead: Czarnecki with support from SA, MSU, UADA scientists).</td>
<td>Q2 Integration of historical data complete</td>
<td>Q2 Calibration and validation with yr 1 data</td>
<td>Q2 Validated model complete</td>
<td>Q2 Calibration and validation with yr 2 data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 15. Optimize practice scenarios for profitability and GHG emissions reductions resulting in 1 publication on the optimization model outputs. (Lead by: Smith).</td>
<td>Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4</td>
<td>Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4</td>
<td>Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4</td>
<td>Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 16. Verify GHG emissions reductions and ownership to producers based on acre and yield. (Lead: Baker with all partners).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimation of GHG reductions of 15,400 T Ceq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimation of GHG reductions of 15,400 T Ceq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 17. Build economic models to estimate grain buyer premiums for Ceq based on grain yields and integrated verified GHG estimates. (Lead by: Maples).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summarize yield data and potential buyer premium with yr 1 data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summarize yield data and potential buyer premium with</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective 3. Development and expansion of climate smart commodity market**

Task 16. Verify GHG emissions reductions and ownership to producers based on acre and yield. (Lead: Baker with all partners).

Task 17. Build economic models to estimate grain buyer premiums for Ceq based on grain yields and integrated verified GHG estimates. (Lead by: Maples).
## Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 18. Develop outreach and marketing materials to expand market opportunities to expand market for direct grain sales (Lead by: Maples and Baker).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft of print and digital outreach materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot with Peco and other domestic grain buyer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft of print and digital outreach materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objectives, Tasks, and Timeline</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 20. Develop and pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft 1</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate-Smart Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning guide an Extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>publication for producers to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>achieve CS benefits. (Lead:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniels, Baker, and ASU with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>input from SA on integration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>into production systems).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 21. Quarterly team</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meetings and reporting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Mississippi State University**

**Climate-Smart Practices and Limitations**

Climate-Smart practices under this grant shall be limited to the following practices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NRCS Practice Code</th>
<th>Practice Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>Cover Crop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>Residue and Tillage Management – Reduced Till</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>Residue and Tillage Management – No Till</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>Nutrient Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All practices applied under this grant will follow NRCS practice standards unless noted below:

N/A
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Overview of Reporting Requirements

Grant recipients are required to submit reports to document their performance under the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodity funding opportunity. These submissions will be required to use the Microsoft Excel workbook templates provided by USDA. The workbooks contain a series of worksheets that collect data in a standardized format to ensure data quality and allow for aggregation and summary of this information. The entire workbook must be submitted quarterly, with updates to all applicable worksheets. This guide is divided into three sections. The Overview of Reporting Requirements section summarizes the layout of the reporting workbook and presents the data elements included in each worksheet. It also describes additional documents that must be submitted to supplement the performance reports. The Data Definitions section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated. Finally, the Appendices contain practice and commodity lists that will be used for these reports. Reporting is necessary for USDA oversight of this effort. The data elements required for inclusion in the quarterly performance reports allow USDA to conduct selected audits to review whether producers are receiving federal funds from multiple sources for the same purpose; to determine whether GHG benefits from implementation of climate-smart agriculture and forestry (CSAF) practices are being estimated accurately; and for other purposes deemed appropriate by USDA.

The reporting worksheets collect information at four levels: project, partner, producer, and field. Descriptions of each level:

Project level: Information about activities and impacts at a whole project/aggregate level (i.e., reflecting all activities under the grant agreement). Some project-level reporting is further subdivided by commodity type or a combination of commodity and CSAF practice(s) (commodity x practice).

Partner level: Information about activities related to a single organization (recipient, subrecipient, contractor, or other partner) within a project.

Producer level: Information about individual producers who have one or more farms enrolled in a project.

Field level: Information about individual fields enrolled in a project.

Certain data elements are required to be reported for each producer and field enrolled in a project. In order to minimize the burden associated with data collection and to enable USDA to match data to existing records, these producer- and field-specific records must use the producer’s established FSA Farm, Tract and Field IDs, and report the State and County associated with the Farm ID. Associated data entered in conjunction with these data elements, such as Producer Name, must match the data contained in the customer’s Business Partner record, and the Farm Operating Plan in Business File for that Farm ID. Disclosure of this information is protected under Section 1619 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (PL 110-246), 7 U.S.C. 8791. Additionally, Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA’s policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant’s eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Note: For purposes of this guide, “farm” refers to the operation from which climate-smart commodities are produced and may represent farms, ranches, forests or other operations. Similarly, “field” refers to the individual land units at which climate-smart practices are being implemented to produce climate-smart commodities and may represent lots, farmsteads or other units, depending on the type of operation and commodity. The use of “Farm”, “Tract” and “Field” align with the FSA definitions; for example, “A field is a part of a farm that is separated from the balance of the farm by a permanent boundary, such as; fences, permanent waterways, woodlands, croplines in cases where farming practices make it probable that this cropline is not subject to change, and other similar features.”
The following tables list the data elements included in each reporting worksheet, along with a brief description of each item.

**Project Summary**
These data will be collected about each project. Cumulative results are reported each quarter. Report last quarter’s entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

### Table 1. Project Summary elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commodity type</td>
<td>Type of commodity(ies) incentivized by the project</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity sales</td>
<td>Indicates sales of the commodity(ies) related to the project occurred this quarter</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farms enrolled</td>
<td>Indicates enrollment activities occurred this quarter</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG calculation methods</td>
<td>Methods used to calculate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG cumulative calculation</td>
<td>Method used to calculate cumulative GHG benefits</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative GHG benefits</td>
<td>Whole project estimate of total GHG (CO2e) emission reductions</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative carbon stock</td>
<td>Whole project estimate of total carbon sequestration</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative CO2 benefit</td>
<td>Whole project estimate of total CO2 emission reductions</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative CH4 benefit</td>
<td>Whole project estimate of total CH4 emission reductions</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative N2O benefit</td>
<td>Whole project estimate of total N2O emission reductions</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsets produced</td>
<td>Amount of carbon offsets produced by project</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsets sale</td>
<td>Name of marketplace where carbon offsets were sold</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsets price</td>
<td>Price of carbon in offset sales</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insets produced</td>
<td>Amount of carbon insets produced by project</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of on-farm TA</td>
<td>Cost of on-farm technical assistance (TA) provided to producers</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMRV cost</td>
<td>Cost of measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) activities</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG monitoring method</td>
<td>Methods used by project to monitor GHG benefits (up to 5)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG reporting method</td>
<td>Methods used by project to report on GHG benefits (up to 5)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG verification method</td>
<td>Methods used to verify GHG benefits (up to 5)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Partner Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will represent one organization involved in the project, including the recipient and all contributing partners. A partner is any organization that is receiving project funds or providing matching contributions (funds or in-kind contributions) to the project. While the recipient must complete one row for their own organization, not all data elements apply to the recipient. These exceptions are noted in the detailed descriptions of the specific elements in the Data Definitions section of this guide. Data are reported cumulatively each quarter. Report last quarter’s entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Table 2. Partner Activities elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner ID</td>
<td>Unique ID for each partner</td>
<td>One-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner name</td>
<td>Name of partner organization</td>
<td>One-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner type</td>
<td>Type of organization</td>
<td>One-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner POC</td>
<td>Partner point of contact name</td>
<td>As applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner POC email</td>
<td>Partner point of contact email</td>
<td>As applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership start date</td>
<td>Start of partnership on project</td>
<td>One-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership end date</td>
<td>End of partnership on project</td>
<td>As applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New partnership</td>
<td>Indicator for partner organizations that have no prior work with the recipient</td>
<td>As applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner total requested</td>
<td>Total amount requested to date by partner from recipient</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total match contribution</td>
<td>Total amount of match contribution by partner to date</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total match incentives</td>
<td>Total amount of match contribution by partner for incentives</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match type</td>
<td>Top 3 types of match contribution by partner, other than incentives</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match amount</td>
<td>Value of match contributions by type</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training provided</td>
<td>Top 3 types of training provided to the partner through project</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity by partner</td>
<td>Top 3 types of activities provided by this partner to producers or other partners</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity cost</td>
<td>Approximate cost per activity type provided by partner to producers or other partners</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products supplied</td>
<td>Names of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product source</td>
<td>Supplier or source of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Marketing Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to one commodity for which the project enrolls fields and one marketing channel used to sell that commodity by the project or producers enrolled in the project. Data are reported for the current quarter and are not cumulative. If no sales of the commodity were reported during a quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 3. Marketing Activities elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commodity type</td>
<td>Type of commodity incentivized by the project</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing channel type</td>
<td>Type of marketing channels used</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of buyers</td>
<td>Number of buyers per marketing channel</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names of buyers</td>
<td>Names of buyers in the marketing channel</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing channel geography</td>
<td>Geography of marketing channel</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value sold</td>
<td>Value of commodity sold by marketing channel</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume sold</td>
<td>Volume of commodity sold by marketing channel</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price premium</td>
<td>Price premium of commodity by marketing channel</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price premium to producer</td>
<td>Percent of price premium that goes to the producer</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product differentiation method</td>
<td>Top 3 types of product differentiation methods used</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing method</td>
<td>Top 3 types of marketing methods used</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing channel identification method</td>
<td>Top 3 ways marketing channel was identified</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traceability method</td>
<td>Top 3 types of supply chain traceability methods used</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Producer Enrollment
These data will be collected at the producer level about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. Data are reported when a producer first enrolls one or more fields in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the farm characteristics each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates. The quarterly submission should contain information about each farm initially enrolled in the project during that quarter and for updates to farms that have re-enrolled during that quarter, as applicable. If no farms are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 4. Producer Enrollment elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory</td>
<td>State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of residence</td>
<td>County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer data change</td>
<td>Indicator that producer data was updated at re-enrollment</td>
<td>As applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer start date</td>
<td>Contract start date</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer name</td>
<td>Name of primary operator</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underserved status</td>
<td>Indicator the primary operator is considered underserved and/or a small producer</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area</td>
<td>Total area of enrolled operation</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total crop area</td>
<td>Total crop area in enrolled operation</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total livestock area</td>
<td>Total livestock confinement, pasture and rangeland in enrolled operation</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total forest area</td>
<td>Total forest area in enrolled operation</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock type</td>
<td>Top 3 types of livestock on enrolled operation</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock head</td>
<td>Total livestock currently managed (by type)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic farm</td>
<td>Indicator that part of the farm is certified or transitioning organic</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic fields</td>
<td>Indicator that any of the enrolled fields are certified or transitioning organic</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer motivation</td>
<td>Motivation for participation</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer outreach</td>
<td>Top 3 types of outreach provided to producer</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAF experience</td>
<td>Indicator of prior implementation of CSAF practices at this farm</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAF federal funds</td>
<td>Indicator of prior receipt of federal funds for CSAF practices</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAF state or local funds</td>
<td>Indicator of prior receipt of state funds for CSAF practices</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAF nonprofit funds</td>
<td>Indicator of prior receipt of nonprofit funds for CSAF practices</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAF market incentives</td>
<td>Indicator of prior receipt of market incentives for CSAF practices</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Field Enrollment

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row corresponds to one field x commodity combination enrolled in the project. Generally, data are reported once for each field, at its initial enrollment. The quarterly submission should contain information about each field initially enrolled in the project during that quarter. If no fields are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. If a field is enrolled for multiple years, any relevant changes, such as a new ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations should be entered in this worksheet during the quarter it is re-enrolled, or as applicable.

Table 5. Field Enrollment elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory of field</td>
<td>State name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical County of field</td>
<td>Physical county name must match FSA farm records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Field ID</td>
<td>Previous Field ID when reconstitution of farm results in new Field IDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field data change</td>
<td>Indicator that field data has changed from initial enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract start date</td>
<td>Start date of contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total field area</td>
<td>Size of enrolled field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity category</td>
<td>Category of commodity(ies) produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity type</td>
<td>Type of commodity(ies) produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline yield</td>
<td>Average yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline yield location</td>
<td>Location for which baseline yield is provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field land use</td>
<td>Most common land use in field in past 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field irrigated</td>
<td>Most common irrigation type in field in past 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field tillage</td>
<td>Most common tillage in field in past 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice past extent - farm</td>
<td>Extent of operation that implemented this practice prior to project enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field any CSAF practice</td>
<td>Indicator for prior CSAF practices in this field in past 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice past use - this field</td>
<td>Indicator of prior use of this practice in this field in the past 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice type</td>
<td>CSAF practice(s) that will be implemented in enrolled field (up to 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice standard</td>
<td>Organization that developed CSAF practice standard implemented in field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned practice implementation year</td>
<td>Year that practice is planned to be implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice extent</td>
<td>Area or number of animals for which practice is implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-on questions</td>
<td>Follow-on questions by practice type (see Table 11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Farm Summary
These data will be collected about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. The quarterly submission should contain updates to any data elements that have changed for each farm enrolled in the project during that quarter. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. Data are not cumulative.

Table 6. Farm Summary elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory</td>
<td>State name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of residence</td>
<td>County name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer TA received</td>
<td>Type of technical assistance provided to producer</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer incentive amount</td>
<td>Total financial incentive provided to the producer</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive reason</td>
<td>Top 4 reason(s) for financial incentives provided to producer</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive structure</td>
<td>Top 4 units on which financial incentives are structured</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive type</td>
<td>Top 4 type(s) of financial incentives provided to producer</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment on enrollment</td>
<td>Extent of payment provided to producer upon enrollment</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment on implementation</td>
<td>Extent of payment provided to producer upon implementation of CSAF practices</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment on harvest</td>
<td>Extent of payment provided to producer upon harvest or slaughter</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment on MMRV</td>
<td>Extent of payment provided to producer upon reporting or verification</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment on sale</td>
<td>Extent of payment provided to producer upon sale of commodity</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Field Summary
These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project for a commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field x commodity x practice(s) combination enrolled in the project. Data for each field will be reported quarterly and are not cumulative. Report data for any elements that have an update in that quarter. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. This worksheet includes a section to report the "official" estimate of GHG benefits – amounts of greenhouse gas emissions reduced and carbon sequestered – for the field. These quantities refer to the estimates that are used to calculate the project’s aggregate impact (reported in Table 1). Tables 8 and 9 are used to report alternate estimates of the field-level GHG benefits when additional methods are used to model (Table 8) or measure (Table 9) these impacts. Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model.

Table 7. Field Summary elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory of field</td>
<td>State name</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of field</td>
<td>County name</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity type</td>
<td>Type of commodity produced from field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice type</td>
<td>Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to seven)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date practice complete</td>
<td>Date that practice implementation is certified complete</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract end date</td>
<td>End date of contract</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMRV assistance provided</td>
<td>Indicator that MMRV assistance is provided to field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing assistance provided</td>
<td>Indicator that marketing assistance provided for commodity from field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive per acre or head</td>
<td>Indicator that a per acre/head incentives is provided for the CSAF practice(s) on this field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field commodity value</td>
<td>Value of commodity produced from field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field commodity volume</td>
<td>Volume of commodity produced from field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of implementation</td>
<td>Total cost of practice implementation in field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost coverage</td>
<td>Percent of total cost of implementation of practice covered by project incentives</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field GHG monitoring</td>
<td>Methods used to monitor GHG benefits in field (up to 3)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field GHG reporting</td>
<td>Methods used to report on GHG benefits for field (up to 3)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field GHG verification</td>
<td>Methods used to verify GHG benefits for field (up to 3)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field GHG calculations</td>
<td>Methods used to calculate GHG benefits for field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field official GHG calculation</td>
<td>Method used to calculate official GHG benefits for field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field official GHG ER</td>
<td>Official estimate of total GHG emission reductions for field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field official carbon stock</td>
<td>Official estimate of total carbon sequestration for field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field official CO2 ER</td>
<td>Official estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field official CH4 ER</td>
<td>Official estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field official N2O ER</td>
<td>Official estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field offsets produced</td>
<td>Amount of carbon offsets produced in field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field insets produced</td>
<td>Amount of carbon insets produced in field</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other field measurements</td>
<td>Indicator that field data was collected for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled

If greenhouse gas benefits are modeled for the same field using multiple methods, the results for the alternate models are reported in this worksheet. The “alternate” models refer to those model results that were not used in the calculation of the project’s aggregate impact (as reported in Table 1). Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model. These data will be collected about the modeled GHG benefits for each field x commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field enrolled in the project. Data are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for all fields that have new modeled data. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 8. GHG Benefits – Alternate Modeled elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory of field</td>
<td>State name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of field</td>
<td>County name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity type</td>
<td>Type of commodity(ies) produced from the field (up to 6)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice type</td>
<td>Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to 7)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG model</td>
<td>Model used to calculate GHG benefits</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model start date</td>
<td>Start date of model run</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model end date</td>
<td>End date of model run</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GHG benefits estimated</td>
<td>Estimate of total GHG benefits for field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbon stock estimated</td>
<td>Estimate of total change in carbon stock for field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CO2 estimated</td>
<td>Estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CH4 estimated</td>
<td>Estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N2O estimated</td>
<td>Estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GHG Benefits - Measured
Projects must report the results of any carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this worksheet. These data will be collected at the field level. Each row will represent a separate measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits for a given field. Data are reported once per year of measurement and are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for any field for which there are new soil samples or new calculations of annual GHG benefits based on actual measurements.

Table 9. GHG Benefits - Measured data elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>State name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>County name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG measurement method</td>
<td>Method of measurement</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab name</td>
<td>Entity that conducted analysis</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement start date</td>
<td>Start date of measurements</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement end date</td>
<td>End date of measurements</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CO2 reduction calculated</td>
<td>Calculation of total CO2 reduction</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbon stock change calculated</td>
<td>Calculation of change in carbon stock</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CH4 reduction calculated</td>
<td>Calculation of total CH4 reduction</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N2O reduction calculated</td>
<td>Calculation of total N2O reduction</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil sample result</td>
<td>Numeric result from soil sample</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement type</td>
<td>Type of analysis conducted</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional Environmental Benefits

Projects that track additional environmental benefits (e.g., water quality improvements) from enrolled fields report results in this worksheet. These data will be collected about each field. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to an enrolled field. Data are not cumulative. Estimates of environmental benefits must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 10. Additional Environmental Benefits elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>State name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>County name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental benefits</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks other environmental benefits</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in nitrogen loss</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks reductions in nitrogen loss</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in phosphorus loss</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks reductions in phosphorus loss</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water quality</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks other water quality improvements</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Type of water quality metric being tracked</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water quantity</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks reduced water use</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced erosion</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks reductions in soil erosion</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced energy use</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks reductions in energy use</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoided land conversion</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks reductions in land conversion</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved wildlife habitat</td>
<td>Indicator that project tracks improvements in wildlife habitat</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Purpose of tracking those co-benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental Data Submission

Project MMRV Plan

Definition of MMRV elements:

Measurement: Quantification of the greenhouse gas benefits (reduction or capture) using mathematical models and/or direct physical measurements in the field

Monitoring: Ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time

Reporting: Documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization

Verification: Independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable.

Projects must submit an MMRV plan that includes details about how each of the following are addressed:

- Quantification approach, including:
  - GHG models used
  - GHG measurement plan (if applicable)
  - Approach to quantifying additional environmental benefits, if applicable (e.g., water quality, habitat)

- Verification approach:
  - Compliance criteria
  - Verification plan/methodology

- Approach to ensuring:
  - Additionality
  - Permanence
  - Leakage
  - Impacts of weather

- Plan for non-compliance

If the project is using a specific MMRV methodology or approach developed by the recipient, a project partner, or an outside organization, the project can submit documentation associated with the methodology as long as the documentation addresses each of the above categories.

If the project is tracking other environmental benefits (as reported in the Additional Environmental Benefits worksheet), include a description of the methodology and tools used to track and report on these benefits.

Field modeled GHG benefit reports

Results from any models besides COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits must also be submitted as a separate report. This includes projects running COMET-Farm. The full results of any model can be submitted in the native/standard format generated by the modeling tool and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID.

Field direct measurement results

For any direct physical measurements in the field, measurement results must be submitted as a separate report and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID. Measurement results reports must include the name of the equipment used for sampling or data collection, the name of the lab that analyzed the data, and the analytical method used.

Sample report types include soil analysis reports, summarized results of portable emissions analyzers or flux towers, water quality analyses, and plant species counts. These could be collected for the purposes of determining GHG emission reductions or carbon sequestration amounts, for calibration of tools or models, for tracking other environmental benefits, or for other reasons.
Data Descriptions
This section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated.

Unique IDs
- **Project ID**: Unique ID at the project level – “Award Identifying Number” shown on award documentation
- **Partner ID**: Unique ID at the partner level – use EIN; if no EIN, a unique ID will be assigned for use in these reports
- **State or territory of operation**: State or territory name
- **County of operation**: Physical county name
- **Farm ID**: Unique ID at the operation level assigned by Farm Service Agency (FSA)
- **Tract ID**: Unique ID at the tract level assigned by FSA
- **Field ID**: Unique ID at the field level assigned by FSA
### Project Summary

#### Commodity type

**Data element name:** Commodity type  
**Reporting question:** What climate-smart commodity types are produced by this project?

**Description:** Type of commodity incentivized by the project. These commodities include those for whom farmers are directly receiving incentives or other types of marketing support. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. List one commodity per row.

| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No | Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: FSA commodity list | Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes | Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

#### Commodity sales

**Data element name:** Commodity sales  
**Reporting question:** Did project activities result in sales this quarter of the commodity(ies) produced by this project?

**Description:** Indicator of sales of commodity(ies) related to project activities. If sales are reported, complete the *Marketing Activities* worksheet (Table 3) as part of the quarterly performance report.

| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No | Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values:  
- Yes  
- No | Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes | Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

#### Farms enrolled

**Data element name:** Farms enrolled  
**Reporting question:** Did the project enroll any producers or fields this quarter?

**Description:** Indicator that the project enrolled producers or fields. If enrollment activities occurred this quarter, complete the *Producer Enrollment* and *Field Enrollment* worksheets (Tables 4 and 5) as part of the quarterly performance report.

| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No | Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values:  
- Yes  
- No | Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes | Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

#### GHG calculation methods

**Data element name:** GHG calculation methods  
**Reporting question:** What methods is the project using to calculate GHG benefits?

**Description:** List the way(s) that GHG benefits are being measured and calculated by the project this quarter.

| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No | Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values:  
- Models  
- Direct field measurements  
- Both | Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes | Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |
### GHG cumulative calculation

**Data element name:** GHG cumulative calculation  
**Description:** List the method(s) that was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported by the project this quarter.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project

**Reporting question:** What method(s) was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported here?

**Allowed values:**  
- Models  
- Direct field measurements  
- Both

**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Cumulative GHG benefits

**Data element name:** Cumulative GHG benefits  
**Description:** Total cumulative estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2eq  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project

**Reporting question:** What are the project’s estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO2eq) to date?

**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000

**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Cumulative carbon stock

**Data element name:** Cumulative carbon stock  
**Description:** Estimated total cumulative change in carbon stock based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO2eq.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2eq  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project

**Reporting question:** How much carbon has the project sequestered to date?

**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000

**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Cumulative CO2 benefit

**Data element name:** Cumulative CO2 benefit  
**Description:** Estimated total cumulative carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project

**Reporting question:** What are the project’s estimated total cumulative CO2 emission reductions to date?

**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000

**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Cumulative CH4 benefit

**Data element name:** Cumulative CH4 benefit  
**Description:** Estimated total cumulative methane reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of CH4 = 25 tons of CO2eq.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO2eq  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project

**Reporting question:** What are the project’s estimated total CH4 emission reductions to date?

**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000

**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Cumulative N2O benefit

**Data element name:** Cumulative N2O benefit  
**Reporting question:** What are the project’s estimated total N2O emission reductions to date?  
**Description:** Estimated total cumulative nitrous oxide reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no updated numbers enter the same number as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of N2O = 298 tons of CO2eq.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO2eq  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Offsets produced

**Data element name:** Offsets produced  
**Reporting question:** How many carbon offsets have been produced in the project?  
**Description:** Total carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields during the quarter. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2eq  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Offsets sale

**Data element name:** Offsets sale  
**Reporting question:** To what marketplace(s) were carbon offsets sold?  
**Description:** Marketplaces to which carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields were sold. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. List each marketplace name. Separate names with commas.  
**Data type:** Text  
**Measurement unit:** Name  
**Logic:** Respond if >0 to ‘Offsets produced’  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Offsets price

**Data element name:** Offsets price  
**Reporting question:** What was the average price of carbon received for offsets?  
**Description:** Average price per metric ton paid for carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars per metric ton  
**Logic:** Respond if >0 to ‘Offsets produced’  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Insets produced

**Data element name:** Insets produced  
**Reporting question:** How many carbon insets have been produced in the project?  
**Description:** Total carbon insets produced by enrolled fields during the quarter. Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2eq  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Cost of on-farm TA

**Data element name:** Cost of on-farm TA  
**Reporting question:** What is the total amount that has been spent to provide on-farm TA?

**Description:** Total cost of any field- or practice-specific technical assistance provided by the project (by recipient or partners) to any producers. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

**Allowed values:**
- $0-$50,000,000

**Required:** Yes

### MMRV cost

**Data element name:** MMRV cost  
**Reporting question:** What is the total amount that has been spent on MMRV activities?

**Description:** Total cost of all MMRV activities paid for by the project (recipient or partners). MMRV components are defined as measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practices have been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable). This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

**Allowed values:** $0-$50,000,000

**Required:** Yes

### GHG monitoring method

**Data element name:** GHG monitoring 1-5  
**Reporting question:** How did the project monitor GHG benefits?

**Description:** Up to the five most common forms of monitoring GHG benefits used this quarter as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

**Select multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:**
- Drones
- Ground-level photos and videos
- On-farm visit
- Plot-based sampling
- Producer records or attestation
- Satellite monitoring or remote sensing
- Soil metagenomics
- Soil sensors
- Water sensors
- Other (specify)

**Required:** Yes
**GHG reporting method**

**Data element name:** GHG reporting 1-5  
**Reporting question:** How did the project track and report implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

**Description:** Up to the five most common forms of tracking and reporting on practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**
- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

**GHG verification method**

**Data element name:** GHG verification method 1-5  
**Reporting question:** How did the project verify implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

**Description:** Up to the five most common forms of verifying practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**
- Artificial intelligence
- Audit by recipient
- Computer modeling
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
**Partner Activities**

### Unique IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner ID</th>
<th>Unique Project ID for each partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Partner name**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Name of partner organization</th>
<th>Reporting question: What is the official name of the recipient or partner organization?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Legal name of recipient or partner organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: None – all respond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Partner type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Type of partner organization</th>
<th>Reporting question: What type of organization is this?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Legal/financial structure of recipient or partner organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: List</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: Category</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: None – all respond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Partner POC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Partner POC</th>
<th>Reporting question: Who is the point of contact for this project at the recipient or partner organization?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Name of a point of contact for the recipient or partner organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: None – all respond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Partner POC email**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Partner POC email</th>
<th>Reporting question: What is the point of contact’s email address?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Email of the point of contact for the recipient or partner organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: None – all respond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Partnership start date

- **Data element name:** Partnership start date
- **Reporting question:** When did the partnership start?
- **Description:** Date that the partner organization and the recipient began formally partnering on the project.
- **Data type:** Date
- **Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY
- **Logic:** No response for recipient
- **Data collection level:** Partner
- **Data collection frequency:** Partnership initiation
- **Required:** Yes
- **Select multiple values:** NA
- **Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030

### Partnership end date

- **Data element name:** Partnership end date
- **Reporting question:** When did the partnership end?
- **Description:** Date that the partner organization and the recipient stopped formally partnering on the project.
- **Data type:** Date
- **Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY
- **Logic:** No response for recipient
- **Data collection level:** Partner
- **Data collection frequency:** Partnership end quarter
- **Required:** Yes
- **Select multiple values:** NA
- **Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030

### New partnership

- **Data element name:** New partnership
- **Reporting question:** Is this a new partnership?
- **Description:** A new partnership means that the recipient and the partner organization have not had a formal working relationship (under contract or on a grant) prior to the start of the project.
- **Data type:** List
- **Measurement unit:** Category
- **Logic:** No response for recipient
- **Data collection level:** Partner
- **Data collection frequency:** Partnership initiation
- **Required:** Yes
- **Select multiple values:** No
- **Allowed values:**
  - Yes
  - No
  - I don’t know

### Partner total requested

- **Data element name:** Partner total requested
- **Reporting question:** What is the total amount of funding the partner has requested to date from this project?
- **Description:** Cumulative (total) amount of funds that the partner has requested reimbursement for from the recipient from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter’s data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus the amount of funds requested in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.
- **Data type:** Decimal
- **Measurement unit:** Dollars
- **Logic:** No response for recipient
- **Data collection level:** Partner
- **Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
- **Required:** Yes
- **Select multiple values:** NA
- **Allowed values:** $0-$100,000,000
### Total match contribution

**Data element name:** Total match contribution  
**Reporting question:** What is the total match value the organization has contributed to the project to date?

**Description:** Cumulative (total) value of funds and in-kind contributions (e.g., staff time, inputs, equipment rental, marketing support) that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter’s data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match contributions in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Allowed values:** $0-$100,000,000  
**Logic:** None - all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Total match incentives

**Data element name:** Total match incentives  
**Reporting question:** What is the total value of match provided by this organization for producer incentives?

**Description:** Cumulative (total) value of funds for incentive payments directly to producers that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter’s data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match incentives in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Allowed values:** $0-$100,000,000  
**Logic:** None - all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Match type

**Data element name:** Match type 1-3  
**Reporting question:** What types of match contributions has the organization provided to the project?

**Description:** Types of match contributions other than incentives provided directly to producers by the organization from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of match contributions provided. In-kind staff time could be used for technical assistance, marketing assistance, or other support to producers. Production inputs include seed, fertilizer, pesticides, equipment and other inputs for use in the field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other match types as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**
- Equipment rental or use
- In-kind staff time
- Production inputs (reduced cost or free)
- Program income
- Software
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None - all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Match amount

**Data element name:** Match amount 1-3  
**Reporting question:** What is the value of the match contributions the organization provided to the project?

**Description:** Cumulative (total) value of funds for each match type that the organization has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) match types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Allowed values:** $0-$100,000,000  
**Required:** Yes

### Training type provided

**Data element name:** Training type 1-3 provided  
**Reporting question:** What types of training has the organization provided to project partners?

**Description:** Types of training provided to the project partner as a result of participating in the project during the past quarter. Training can come from the recipient, a project partner organization (including other divisions of their own organization, or an outside organization. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of partner training provided. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 training types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other training types as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**
- Data collection
- Grant reporting
- Marketing opportunities
- Providing financial assistance
- Providing technical assistance
- Writing producer contracts
- Other (specify)

**Required:** Yes

### Activity by partner

**Data element name:** Activity 1-3 by partner  
**Reporting question:** What types of activities has the organization provided to the project?

**Description:** Types of activities that the recipient or partner organization has provided during the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of activities undertaken. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other activity types as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**
- Marketing support
- MMRV support
- Producer outreach for enrollment
- Technical assistance to producers
- Training to other partner organizations
- Other (specify)

**Required:** Yes

**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Activity cost

**Data element name:** Activity cost 1-3  
**Reporting question:** What is the value of the activities this organization has provided to the project?

**Description:** Cumulative (total) cost of each activity type that the organization has undertaken or offered from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) activity types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are provided, leave unnecessary columns blank.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Allowed values:** $0-$100,000,000  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Products supplied

**Data element name:** Products supplied  
**Reporting question:** What products or supplies were provided to enrolled fields?

**Description:** Name(s) of products supplied to enrolled producers as incentives or matching contributions. Enter the name of each product, including its brand. Separate each product name with a comma. If no products or supplies were provided by the organization, leave the column blank.

**Data type:** Text  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** Name  
**Allowed values:** Text  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Product source

**Data element name:** Product source  
**Reporting question:** Which companies provided the supplies?

**Description:** Name of firm or company from which supplies were obtained.

**Data type:** Text  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** Name  
**Allowed values:** Text  
**Logic:** Respond if text entered for ‘Products supplied’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Partner  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Commodity type

**Data element name:** Commodity type  
**Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced by the farmers enrolled in this project?

**Description:** List a single commodity produced or marketed through incentives from this project. If multiple commodities are produced by the project, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each commodity. Use the FSA commodity list in Appendix B and choose the commodity from the list.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Marketing channel type

**Data element name:** Marketing channel type  
**Reporting question:** What type of marketing channel is used to sell this commodity?

**Description:** List a single type of marketing channel used to sell the commodity produced by farmers enrolled in the project. If a single commodity is marketed through multiple channels, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each combination of commodity and marketing channel. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the other marketing channel type(s) as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Allowed values:**
- Agricultural marketing board
- Biorefinery
- Commodity broker
- Direct to consumer
- Direct to institution
- Direct to restaurant
- Distributor (including grain elevators)
- Food hub or cooperative
- Food processor
- Non-food byproducts processor
- Retailer
- USDA
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Number of buyers

**Data element name:** Number of buyers  
**Reporting question:** How many buyers are there in this marketing channel?

**Description:** List the number of individual firms or buyers in this marketing channel.

**Data type:** Integer  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Count  
**Allowed values:** 1-500

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Names of buyers

**Data element name:** Names of buyers  
**Reporting question:** What are the names of all of the buyers in this marketing channel?  
**Description:** Provide the names of all buyers in this marketing channel. Separate each name with a comma.  
**Data type:** Text  
**Measurement unit:** Name  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Marketing channel geography

**Data element name:** Marketing channel geography  
**Reporting question:** What is the primary geography of the marketing channel?  
**Description:** The primary geography of the type of marketing channel. Primary geography means the scale at which most of the activity of buying and selling happens. Local means within a single state or directly neighboring states. Regional means within a five-to-ten state area. National means across the United States. International means specific locations outside of the United States. Global means across the world or not to a specific international location.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Value sold

**Data element name:** Value sold  
**Reporting question:** What is the value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?  
**Description:** The dollar value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Volume sold

**Data element name:** Volume sold  
**Reporting question:** What is the volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?  
**Description:** The volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Number  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Volume sold unit

**Data element name:** Volume sold unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit of volume?  
**Description:** The unit associated with the volume of the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Bales (500 pounds)  
- Bushels  
- Carcass pounds  
- Gallons  
- Kilograms  
- Linear board feet  
- Liveweight pounds  
- Metric tons  
- Pounds  
- Short tons  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Price premium

**Data element name:** Price premium  
**Reporting question:** What price premium is received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?  
**Description:** The price premium received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a ‘business as usual’ price.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Allowed values:** $0.01-$10,000  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Price premium unit

**Data element name:** Price premium unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the price premium?  
**Description:** The unit associated with the price premium for the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Per bale (500 pounds)  
- Per bushel  
- Per carcass pound  
- Per gallon  
- Per kilogram  
- Per linear board foot  
- Per live pound  
- Per metric ton  
- Per ounce  
- Per short ton  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Price premium to producer

**Data element name:** Price premium to producer  
**Reporting question:** What percent of the price premium is provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?  
**Description:** The percent of the price premium provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a ‘business as usual’ price.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Percent  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Product differentiation method

**Data element name:** Product differentiation method 1-3  
**Reporting question:** What methods are used to differentiate climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?  
**Description:** Provide the methods used to differentiate the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Product differentiation methods are ways to distinguish or differentiate the climate-smart commodity in the marketplace. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 product differentiation methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other product differentiation methods as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Certification/verification for internal insetting  
- Farm certification  
- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing  
- Third party certification/verification  
- Trademark  
- Other (specify)  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Marketing method

**Data element name:** Marketing method 1-3  
**Reporting question:** What methods are used to market climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?  
**Description:** Provide the method(s) used to market this commodity in this market channel. Marketing method is the way that potential buyers of the climate-smart commodity are engaged by the project partners as the sellers or facilitators of sale. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing methods as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing materials  
- Marketing partnership (e.g., promotion by buyer)  
- Print marketing campaign  
- Social media and digital marketing campaign  
- Verbal marketing campaign (e.g., radio, word of mouth)  
- Other (specify)  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Marketing channel identification method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>Marketing channel identification method 1-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reporting question:** What methods are used to generate interest in climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

**Description:** Provide the marketing channel identification method(s) used for this commodity in this market channel. Market channel identification methods are the ways that producers and project partners generate interest in purchasing the climate-smart commodity. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing channel identification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing channel identification methods as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Educational tours for buyers  
- In-person lead generation  
- Negotiated contracts with buyers  
- Partnership network or project partner  
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Traceability method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>Traceability method 1-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reporting question:** What traceability methods are used for climate-smart commodities in this channel?

**Description:** Provide the traceability method(s) used for the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Traceability methods are ways to trace the climate-smart commodity or the climate-smart claims through the supply chain. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 traceability methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other traceability methods as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Barcode or unique ID  
- Blockchain  
- Book and claim  
- Chain of custody  
- Mass balance  
- Recordkeeping  
- Registry with certification  
- Segregation  
- Supply shed  
- Volume proxy  
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Producer Enrollment

#### Unique IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory</td>
<td>State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of residence</td>
<td>County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Producer data change

**Data element name:** Producer data change  
**Reporting question:** Is there new/updated information for a producer who is re-enrolling in the project?  
**Description:** Indicates that there is new or updated information for a producer who had previously enrolled in the project and is re-enrolling.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Re-enrollment

#### Producer start date

**Data element name:** Producer start date  
**Reporting question:** When did the producer enroll in the project?  
**Description:** Date that the producer enrolled in the project by signing their first contract.  
**Data type:** Date  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY  
**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

#### Producer name

**Data element name:** Producer name  
**Reporting question:** What is the name of producer enrolled in the project?  
**Description:** Name of the producer enrolled in the project; the name must match the name contained in the customer’s Business Partner record and the Farm Operating Plan in FSA Business File for that Farm ID.  
**Data type:** Text  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** NA  
**Allowed values:** Text  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
**Underserved status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>Underserved status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reporting question:** Is this producer considered an underserved and/or a small producer?

**Description:** Underserved status of the primary operator of the enrolled operation. Underserved producers generally include beginning farmers, socially disadvantaged farmers, veteran farmers, and limited resource farmers; women farmers and producers growing specialty crops are generally also included in these categories. Small farms are generally those with less than $350,000 in annual gross cash farm income. Indicate whether this producer is considered underserved, a small producer, or both underserved and a small producer. Use “I don’t know” if the producer declines to answer. Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA’s policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant’s eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select multiple values:</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Allowed values:**
- Yes, underserved
- Yes, small producer
- Yes, underserved and small producer
- No
- I don’t know

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** No

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

---

**Total area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>Total area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reporting question:** What is the total area of the farm?

**Description:** Total area of the farm associated with the Farm ID. Report total area of the farm, even if only a portion of the farm is enrolled in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select multiple values:</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Allowed values:**
- Less than 1 acre
- 1 to 9 acres
- 10 to 49 acres
- 50 to 69 acres
- 70 to 99 acres
- 100 to 139 acres
- 140 to 179 acres
- 180 to 219 acres
- 220 to 259 acres
- 260 to 499 acres
- 500 to 999 acres
- 1,000 to 1,999 acres
- 2,000 to 4,999 acres
- 5,000 or more acres

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable
### Total crop area

**Data element name:** Total crop area

**Reporting question:** What percent of the current operation is cropland?

**Description:** Area of the total farm that is currently used as cropland. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total crop area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

**Data type:** Integer

**Measurement unit:** Acres

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

**Select multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:** 0-100,000

**Required:** Yes

### Total livestock area

**Data element name:** Total livestock area

**Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is used for livestock (by area)?

**Description:** Area of the total farm that is currently used for pasture, grazing, rangeland; or animal housing, feeding or milking. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total livestock area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

**Data type:** Integer

**Measurement unit:** Acres

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

**Select multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:** 0-100,000

**Required:** Yes

### Total forest area

**Data element name:** Total forest area

**Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is forested (by area)?

**Description:** Area of the total farm that is currently considered forest land use. Forest land use means that at least 10% of the land area is covered in trees that will be at least 13 feet tall when mature. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total forest area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

**Data type:** Integer

**Measurement unit:** Acres

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

**Select multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:** 0-100,000

**Required:** Yes
# Livestock type

**Data element name:** Livestock type 1-3  
**Reporting question:** What types of livestock are raised on the farm?

**Description:** Up to top three types of livestock (by head count) on the farm. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other livestock types as free text. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the livestock type each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category

**Allowed values:**
- Alpacas
- Beef cows
- Beefalo
- Buffalo or bison
- Chickens (broilers)
- Chickens (layers)
- Dairy cows
- Deer
- Ducks
- Elk
- Emus
- Equine
- Geese
- Goats
- Honeybees
- Llamas
- Reindeer
- Sheep
- Swine
- Turkeys
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** Respond if ‘Total livestock area’ >0  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

# Livestock head

**Data element name:** Livestock head 1-3  
**Reporting question:** How many livestock (by type) are on this operation?

**Description:** Average annual head count for each type of livestock. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the average annual head count each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

**Data type:** Integer  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** Head count

**Logic:** Respond if ‘Total livestock area’ >0  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable
### Organic farm

**Data element name:** Organic farm  
**Reporting question:** Is any part of the farm currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?  
**Description:** USDA-certified organic means that the farm has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the farm each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** No  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

### Organic fields

**Data element name:** Organic fields  
**Reporting question:** Are any of the fields enrolled in the project currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?  
**Description:** USDA-certified organic means that the operation has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the enrolled fields each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Organic operation’  
**Required:** No  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

### Producer motivation

**Data element name:** Producer motivation  
**Reporting question:** Which of the following was the primary reason the producer enrolled in this project?  
**Description:** Primary operator’s motivation for enrolling in the project.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Financial benefit  
- Environmental benefit  
- New market opportunity  
- Partnerships or networks  
- Other  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
### Producer outreach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Data collection level</th>
<th>Data collection frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Producer outreach 1</td>
<td>What types of outreach were provided to producers?</td>
<td>Up to three most common types of outreach provided to producer prior to enrollment. Outreach activities are those focused on identifying and enrolling producers in the project. Outreach can come from the recipient or project partners. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 outreach types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other outreach types as free text.</td>
<td>List</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None — all respond</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Producer</td>
<td>Initial enrollment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Commodity organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Conferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cooperative extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Digital communications and resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Education workshops, field days, and town halls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Existing partner networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Farm visits and one-on-one meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• General advertising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Peer referrals and producer groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Phone calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Print communications and resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retailers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• State agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Targeted messaging using proprietary data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Technical service providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other (specify)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CSAF experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Data collection level</th>
<th>Data collection frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSAF experience</td>
<td>Has the primary operator implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years anywhere on the farm?</td>
<td>Has this farm implemented climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practices anywhere on the farm in the past 10 years or since the current primary operator took control (whichever time period is shorter)? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.</td>
<td>List</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None — all respond</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Producer</td>
<td>Initial enrollment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• I don’t know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CSAF federal funds

**Data element name:** CSAF federal funds  
**Reporting question:** Were prior CSAF practices supported by federal funds?

**Description:** If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by federal funds? Federal funds are defined as being from programs including, but not limited to, those from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), including through Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP), or related programs, the Farm Service Agency Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), as well as funds from other USDA programs or other federal agencies.

**Data type:** List  
** Allowed values:**
- Yes
- No
- I don't know

**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### CSAF state or local funds

**Data element name:** CSAF state or local funds  
**Reporting question:** Were prior CSAF practices supported by state or local funds?

**Description:** If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by state funds? State or local funds are those from state departments of agriculture or other state agencies, local water quality districts and other local agencies.

**Data type:** List  
** Allowed values:**
- Yes
- No
- I don't know

**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### CSAF nonprofit funds

**Data element name:** CSAF nonprofit funds  
**Reporting question:** Were CSAF practices supported by nonprofit funds?

**Description:** If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by nonprofit funds? Nonprofit funds are those offered directly from a nonprofit organization to a producer.

**Data type:** List  
** Allowed values:**
- Yes
- No
- I don't know

**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
**CSAF market incentives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: CSAF market incentives</th>
<th>Reporting question: Were CSAF practices supported by market incentives?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by market incentives? Market incentives include premiums paid by a commodity buyer or by a consumer based on branding or labeling as a climate-smart commodity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: List</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: Category</td>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘CSAF experience’</td>
<td>Required: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Producer</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Field Enrollment

## Unique IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field ID</th>
<th>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory of field</td>
<td>State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of field</td>
<td>County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Field ID, if applicable</td>
<td>Prior Field ID assigned by FSA if there has been reconstitution of the farm resulting in a new Field ID during the field’s enrollment in the project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Field data change

**Data element name:** Field data change  
**Reporting question:** Has the information previously reported for this field changed?

**Description:** Indicator that this entry is being used to report any relevant changes, such as a new Field ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations, for a field that has previously been enrolled in the project.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**
- Yes
- No

**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Re-enrollment

## Contract start date

**Data element name:** Contract start date  
**Reporting question:** What is the start date of the contract with the producer that includes this field?

**Description:** Start date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project.

**Data type:** Date  
**Select multiple values:** NA

**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY  
**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 — 12/31/2030

**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

## Total field area

**Data element name:** Total field area  
**Reporting question:** What is the total size of the enrolled field?

**Description:** Total size of the field enrolled with the project.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Acres  
**Allowed values:** .01-500

**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
### Commodity category

**Data element name:** Commodity category  
**Reporting question:** What category of commodity(ies) is (are) produced from this field?  
**Description:** Category of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Crops  
- Livestock  
- Trees  
- Crops and livestock  
- Crops and trees  
- Livestock and trees  
- Crops, livestock and trees  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Commodity type

**Data element name:** Commodity type  
**Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced from this field?  
**Description:** Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose the appropriate value. Enter additional commodities in subsequent rows.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Baseline yield

**Data element name:** Baseline yield  
**Reporting question:** What is the baseline yield of this field?  
**Description:** Average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. Provide yield for the enrolled field if possible. If not at field level, provide average annual yield for the specific commodity for the operation.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Production per acre or animal  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** .01-100,000  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
### Baseline yield unit

**Data element name:** Baseline yield unit  
**Reporting question:** Baseline yield unit  
**Description:** Unit of average annual yield of commodity in enrolled field in 3 years prior to enrollment. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Animal units per acre  
- Bushels per acre  
- Carcass pounds per animal  
- Head per acre  
- Hundred-weights (or pounds) per head  
- Linear feet per acre  
- Liveweight pounds per animal  
- Pounds per acre  
- Tons per acre  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Baseline yield location

**Data element name:** Baseline yield location  
**Reporting question:** For what portion of the operation is the baseline yield being reported?  
**Description:** Location of the reported average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate location as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Enrolled field  
- Whole operation  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Field land use

**Data element name:** Field land use  
**Reporting question:** What is this field’s land use history?  
**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common land use for this field in the past 3 years?  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Crop land  
- Forest land  
- Non-agriculture  
- Other agricultural land  
- Pasture  
- Range  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
### Field irrigated

**Data element name:** Field irrigated  
**Reporting question:** What is this field’s irrigation history?  
**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common irrigation practice on this field the past 3 years?  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- No irrigation  
- Center pivot  
- Drip-subsurface  
- Drip-surface  
- Flood/border  
- Furrow/ditch  
- Lateral/linear sprinklers  
- Micro-sprinklers  
- Seepage  
- Side roll  
- Solid set sprinklers  
- Supplemental  
- Surface  
- Traveling gun/towline  
- Wheel Line  
- Other  

**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Field tillage

**Data element name:** Field tillage  
**Reporting question:** What is this field’s tillage history?  
**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common tillage approach during the past 3 years?  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- None  
- Conventional, inversion  
- Conventional, vertical  
- No-till, direct seed  
- Reduced till, inversion  
- Reduced till, vertical  
- Strip till  
- Other  

**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
### Practice past extent - farm

**Data element name:** Practice past extent - farm

**Reporting question:** What percent of the farm has implemented this CSAF practice (combination) previously?

**Description:** Prior to enrollment, on what portion of the whole farm had this (these) CSAF practice(s) ever been used by the primary operator? If multiple practices are planned to be implemented in this field, enter the value that best corresponds to the farm’s prior experience with the planned set of practices.

**Data type:** List  Select multiple values: No

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Allowed values:**
- Never used
- Used on less than 25% of operation
- Used on 25-50% of operation
- Used on 51-75% of operation
- Used on more than 75% of operation

**Logic:** None – all respond  Required: Yes

**Data collection level:** Field  Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

### Field any CSAF practice

**Data element name:** Field any CSAF practice

**Reporting question:** What is this field’s prior experience with CSAF practices?

**Description:** Prior to enrollment, have any CSAF practice or practices been used in this field in the past 3 years? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.

**Data type:** List  Select multiple values: No

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Allowed values:**
- Yes
- No
- I don’t know

**Logic:** None – all respond  Required: Yes

**Data collection level:** Field  Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

## Practice past use - this field

**Data element name:** Practice past use - this field

**Reporting question:** Have this CSAF practice (combination) been implemented previously in this field?

**Description:** Prior to enrollment, had this (these) CSAF practice(s) been used in this field in the in the past 3 years? Enter yes if all of the practices had been used previously in this field; enter some if multiple practices are being implemented and one or more, but not all of the practices had been used previously in this field; and enter no if none of the practices had been used previously in this field.

**Data type:** List  Select multiple values: No

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Allowed values:**
- Yes
- Some
- No
- I don’t know

**Logic:** None – all respond  Required: Yes

**Data collection level:** Field  Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
### Practice type

**Data element name:** Practice type 1-7  
**Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project?  
**Description:** Which CSAF practice or practices will be implemented on this field as part of enrollment in the project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:** See list in Appendix A  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Practice standard

**Data element name:** Practice standard 1-7  
**Reporting question:** What standard does the CSAF practice follow?  
**Description:** Is the CSAF practice being implemented on the field as part of enrollment in the project following a defined practice standard? The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- NRCS  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Planned practice implementation year

**Data element name:** Practice 1-7 implementation year  
**Reporting question:** What year is the CSAF practice planned to be implemented?  
**Description:** Year that the CSAF practice is planned to be implemented on the field. Use 2022 for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in 2022 (prior to contract being signed for this project). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.  
**Data type:** Integer  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Year  
**Allowed values:** 2022-2030  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

### Practice extent

**Data element name:** Practice 1-7 extent  
**Reporting question:** To what extent is the practice implemented?  
**Description:** Total area, length, or head where the practice is being implemented in the field specified by the contract.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Extent  
**Allowed values:** .01-100,000  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment
Practice extent unit

Data element name: Practice 1-7
Reporting question: Unit for extent of practice implementation

Description: Unit for extent of practice implementation on the field specified by the contract. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit.

Data type: List
Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category
Allowed values:
- Acres
- Head of livestock
- Linear feet
- Square feet
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field
Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

CSAF Practice Sub-questions

For certain practices, additional questions are asked that provide information necessary to estimate greenhouse gas benefits from implementation of the practice. See Table 11 in the CSAF Practice Sub-questions section for descriptions of individual questions to be answered depending on the CSAF practices selected.
## Farm Summary

### Unique IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory</td>
<td>State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of residence</td>
<td>County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Producer TA received

**Data element name:** Producer TA received  
**Reporting question:** What types of technical assistance were provided to this producer?

**Description:** Did the recipient or any partner provide technical assistance (TA) to the producer this year? Technical assistance is any training, education, capacity building or other support provided by any project partner(s) directly to producers enrolled in the project. List up to the top three most common types of TA provided to this producer. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 TA types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other TA types as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**
- Demonstration plots
- Equipment demonstrations
- Group field days or in-person field workshops
- Hotline
- One-on-one enrollment assistance
- One-on-one field visits
- One-on-one producer mentorship
- Producer networks and peer-to-peer groups
- Retailer consultation
- Social media/digital tools
- Train-the-trainer opportunities
- Virtual meetings or field days
- Webinars and videos
- Written materials
- None
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Producer incentive amount

**Data element name:** Producer incentive amount  
**Reporting question:** What is the total value of financial incentives provided to this producer?

**Description:** Total incentive payment received by the producer from USDA project funds for the year (non-cumulative). Do not include incentive payments made with partner match funds.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** NA  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Allowed values:** $0-$5,000,000  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Incentive reason

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Incentive reason 1-4</th>
<th>Reporting question: Why were incentives provided to this producer?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> List up to four reasons for producer incentive payments. List the top 4 based on total value of the incentive for each reason. The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 reasons, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other reasons as free text.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> List</td>
<td><strong>Allowed values:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> Category</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Conference or training attendance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Demographics/equity payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Foregone revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Historic data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identity preservation (supply chain tracing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implementation of practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• MMRV (e.g., data collection, reporting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Passing audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Price premium on output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Yield change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other (specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td><strong>Required:</strong> Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Producer</td>
<td><strong>Data collection frequency:</strong> Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Incentive structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Incentive structure 1-4</th>
<th>Reporting question: What are the units for the financial incentives provided to this producer?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> List the structures (units) corresponding to the top 4 (by dollar value) incentive payments to producers. Production unit is weight or volume (bushel, kilogram, ton). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 structure types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other structure types as free text.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> List</td>
<td><strong>Select multiple values:</strong> No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> Category</td>
<td><strong>Allowed values:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Flat rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Per animal head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Per area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Per length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Per production unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Per ton GHG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Per tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other (specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td><strong>Required:</strong> Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Producer</td>
<td><strong>Data collection frequency:</strong> Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Incentive type

**Data element name:** Incentive type 1-4  
**Reporting question:** What type of incentives were provided to each producer?

**Description:** List the top 4 types of incentive payments to producers (based on dollar value). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 incentive types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other incentive types as free text.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Cash payment  
- Equipment loan  
- Guaranteed commodity premium payment  
- Inputs and supplies  
- Land rental  
- Loan  
- Paid labor  
- Post-harvest transportation  
- Tuition or fees for training  
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Payment on enrollment

**Data element name:** Payment on enrollment  
**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon enrollment in the project?

**Description:** Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon enrollment/signing a contract, and not related to any implementation, MMRV or sales activities. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Full payment  
- Partial payment  
- No payment

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Payment on implementation

**Data element name:** Payment on implementation  
**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon implementation of the practices?

**Description:** Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon implementing the practices included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No

**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Full payment  
- Partial payment  
- No payment

**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Payment on harvest

**Data element name:** Payment on harvest

**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon harvest of the commodity?

**Description:** Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon harvesting or slaughtering the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Payment on MMRV

**Data element name:** Payment on MMRV

**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon completing MMRV requirements?

**Description:** Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon completing the annual MMRV requirements included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Payment on sale

**Data element name:** Payment on sale

**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity?

**Description:** Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Producer

**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
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Field Summary

Unique IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory of field</td>
<td>State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of field</td>
<td>County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commodity type

**Data element name:** Commodity type  
**Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced from this field?

**Description:** Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Practice type

**Data element name:** Field practice type 1-7  
**Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project?

**Description:** Which climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:** See list in Appendix A  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Date practice complete

**Data element name:** Date practice complete  
**Reporting question:** When did the project certify CSAF practice implementation as complete?

**Description:** Date that the project certifies that implementation of the CSAF practice is complete on the field. Use January of the year prior to contract year for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in the year prior to a contract associated with this project is signed). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

**Data type:** Date  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY  
**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
## Contract end date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>Contract end date</th>
<th>Reporting question:</th>
<th>Contract end date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>End date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project. If contract end date changes, submit updated end date during the next quarter’s reporting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type:</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Select multiple values:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit:</td>
<td>MM/DD/YYYY</td>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
<td>01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic:</td>
<td>None – all respond</td>
<td>Required:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level:</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency:</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## MMRV assistance provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>MMRV assistance provided</th>
<th>Reporting question:</th>
<th>Was MMRV assistance provided?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Was any MMRV assistance provided to the primary operator for this field? MMRV assistance includes in-field support for the use of technologies, consultation on data collection and input, and other support related to MMRV. MMRV is defined a measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type:</td>
<td>List</td>
<td>Select multiple values:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit:</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
<td>- Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic:</td>
<td>None – all respond</td>
<td>Required:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level:</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency:</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Marketing assistance provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>Marketing assistance provided</th>
<th>Reporting question:</th>
<th>Was marketing assistance provided?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Was any marketing assistance provided to the primary operator for the commodity(ies) produced from this field? Marketing assistance includes guaranteeing the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a platform for the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a label, branding, or other support related to marketing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type:</td>
<td>List</td>
<td>Select multiple values:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit:</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
<td>- Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic:</td>
<td>None – all respond</td>
<td>Required:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level:</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency:</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Incentive per acre or head

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name:</th>
<th>Incentive per acre or head</th>
<th>Reporting question:</th>
<th>Is this field receiving a per-acre or per-head incentive?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Is this field receiving an incentive payment to implement a specific CSAF practice or set of practices on a per-acre or per-head (livestock) basis?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type:</td>
<td>List</td>
<td>Select multiple values:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit:</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
<td>- Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic:</td>
<td>None – all respond</td>
<td>Required:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level:</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency:</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Field commodity value

**Data element name:** Field commodity value  
**Reporting question:** What is the value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field?  
**Description:** The dollar value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select multiple values:</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allowed values:</strong></td>
<td>$1-$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong></td>
<td>Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection frequency:</strong></td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field commodity volume

**Data element name:** Field commodity volume  
**Reporting question:** What is the volume of commodity produced on the enrolled field?  
**Description:** The volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Number  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select multiple values:</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allowed values:</strong></td>
<td>1-10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong></td>
<td>Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection frequency:</strong></td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Field commodity volume unit

**Data element name:** Field commodity volume unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit of volume?  
**Description:** The unit associated with the volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select multiple values:</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Allowed values:** | • Bushels  
| | • Carcass weight pounds  
| | • Gallons  
| | • Head  
| | • Linear feet  
| | • Liveweight pounds  
| | • Pounds  
| | • Tons  
| | • Other (specify) |
| **Logic:** None – all respond | Yes |
| **Data collection level:** | Field |
| **Data collection frequency:** | Quarterly |

### Cost of implementation

**Data element name:** Cost of implementation  
**Reporting question:** What is the cost of practice implementation in the field?  
**Description:** Total annual estimated cost per unit of implementing the practice(s) in the enrolled field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Dollars  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select multiple values:</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allowed values:</strong></td>
<td>$1-$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong></td>
<td>Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection frequency:</strong></td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Cost unit

**Data element name:** Cost unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for cost?  
**Description:** The unit associated with the cost of implementing CSAF practices in the field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Per acre  
- Per bushel  
- Per head  
- Per linear foot  
- Per pound  
- Per ton  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

## Cost coverage

**Data element name:** Cost coverage  
**Reporting question:** What percent of the practice cost is covered by the incentive?  
**Description:** Estimated proportion of total annual cost of implementing the practice(s) that is covered by project incentives.  
**Data type:** Integer  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Percent  
**Allowed values:** 0-100  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

## Field GHG monitoring

**Data element name:** Field GHG monitoring  
**Reporting question:** How were GHG impacts monitored in this field?  
**Description:** Up to the top three forms of monitoring GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Drones  
- Ground-level photos and videos  
- On-farm inspection  
- Plot-based sampling (e.g., soil, water)  
- Producer records or attestation  
- Satellite monitoring or remote sensing  
- Soil metagenomics  
- Soil sensors  
- Water sensors  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Field GHG reporting

**Data element name:** Field GHG reporting

**Reporting question:** How were GHG benefits reported for this field?

**Description:** Up to the top three forms of reporting on GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Selected multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:**
- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None — all respond

**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Field

**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Field GHG verification

**Data element name:** Field GHG verification

**Reporting question:** How were implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions verified for this field?

**Description:** Up to the top three of verification of GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Selected multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:**
- Artificial intelligence
- Computer modeling
- Recipient audit
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None — all respond

**Required:** Yes

**Data collection level:** Field

**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Field GHG calculations

**Data element name:** Field GHG  
**Reporting question:** What methods are used to calculate GHG benefits in this field?  
**Description:** List the method(s) used to calculate GHG benefits in this field. If yes to direct physical measurements, submit result reports (see Supplemental Data Submission — Field direct GHG measurement results).  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Models  
- Direct field measurements  
- Both  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Field official GHG calculation

**Data element name:** Field official GHG calculation  
**Reporting question:** What method was used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field?  
**Description:** List the method used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field that are reported as part of the project’s aggregate impact.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Models  
- Direct field measurements  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Field official GHG ER

**Data element name:** Field official GHG emission reductions  
**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO2eq) in this field?  
**Description:** Estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project’s aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2eq  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

### Field official carbon stock

**Data element name:** Field official carbon stock  
**Reporting question:** How much carbon has been sequestered in this field?  
**Description:** Estimated total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in this field. This data element can be reported in any quarter and is cumulative for the year. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO2eq.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2eq  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly
### Field official CO2 ER

**Data element name:** Field official CO2 emission reductions  
**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total CO2 emission reductions in this field?  
**Description:** Estimated total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project’s aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Required:** Yes

### Field official CH4 ER

**Data element name:** Field official CH4 emission reductions  
**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total CH4 emission reductions in this field?  
**Description:** Estimated total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project’s aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of CH4 = 25 tons of CO2eq.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO2eq  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Required:** Yes

### Field official N2O ER

**Data element name:** Field official N2O emission reductions  
**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total N2O emission reductions in this field?  
**Description:** Estimated total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project’s aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of N2O = 298 tons of CO2eq.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO2eq  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Required:** Yes

### Field offsets produced

**Data element name:** Field offsets produced  
**Reporting question:** How many carbon offsets have been produced in this field?  
**Description:** Total carbon offsets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO2eq  
**Logic:** None — all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Required:** Yes
### Field insets produced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Field insets produced</th>
<th>Reporting question: How many carbon insets have been produced in this field?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Total carbon insets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> Decimal</td>
<td><strong>Select multiple values:</strong> No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> Metric tons CO₂eq</td>
<td><strong>Allowed values:</strong> 0-10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td><strong>Required:</strong> Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Field</td>
<td><strong>Data collection frequency:</strong> Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other field measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Other field measurement</th>
<th>Reporting question: Were data collected from the field for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Direct physical measurements or data collection taken in the field for any reason other than GHG benefits estimation. These reasons could include calibration of GHG estimation tools or models, tracking other environmental benefits (see Field environmental benefits report), and other reasons. If yes, submit corresponding reports (see Supplemental data submission - Field direct measurement results).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> List</td>
<td><strong>Select multiple values:</strong> No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> Category</td>
<td><strong>Allowed values:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• I don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td><strong>Required:</strong> Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Field</td>
<td><strong>Data collection frequency:</strong> Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
### Unique IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory of field</td>
<td>State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of field</td>
<td>County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Commodity type

**Data element name:** Commodity type 1-6  
**Reporting question:** What type of commodity(ies) is produced from this field?  
**Description:** Type of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with drop-down lists of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Practice type

**Data element name:** Practice type 1-7  
**Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented by this project?  
**Description:** Which CSAF practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented by the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### GHG model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: GHG model</th>
<th>Reporting question: What model was used for alternate calculation of GHG benefits?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Select the model used for the alternate calculation of the field's GHG benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type:</td>
<td>List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select multiple values:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit:</td>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ACC Calculator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Carbon Calculator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• AIREX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bowen Ratio Energy Balance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Carat-Calculator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CARPE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CDFA web-based calculator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• COMET-Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• COMET-Planner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CoolFarm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cover Crop Explore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CropTrak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CultivateAI's FMIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DayCent-CR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DNDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DSSAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Earth Optics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EcoPractices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EPIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extrapolation based on literature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• FieldPrint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Granular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GREET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• gTIR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IFSM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IPCC default emissions factors &amp; models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• itree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nitrogen Balance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nutrient Tracking Tool (NTT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RCD Project Tracker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Revised Universal Soil Loss equation 2 (RUSLE2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RuFaS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAFE-Link</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SALUS (CIBO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SNAPGRAZE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SquareRoots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SWAT-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SYMFONI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Truterra Sustainability Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Verra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• WEPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• YardStick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection level:</th>
<th>Field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data collection frequency:</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data element name</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model start date</td>
<td>Date that the model parameters begin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model end date</td>
<td>Date that the model parameters end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GHG benefits estimated</td>
<td>Total greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbon stock estimated</td>
<td>Total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CO₂ estimated</td>
<td>Total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Total CH4 estimated

**Data element name:** Total CH4 estimated  
**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field’s total CH4 emission reductions?

**Description:** Total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of CH4 = 25 tons of CO2eq.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** Yes  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods

**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO2eq  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Total field N2O estimated

**Data element name:** Total N2O estimated  
**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field’s total N2O emission reductions?

**Description:** Total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate method. Conversion rate is one ton of N2O = 298 tons of CO2eq.

**Data type:** Decimal  
**Select multiple values:** Yes  
**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000  
**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods

**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO2eq  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### GHG measurement method

**Data element name:** GHG measurement method

**Description:** Field-based measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.

**Data type:** List

**Measurement unit:** Category

**Select multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:**
- Emissions measurement unit
- Flux towers
- Litterbags
- Plant measurements
- Portable emissions analyzers
- Soil flux chambers
- Soil samples
- Soil sensors
- Vehicle-mounted sensors
- Other (specify)

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field

**Data collection level:** Field

**Data collection frequency:** Annual

---

### Lab name

**Data element name:** Lab name

**Reporting question:** What is the name of the lab that processed the measurement samples?

**Description:** Name of entity that received data and conducted analysis of samples.

**Data type:** Text

**Measurement unit:** NA

**Select multiple values:** No

**Allowed values:** Free text

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Required:** If applicable

**Data collection level:** Field

**Data collection frequency:** Annual
**Measurement start date**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Measurement start date</th>
<th>Reporting question: On what date did the measurement start?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements first began.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> Date</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> MM/DD/YYYY</td>
<td>Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td>Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Measurement end date**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Measurement end date</th>
<th>Reporting question: On what date did the measurement end?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements were completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> Date</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> MM/DD/YYYY</td>
<td>Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td>Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total CO2 reduction calculated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Total CO2 reduction calculated</th>
<th>Reporting question: What are the total measured CO2 emission reductions?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Total annual CO2 emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> Decimal</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> Metric tons CO₂</td>
<td>Allowed values: 0-10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td>Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total field carbon stock measured**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Total field carbon stock measured</th>
<th>Reporting question: What is the total amount of carbon sequestered based on repeat measurements in this field?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field calculated from repeat soil sampling in this field. (Results for initial field soil samples should be reported in the ‘Soil sample result’ and ‘Measurement type” columns.) Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type:</strong> Decimal</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement unit:</strong> Metric tons CO₂eq</td>
<td>Allowed values: 0-10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic:</strong> None – all respond</td>
<td>Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock measurements in this field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection level:</strong> Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Total CH4 reduction calculated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total CH4 reduction calculated</td>
<td>What are the total measured CH4 emission reductions?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:** Total annual methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of CH4 = 25 tons of CO2eq.

**Data type:** Decimal

**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO2eq

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Data collection level:** Field

**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Total N2O reduction calculated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total N2O reduction calculated</td>
<td>What are the total measured N2O emission reductions?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:** Total annual nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of N2O = 298 tons of CO2eq.

**Data type:** Decimal

**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO2eq

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Data collection level:** Field

**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Soil sample result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil sample result</td>
<td>What is the numeric result from this soil sample?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:** Results of measurement(s) taken to determine the carbon stock of a soil (the tons of carbon found in a specified volume of soil).

**Data type:** Decimal

**Measurement unit:** Amount

**Logic:** None – all respond

**Data collection level:** Field

**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### Soil sample result unit

**Data element name:** Soil sample result unit  
**Reporting question:** What is unit for the soil sample result?  
**Description:** Unit for the corresponding soil sample result. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Percent  
- Ppm  
- Grams  
- Grams per cubic centimeter  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Measurement type

**Data element name:** Measurement type  
**Reporting question:** What type of analysis was conducted for this soil sample?  
**Description:** Type of soil analysis conducted. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Organic matter  
- Total organic carbon  
- Bulk density  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** None – all respond  
**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### Additional Environmental Benefits

#### Unique IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Data collection level</th>
<th>Data collection frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm ID</td>
<td>Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract ID</td>
<td>Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field ID</td>
<td>Unique Field ID assigned by FSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or territory of field</td>
<td>State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of field</td>
<td>County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Environmental benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Data collection level</th>
<th>Data collection frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental benefits</td>
<td>Are environmental benefits other than GHGs being tracked in the field?</td>
<td>Yes, No, I don’t know</td>
<td>None — all respond</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reduction in nitrogen loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Data collection level</th>
<th>Data collection frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in nitrogen loss</td>
<td>Are reductions in nitrogen losses being tracked in the field?</td>
<td>Yes, No, I don’t know</td>
<td>Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reduction in nitrogen loss amount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>Reporting question</th>
<th>Allowed values</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Data collection level</th>
<th>Data collection frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in nitrogen loss amount</td>
<td>How much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field?</td>
<td>0-1,000,000</td>
<td>Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in nitrogen loss’</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit

**Data element name:** Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for how much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field?  
**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Kilograms  
- Metric tons  
- Pounds  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in nitrogen loss’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose

**Data element name:** Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing insects  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in nitrogen loss’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Project  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Reduction in phosphorus loss

**Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss  
**Reporting question:** Are reductions in phosphorus losses being tracked in the field?  
**Description:** Tracking of reductions in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Reduction in phosphorus loss amount

**Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss amount  
**Reporting question:** How much reduction in phosphorus losses have been measured in the field?  
**Description:** Total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Amount  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in phosphorus loss’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit

**Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the reduction in phosphorus losses measured in the field?  
**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Kilograms  
- Metric tons  
- Pounds  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in phosphorus loss’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose

**Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reductions in phosphorus losses?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduction in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing insets  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in phosphorus loss’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Other water quality

**Data element name:** Other water quality  
**Reporting question:** Are other water quality metrics being tracked in the field?  
**Description:** Project tracking of other water quality metrics in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.  
**Data type:** List  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### Other water quality type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Other water quality type</th>
<th>Reporting question: What type of other water quality metric have been measured in the field?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Type of other water quality metric (besides nitrogen loss and phosphorus loss reductions) that is measured in the field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: List</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: Category</td>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sediment load reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other (specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’</td>
<td>Required: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other water quality amount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Other water quality amount</th>
<th>Reporting question: How much reduction in other water quality amount metrics have been measured in the field?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: Decimal</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: Amount</td>
<td>Allowed values: 0-1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’</td>
<td>Required: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other water quality amount unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name: Other water quality amount unit</th>
<th>Reporting question: What is the unit for the reduction in other water quality amount metrics measured in the field?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Unit for the total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type: List</td>
<td>Select multiple values: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit: Category</td>
<td>Allowed values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Degrees F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Kilograms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Kilograms per liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other (specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’</td>
<td>Required: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection level: Field</td>
<td>Data collection frequency: Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Other water quality purpose

**Data element name:** Other water quality purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking other water quality benefits?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking other water quality benefits in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing offsets  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Water quantity

**Data element name:** Water quantity  
**Reporting question:** Is water conservation being tracked in the field?  
**Description:** Tracking of water conservation or reduction in use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Water quantity amount

**Data element name:** Water quantity amount  
**Reporting question:** How much water conservation has been measured in the field?  
**Description:** Total amount of water conservation or reduction that is measured in the field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Amount  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Water quantity’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Water quantity amount unit

**Data element name:** Water quantity amount unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of water conservation measured in the field?  
**Description:** Unit for the total amount of water conservation or reduced use that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Acre-feet  
- Cubic feet  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Water quantity’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### Water quantity purpose

**Data element name:** Water quantity purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking water conservation?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking water conservation or reductions in water use in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Water quantity’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing insets  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)

### Reduced erosion

**Data element name:** Reduced erosion  
**Reporting question:** Is reduced soil erosion being tracked in the field?  
**Description:** Tracking of reduced soil erosion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know

### Reduced erosion amount

**Data element name:** Reduced erosion amount  
**Reporting question:** How much erosion reduction has been measured in the field?  
**Description:** Total amount of erosion reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Amount  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduced erosion’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

**Allowed values:**  
- 0-1,000,000

### Reduced erosion amount unit

**Data element name:** Reduced erosion unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of erosion reduction measured?  
**Description:** Unit for the total amount of erosion reduction from enrolled fields that is measured and reported by the project. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduced erosion’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

**Allowed values:**  
- Tons  
- Other (specify)
### Reduced erosion purpose

**Data element name:** Reduced erosion purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduced erosion in the field?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduced erosion the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing insets  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduced erosion’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Reduced energy use

**Data element name:** Reduced energy use  
**Reporting question:** Is reduced energy use being tracked in the field?  
**Description:** Tracking of reduced energy use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Reduced energy use amount

**Data element name:** Reduced energy use amount  
**Reporting question:** How much energy use reduction has been measured in the field?  
**Description:** Total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Amount  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduced energy use’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Reduced energy use amount unit

**Data element name:** Reduced energy use unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the energy use reduction measured in the field?  
**Description:** Unit for the total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Kilowatt hours  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduced energy use’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### Reduced energy use purpose

**Data element name:** Reduced energy use purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the field?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing insets  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Reduced energy use’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Avoided land conversion

**Data element name:** Avoided land conversion  
**Reporting question:** Is avoided land conversion being tracked in the field?  
**Description:** Tracking of avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. Land conservation means land use changing from agricultural uses to non-agricultural uses.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Avoided land conversion amount

**Data element name:** Avoided land conversion amount  
**Reporting question:** How much avoided land conversion has been measured in the field?  
**Description:** Total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Amount  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- 0-1,000,000  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Avoided land conversion’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Avoided land conversion amount unit

**Data element name:** Avoided land conversion unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of avoided land conversion measured in the field?  
**Description:** Unit for the total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Acres  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Avoided land conversion’  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
### Avoided land conversion purpose

**Data element name:** Avoided land conversion purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the field?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing inets  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Avoided land conversion’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Improved wildlife habitat

**Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat  
**Reporting question:** Are improvements to wildlife habitat being tracked in the field?  
**Description:** Tracking of improvements to wildlife in and around the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Yes  
- No  
- I don’t know  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Improved wildlife habitat amount

**Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat amount  
**Reporting question:** How much improved wildlife habitat has been measured in the field?  
**Description:** Total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around the enrolled fields.  
**Data type:** Decimal  
**Measurement unit:** Amount  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Improved wildlife habitat’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual

### Improved wildlife habitat amount unit

**Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat unit  
**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of improved wildlife habitat measured in the field?  
**Description:** Unit for the total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around enrolled fields. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Acres  
- Linear feet  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Improved wildlife habitat’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Required:** Yes  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual
## Improved wildlife habitat purpose

**Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat purpose  
**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the field?  
**Description:** Purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.  
**Data type:** List  
**Measurement unit:** Category  
**Select multiple values:** No  
**Allowed values:**  
- Commodity marketing  
- Producing insets  
- Producing offsets  
- I don’t know  
- Other (specify)  
**Logic:** Respond if yes to ‘Improved wildlife habitat’  
**Data collection level:** Field  
**Data collection frequency:** Annual  
**Required:** Yes
CSAF Practice Sub-questions
For some CSAF practices, there is an additional set of questions that are unique to each practice. Responses to these questions are needed to verify estimated GHG benefits of these practices. If a field is implementing a CSAF practice with an NRCS CPS code in Table 11, answer the follow-up questions listed next to the relevant practice name in the table. Use the Supplemental Reporting Workbook – CSAF Practice Sub-questions to report the required information.

Table 11. Follow-on questions for select CSAF practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice name and code</th>
<th>Follow-up question</th>
<th>Options (select one)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Alley Cropping (CPS 311) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Coniferous trees  
Deciduous trees  
Shrubs |
| | Species density (number of trees planted per acre) | 1-10,000 |
| | Waste storage system prior to installing anaerobic digester | Aerobic lagoon  
Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation  
Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation  
Anaerobic lagoon  
Composting  
Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring)  
Covered lagoon with energy generation  
Covered lagoon with flaring  
Daily spread  
Deep bedding pack  
Deep pit  
Dry lot  
Dry stacking/solid storage  
Pasture/range/paddock  
Poultry with bedding  
Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise)  
Slurry tank/basin |
| Anaerobic Digester (CPS 366) | Digester type | Covered lagoon with energy generation  
Covered lagoon with flaring  
Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring)  
Complex mix with energy generation  
Plug flow with energy generation  
Other (specify) |
| | Additional feedstock source (select most common if using more than one) | Food waste  
Straw or bedding  
Wastewater  
Other (specify) |
### Fuel type before installation
- Coal
- Diesel
- Electricity
- Gasoline
- Kerosene
- Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
- Natural gas
- Propane
- Wood
- Other (specify)

### Fuel amount before installation
0-1,000,000

### Fuel amount unit before installation
- Cubic feet (natural gas)
- Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene)
- Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
- Pounds (wood, coal)
- Other (specify)

### Combustion System Improvement (CPS 372)

### Fuel type after installation
- Coal
- Diesel
- Electricity
- Gasoline
- Kerosene
- Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
- Natural gas
- Propane
- Wood
- Other (specify)

### Fuel amount after installation
0-1,000,000

### Fuel amount unit after installation
- Cubic feet (natural gas)
- Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene)
- Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
- Pounds (wood, coal)
- Other (specify)

### Conservation Cover (CPS 327)

### Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)
- Brassicas
- Grasses
- Legumes
- Non-legume broadleaves
- Shrubs
## Conservation Crop Rotation (CPS 328)

| Conservation crop type          | Brassica  
|---------------------------------|----------- 
|                                 | Broadleaf 
|                                 | Cool season 
|                                 | Grass     
|                                 | Legume    
|                                 | Warm season |

| Change implemented             | Added perennial crop |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------
|                                 | Reduced fallow period |
|                                 | Both                  |

| Conservation crop rotation tillage type | Conventional (plow, chisel, disk) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------
|                                       | No-till, direct seed              
|                                       | Reduced till                      
|                                       | Strip till                        
|                                       | None                              
|                                       | Other (specify)                   |

| Total conservation crop rotation length in days | 1-120 |

## Contour Buffer Strips (CPS 332)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strip width (feet)</th>
<th>1-100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Species category | Grasses  
|------------------|--------- 
|                  | Forbs   
|                  | Mix     |

## Cover Crop (CPS 340)

| Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Brassicas  
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------- 
|                                                                           | Forbs     
|                                                                           | Grasses   
|                                                                           | Legume    
|                                                                           | Non-legume broadleaves |

| Cover crop planned management | Grazing  
|-------------------------------|--------- 
|                               | Haying  
|                               | Termination |

| Cover crop termination method | Burning  
|-------------------------------|--------- 
|                               | Herbicide application |
|                               | Incorporation          |
|                               | Mowing                
|                               | Rolling/crimping       |
|                               | Winter kill/frost      |

## Critical Area Planting (CPS 342)

| Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Grass  
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------- 
|                                                                           | Grass legume/forb mix |
|                                                                           | Herbaceous woody mix   
|                                                                           | Perennial or reseeding |
|                                                                           | Shrubs                
|                                                                           | Trees                |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crude protein (percent)</th>
<th>0-100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fat (percent)</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Feed Management (CPS 592)

| Feed additives/supplements | Chemical  
|-----------------------------|----------- 
|                             | Edible oils/fats   
|                             | Seaweed/kelp       
|                             | Other (specify)    |

## Field Border (CPS 386)

| Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Forbs  
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------- 
|                                                                           | Grasses |
|                                                                           | Mix     
<p>|                                                                           | Shrubs  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Strip width (feet)</strong></th>
<th><strong>20-1,000</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter Strip (CPS 393)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrubs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-story cropping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pasture/grazing land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Row crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other agroforestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forest Farming (CPS 379)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Land use in previous year</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain or improve forest carbon stocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain or improve forest health and productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain or improve forest structure and composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain or improve wildlife, fish, and pollinator habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manage natural precipitation more efficiently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce forest pest pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce forest wildfire hazard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forest Stand Improvement (CPS 666)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Purpose for implementation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grassed Waterway (CPS 412)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flowering Plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hedgerow Planting (CPS 422)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrubs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species density (number of trees planted per acre) 1-10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Herbaceous Wind Barriers (CPS 603)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrubs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barrier width (feet) 1-1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of rows 1-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mulching (CPS 484)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mulch type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mulch cover (percent of field) 0-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Nutrient Type with CPS 590
- Biosolids
- Commercial fertilizers
- Compost
- EEF (nitrification inhibitor)
- EEF (slow or controlled release)
- EEF (urease inhibitor)
- Green manure
- Liquid animal manure
- Organic by-products
- Organic residues or materials
- Solid/semi-solid animal manure
- Wastewater

### Nutrient Application Method with CPS 590
- Banded
- Broadcast
- Injection
- Irrigation
- Surface application
- Surface application with tillage
- Variable rate

### Nutrient Application Method in the Previous Year
- Banded
- Broadcast
- Injection
- Irrigation
- Surface application
- Surface application with tillage
- Variable rate

### Nutrient Application Timing with CPS 590
- Single pre-planting
- Single post-planting
- Split pre- and post-planting
- Split post-planting

### Nutrient Application Timing in the Previous Year
- Single pre-planting
- Single post-planting
- Split pre- and post-planting
- Split post-planting

### Nutrient Application Rate with CPS 590
- 0-20,000

### Nutrient Application Rate Unit with CPS 590
- Gallons per acre
- Pounds per acre

### Nutrient Application Rate Change
- Decrease compared to previous year
- Increase compared to previous year
- No change

### Pasture and Hay Planting (CPS 512)
#### Species Category
- Cool-season broadleaf
- Cool-season grass
- Warm-season broadleaf
- Warm-season grass

#### Termination Process
- Grazing
- Haying (i.e., cutting and baling)
- Other (specify)

### Prescribed Grazing (CPS 528)
#### Grazing Type
- Cell grazing
- Deferred rotational
- Management intensive
- Rest-rotation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range Planting (CPS 550)</td>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue and Tillage Management – No-till (CPS 329)</td>
<td>Surface disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue and Tillage Management – Reduced Till (CPS 345)</td>
<td>Surface disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Forest Buffer (CPS 391)</td>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Herbaceous Cover (CPS 390)</td>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofs and Covers (CPS 367)</td>
<td>Roof/cover type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvopasture (CPS 381)</td>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripcropping (CPS 585)</td>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree/Shrub Establishment (CPS 612)</td>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative Barrier (CPS 601)</td>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Separation Facility (CPS 632)</td>
<td>Separation type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most common use of solids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Storage Facility (CPS 313)</td>
<td>Waste storage system prior to installing your waste storage facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Treatment (CPS 629)</td>
<td>Treatment type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Treatment Lagoon (CPS 359)</td>
<td>Waste storage system prior to installing waste treatment lagoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a lagoon cover/crust?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there lagoon aeration?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)</td>
<td>Coniferous trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species density (number of trees planted per acre)</td>
<td>1-10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices

All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Number</th>
<th>Practice Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>Agrichemical Handling Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>Alley Cropping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>Waste Storage Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td>Brush Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>Herbaceous Weed Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316</td>
<td>Animal Mortality Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Composting Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and By-Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>Irrigation Canal or Lateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>Deep Tillage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>High Tunnel System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>Clearing and Snagging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327</td>
<td>Conservation Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>Conservation Crop Rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>Residue and Tillage Management, No Till</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>Contour Farming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>Contour Buffer Strips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>Controlled Traffic Farming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>Soil Carbon Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>Prescribed Burning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>Cover Crop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>Critical Area Planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>Dam, Diversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>Sediment Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351</td>
<td>Well Decommissioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>Monitoring Well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>Groundwater Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>Dike and Levee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>Waste Treatment Lagoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>Waste Facility Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>Anaerobic Digester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>Roofs and Covers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>Emergency Animal Mortality Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371</td>
<td>Air Filtration and Scrubbing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>Combustion System Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>Dust Management for Pen Surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>Field Operations Emissions Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378</td>
<td>Pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>Forest Farming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>Silvopasture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382</td>
<td>Fence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383</td>
<td>Fuel Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>Woody Residue Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>Field Border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>Irrigation Field Ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>Riparian Herbaceous Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>Riparian Forest Buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393</td>
<td>Filter Strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>Firebreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
<td>Stream Habitat Improvement and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396</td>
<td>Aquatic Organism Passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397</td>
<td>Aquaculture Pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398</td>
<td>Fish Raceway or Tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>Fishpond Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Grade Stabilization Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>Grassed Waterway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>Wildlife Habitat Planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>Hedgerow Planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>Hillside Ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>Irrigation Ditch Lining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428A</td>
<td>Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Plain Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428B</td>
<td>Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Flexible Membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428C</td>
<td>Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Galvanized Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>Irrigation Pipeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td>Dry Hydrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>Irrigation Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>Irrigation System, Microirrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442</td>
<td>Sprinkler System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443</td>
<td>Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447</td>
<td>Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449</td>
<td>Irrigation Water Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453</td>
<td>Land Reclamation, Landslide Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>Land Reclamation, Toxic Discharge Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>457</td>
<td>Mine Shaft and Adit Closing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>Land Clearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462</td>
<td>Precision Land Forming and Smoothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464</td>
<td>Irrigation Land Leveling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466</td>
<td>Land Smoothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468</td>
<td>Lined Waterway or Outlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472</td>
<td>Access Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>484</td>
<td>Mulching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490</td>
<td>Tree/Shrub Site Preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>Obstruction Removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>Forage Harvest Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>Pasture and Hay Planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>Livestock Pipeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521A</td>
<td>Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521B</td>
<td>Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521C</td>
<td>Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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521D, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment
522, Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete
527, Sinkhole Treatment
528, Prescribed Grazing
533, Pumping Plant
543, Land Reclamation, Abandoned Mined Land
544, Land Reclamation, Currently Mined Land
548, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment
550, Range Planting
554, Drainage Water Management
555, Rock Wall Terrace
557, Row Arrangement
558, Roof Runoff Structure
560, Access Road
561, Heavy Use Area Protection
562, Recreation Area Improvement
566, Recreation Land Improvement and Protection
570, Stormwater Runoff Control
572, Spill Disposal
574, Spring Development
575, Trails and Walkways
576, Livestock Shelter Structure
578, Stream Crossing
580, Streambank and Shoreline Protection
582, Open Channel
584, Channel Bed Stabilization
585, Stripcropsing
587, Structure for Water Control
588, Crosswind Ridges
589, Cross Wind Trap Strips
590, Nutrient Management
591, Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste
592, Feed Management
595, Pest Management Conservation System
600, Terrace
601, Vegetative Barrier
602, Equitable Relief
603, Herbaceous Wind Barriers
604, Saturated Buffer
605, Denitrifying Bioreactor
606, Subsurface Drain
607, Surface Drain, Field Ditch
608, Surface Drain, Main or Lateral
609, Surface Roughening
610, Salinity and Sodic Soil Management
612, Tree/Shrub Establishment
614, Watering Facility
620, Underground Outlet
629, Waste Treatment
630, Vertical Drain
632, Waste Separation Facility
633, Waste Recycling
634, Waste Transfer
635, Vegetated Treatment Area
636, Water Harvesting Catchment
638, Water and Sediment Control Basin
640, Waterspreading
642, Water Well
643, Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
644, Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
645, Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
646, Shallow Water Development and Management
647, Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
649, Structures for Wildlife
650, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation
654, Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment
655, Forest Trails and Landings
656, Constructed Wetland
657, Wetland Restoration
658, Wetland Creation
659, Wetland Enhancement
660, Tree-Shrub Pruning
666, Forest Stand Improvement
670, Energy Efficient Lighting System
672, Energy Efficient Building Envelope
736, Crop By-Product Transfer, interim
724, Water Treatment Facility, interim
735, Waste Gasification Facility, interim
737, Reduced Water and Energy Coffee Conveyance System, interim
740, Pond Sealing and Lining, Soil Cement, interim
751, Individual Terrace, interim
753, Infiltration Ditch, interim
755, Well Plugging, interim
770, Livestock Confinement Facility, Interim
775, Drainage Ditch Covering, interim
782, Phosphorus Removal System, interim
800, Controlling Existing Flowing Wells, interim
803, Water Well Disinfection, interim
805, Amending Soil Properties with Lime, interim
808, Soil Carbon Amendment, interim
809, Conservation Harvest Management, interim
810, Annual Forages for Grazing Systems, interim
812, Raised Beds, interim
815, Groundwater Recharge Basin or Trench, interim
817, On-Farm Recharge, interim
818, Water Conservation System, interim
821, Low Tunnel Systems, interim
823, Organic Management, interim
Other CSAF Practices
- Traditional or cultural practices
- Microbial products
- Solar power generation
- Grain bin construction
- Pre-season drainage
# Appendix B: Commodity List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CROPS</th>
<th>CINNAMON</th>
<th>HYBRID POPLAR TREES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALFALFA</td>
<td>CLOVER</td>
<td>IDLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALMONDS</td>
<td>COCONUTS</td>
<td>INDIGO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMARANTH GRAIN</td>
<td>COFFEE</td>
<td>ISRAEL MELONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLES</td>
<td>CORN</td>
<td>JACK FRUIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRICOTS</td>
<td>COTTON ELS</td>
<td>JERUSALEM ARTICHOKES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARONIA (CHOBEBERRY)</td>
<td>COTTON UPLAND</td>
<td>JICAMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTICHOKE</td>
<td>CRANBERRIES</td>
<td>JOJOBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPARAGUS</td>
<td>CRENSHAW MELON</td>
<td>JUJUBE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATEMOYA</td>
<td>CRUSTACEAN</td>
<td>JUNEBERRIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVOCADOS</td>
<td>CUCUMBERS</td>
<td>KENAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAMBOO SHOOTS</td>
<td>CURRANTS</td>
<td>KHIRASAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANANAS</td>
<td>DASHEEN</td>
<td>KIWIBERRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARLEY</td>
<td>DATES</td>
<td>KIWIFRUIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEANS</td>
<td>DURIAN</td>
<td>KOCHIA (PROSTRATA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEETS</td>
<td>EGGPLANT</td>
<td>KOHLRABI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRDSFOOT/TREFOIL</td>
<td>EINKORN</td>
<td>KOREAN GOLDEN MELON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLUEBERRIES</td>
<td>ELDERBERRIES</td>
<td>KUMQUATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREADFRUIT</td>
<td>EMMER</td>
<td>LAMBS EAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROCCOFLOWER</td>
<td>FIGS</td>
<td>LEEKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROCCOLI</td>
<td>FINFISH</td>
<td>LEMONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROCCOLINI</td>
<td>FLAX</td>
<td>LENTILS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRUSSEL SPROUTS</td>
<td>FLOWERS</td>
<td>LESPEDEZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUCKWHEAT</td>
<td>FORAGE SOYBEAN/SORGHUM</td>
<td>LETTUCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABBAGE</td>
<td>GAILON</td>
<td>LIMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACAO</td>
<td>GARLIC</td>
<td>LONGAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACTUS</td>
<td>GENIP</td>
<td>LOQUATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAIMITO</td>
<td>GINGER</td>
<td>LYCHEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALABAZA MELON</td>
<td>GINSENG</td>
<td>MANGOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALALOO</td>
<td>GOOSEBERRIES</td>
<td>MANGOSTEEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMELINA</td>
<td>GOURDS</td>
<td>MAPLE SAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANARY MELON</td>
<td>GRAPEFRUIT</td>
<td>MAYHAW BERRIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANARY SEED</td>
<td>GRAPES</td>
<td>MEADOWFOAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANEBERRIES</td>
<td>GRASS</td>
<td>MILKWEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANISTEL</td>
<td>GREENS</td>
<td>MILLET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANOLA</td>
<td>GROUND CHERRY</td>
<td>MIXED FORAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANTALOUPESE</td>
<td>GUAMABANA/SOURSORP</td>
<td>MOHAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARAMBOLA (STAR FRUIT)</td>
<td>GUAR</td>
<td>MOLLUSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARROTS</td>
<td>GUAVA</td>
<td>MORINGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASHEW</td>
<td>GUAVABERRY</td>
<td>MULBERRIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASSAVA</td>
<td>GUAYULE</td>
<td>MUSHROOMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAULIFLOWER</td>
<td>HAZEL NUTS</td>
<td>MUSTARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CELERIAC</td>
<td>HEMP</td>
<td>NECTARINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CELERY</td>
<td>HERBS</td>
<td>NIGER SEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHERIMOYA</td>
<td>HESPERALOE</td>
<td>NONI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHERRIES</td>
<td>HONEY</td>
<td>OATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHESTNUTS</td>
<td>HONEYBERRIES</td>
<td>OKRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICORY/RADICCHIO</td>
<td>HONEYDEW</td>
<td>OLIVES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINESE BITTER MELON</td>
<td>HOPS</td>
<td>ONIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTMAS TREES</td>
<td>HORSERADISH</td>
<td>ORANGES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUFA</td>
<td>HUCKLEBERRIES</td>
<td>PAPAYA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARSNIP</td>
<td>STRAWBERRIES</td>
<td>LIVESTOCK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASSION FRUITS</td>
<td>SUGAR BEETS</td>
<td>ALPACAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAWPAW</td>
<td>SUGARCANE</td>
<td>BEEF COWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEACHES</td>
<td>SUNFLOWERS</td>
<td>BEEFALO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEANUTS</td>
<td>SUNN HEMP</td>
<td>BUFFALO OR BISON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEARs</td>
<td>TANGELOS</td>
<td>CHICKENS (BROILERS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEAS</td>
<td>TANGERINES</td>
<td>CHICKENS (LAYERS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PECANS</td>
<td>TANGORS</td>
<td>DAIRY COWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENNYCRESS</td>
<td>TANGOS</td>
<td>DEER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPPERS</td>
<td>TANNIER</td>
<td>DUCKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERENNIAL PEANUTS</td>
<td>TARO</td>
<td>ELK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERIQUE TOBACCO</td>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>EMUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSIMMONS</td>
<td>TEFF</td>
<td>EQUINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINE NUTS</td>
<td>TI</td>
<td>GEESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINEAPPLE</td>
<td>TOBACCO CIGAR WRAPPER</td>
<td>GOATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PISTACHIOS</td>
<td>TOBACCO BURLEY</td>
<td>HONEYBEES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITAYA/DRAGONFRUIT</td>
<td>TOBACCO BURLEY 31V</td>
<td>LLAMAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANTAIN</td>
<td>TOBACCO CIGAR BINDER</td>
<td>REINDEER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUMCOTS</td>
<td>TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER</td>
<td>SHEEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUMS</td>
<td>TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER BINDER</td>
<td>SWINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMEGRANATES</td>
<td>TOBACCO DARK AIR CURED</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POTATOES</td>
<td>TOBACCO FIRE CURED</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POTATOES SWEET</td>
<td>TOBACCO FLUE CURED</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRUNES</td>
<td>TOBACCO MARYLAND</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYLLIUM</td>
<td>TOBACCO VIRGINIA FIRE CURED</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUMMELO</td>
<td>TOMATILLOS</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUMPKINS</td>
<td>TOMATOES</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUINCES</td>
<td>TREES TIMBER</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUINOA</td>
<td>Triticale</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADISHES</td>
<td>TRUFFLES</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAISINS</td>
<td>TURNIPS</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAMBUTAN</td>
<td>VETCH</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPESEED</td>
<td>WALNUTS</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHUBARB</td>
<td>WAMPEE</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE</td>
<td>WASABI</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE SWEET</td>
<td>WATERMELON</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE WILD</td>
<td>WAX JABOBO FRUIT</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUTABAGA</td>
<td>WHEAT</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYE</td>
<td>WILLOW SHRUB</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFFLOWER</td>
<td>WINTER MELON</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPODILLA</td>
<td>WOLFBERRY/GOJI</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPOTE</td>
<td>YAM</td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCALLIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SESAME</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHALLOTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SORGHUM</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SORGHUM DUAL PURPOSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SORGHUM FORAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOYBEANS</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPELT</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQUASH</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR GOOSEBERRY</td>
<td></td>
<td>TURKEYS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities
Additional Specific Terms and Conditions
February 2023

I. Overarching Statement

The following award terms and conditions are applicable to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities agreements and are in addition to the USDA FPAC General Terms and Conditions. The award recipient must abide by all terms of this grant including, but not limited to, the General Terms and Conditions, the terms in the Funding Opportunity and associated Frequently Asked Questions, and this addendum. The recipient must also deliver on the planned objectives in the project narrative and budget narrative associated with this grant.

II. Eligibility and Highly Erodible Lands and Wetlands Compliance

In order to be eligible for an incentive payment as a part of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities, a producer must:

- Establish Farm Records with the Farm Service Agency (FSA) (have farm, tract, and field numbers in place);
- Complete an AD-2047 (Customer Data Worksheet to facilitate the collection of customer data for Business Partner Record);
- Certify highly erodible land conservation (HELC) and wetland conservation (WC) compliance via Form AD-1026, Highly Erodible Land Conservation (HELC) and Wetland Conservation (WC) Certification; and
- Certify that they are not a foreign person or entity.

Farm, tract, and field numbers are required for the producer, and ultimately the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipient, to report climate-smart practice implementation to USDA, as well as to certify and maintain HELC/WC compliance. This will require that some producers who do not already have these numbers, like perennial crop growers or feedlots, establish these records with USDA’s FSA. Farm, tract, field numbers, producer name, and Core Customer I.D. (CCID) will be provided by the recipient to the National Program Officer as a part of routine grant reporting. Recipients must ensure that producers receiving financial assistance or incentives through this project use the same name as is included in the relevant FSA Business File for that Farm ID in any contracts or similar documentation kept by the recipient.

Producers are not bound by the payment limitations and the adjusted gross income (AGI) limitations that are in place for other USDA programs.

In order to demonstrate HELC/WC compliance for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities incentive payments, producers will need to request a copy of their subsidiary print from their
USDA FSA field office. The Subsidiary Print includes print year specific eligibility related information about a selected producer. The producer will then provide this documentation to the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipients as proof of compliance. A current year subsidiary print will be required for each crop year that the producer receives a payment, and HELC/WC eligibility information is provided under the AD-1026 and Conservation Compliance sections of subsidiary (determined by year, which can change at any time during the year or in a subsequent year). As is the case already, field offices will not be expected to provide documentation to anyone besides the producer themselves (and must always comply with Section 1619 limitations if they ever do provide documentation to third parties). Producers must have control of the land for the term of their beneficiary contract.

Recipients are responsible for determining producer eligibility within the funding opportunity requirements. Recipients must inform producers of eligibility requirements and direct them to local USDA offices for requested information as necessary, including but not limited to, farm and tract establishment and Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Compliance determinations. Privacy of producers is a priority throughout this process, and recipients are responsible for maintaining producer privacy in the process.

At minimum, the recipient will collect and review subsidiary reports from participating producers. They will ensure that the producer is listed as “compliant” in all sections of the conservation compliance portion of subsidiary and “certified” for AD-1026 before an incentive payment is made. If payments to a producer span more than one Federal fiscal year, the recipient will review an updated subsidiary print each fiscal year to ensure that the status is still compliant.

III. Other Environmental and Cultural Resources Reviews

A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was signed by USDA NRCS on August 26, 2022. A copy of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities is available at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities. USDA may determine that additional environmental and cultural resources review is needed for any particular action under Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities. The recipient must not execute any beneficiary contracts under this grant agreement prior to receipt of a letter from USDA that specifically details:

1) further procedures deemed appropriate by the Agency to ensure a completed National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review and all appropriate consultation requirements are met, and

2) additional instructions for any unanticipated discoveries or conditions.

A resolution of support is required for projects on Tribal lands from the governing body of the Tribe with jurisdiction over that land, if the applicant is not the Tribe nor an entity owned or
operated by that Tribe. USDA may approve alternative documentation for resolutions when USDA deems necessary and legally sufficient.

IV. Producer Benefits

USDA encourages the recipient to disclose to participating producers the manner and amount for which any market premiums derived from the development of the relevant climate-smart commodity will be shared between participating parties, including producers. USDA will be monitoring producer benefits, in particular those to small and underserved producers, throughout the grant period. Recipients agree that their project(s) will implement a plan for engaging small and underserved producers as laid out in this agreement.

V. Producer Data Protection and Disclosure

Recipients must ensure each producer has convenient access to any data collected from that producer or the producer’s land and any associated modeling as part of the project. The recipient must provide each producer applying for benefits under this grant a description in writing of how their information, including but not limited to data about their farm and commodities, will be utilized, protected and shared as applicable.

VI. Other Data and Reporting Requirements

In addition to the reporting information provided in the statement of work and General Terms and Conditions, USDA will provide a template for the Detailed Progress Report, also known as the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities (PSCS) Project Reporting Workbook. Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, a copy of this workbook will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer. USDA may provide updates to the PCSC Project Reporting Workbook or submission methods to streamline the data collection process and/or reduce the burden on the recipient throughout the grant period. Generally, these updates will be provided at least 3 months in advance of any required changes. The recipient must not transfer any data to foreign governments or foreign entities without prior approval from USDA.

USDA will provide a Technical Contact for this grant. The Technical Contact will have the responsibility of technical oversight for USDA for the project. The recipient is responsible for providing the technical assistance required to successfully implement and complete the project. The recipient must comply with any requests for information from the Technical Contact. The Technical Contact for this award is the National Program Officer assigned to this grant.

Prior to execution of this grant, the recipient must provide a shapefile depicting the project boundary for enrollment under this grant. Producer enrollment may not occur outside this boundary without modification of this grant.
Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, the recipient must provide to the National Program Officer a website address where enrollment information will be posted for producers for the project associated with this grant. Recipients will be responsible for the following reports:

- Submit quarterly performance reports that include a written progress report, as well as additional reporting on specific data elements contained in the most up-to-date version of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Project Reporting Workbook. Additional information about each reported element is described in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit supplemental reports required to validate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefit data, including: (1) an initial project MMRV plan, (2) field-modeled GHG benefit reports, and (3) field-direct GHG measurement results, as applicable. Additional information about these reports is included in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit copies of project outputs and deliverables (e.g., fact sheets, reports) as attachments in ezFedGrants along with quarterly performance reports.
- Report the version of COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits of the project within each quarterly performance report. As COMET-Planner is updated, recipients must adopt the latest version of the tool as directed by USDA for use in performance reports.

Recipients must designate an individual as a member of the USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Learning Network (Partnerships Network); this representative should be identified in the Project Narrative for this grant. Each project includes a plan for up to two Partnerships Network virtual meetings and two in-person meetings a year during the project duration. Dates and other details on events will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer.

The Partnerships Network will be co-chaired by representatives from the USDA Office of the Chief Economist and the Farm Production and Conservation Mission Area. The Partnerships Network will inform synthesis reports to be assembled by USDA on a range of topics related to the implementation of Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities projects, including:

- Lessons-learned as projects are implemented;
- Options for providing technical assistance;
- Procedures for measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verifying GHG benefits;
- Options for tracing climate-smart commodities through the supply chain;
- Mechanisms for reducing costs of implementation;
- A forum for discussion and learning regarding approaches to climate-smart agriculture and forestry implementation (including but not limited to deployment and
measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, tracking, and verification of associated greenhouse gas benefits and marketing of climate-smart commodities).

- Synthesis of outcomes; and
- Opportunities for USDA and others to inform future approaches to generating new and expanded markets for climate-smart commodities.

The Partnerships Network topics to be discussed will cover at minimum the areas described in previous FAQs and will evolve with USDA’s ongoing project data analysis efforts and with input from the project recipients on the kinds of sessions that will be most helpful to them in building the diverse climate-smart markets associated with their projects. Participation may include at least one interview a year and include questions related to the following areas:

- Technical assistance approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Producer outreach approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Monitoring, measurement, reporting, and verification (MMRV) approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Marketing approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Partnership approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Data collection and storage approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Supply chain approaches, methods and successes and/or challenges, including approaches to traceability
- Supply chain benefits and demand for climate-smart commodities
- Perspectives on program design, climate-smart commodity definitions, and future approaches or opportunities
- Project successes and stories

USDA may also request producer exit reports at a later date. Additional marketing and branding-related requirements may be provided by USDA, including signage related to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities.

VII. Competition and Anti-Competitive Practices

In connection with this grant, recipients may not prohibit or otherwise limit a producer from changing the provider of other services or materials not included as part of this grant. Recipients may not condition, limit, steer, or discriminate in their provision or sale of non-project business functions or products to producers based on their participation or non-participation in or use of any services provided as part of this grant. Additionally, funds in this agreement shall not be used for purposes or activities related to mergers or acquisitions.
VIII. Suspension and Disbarment

The provisions governing Suspension and Disbarment in subsection 1.a.8 shall also apply to fraud, embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification, or destruction of records, making false statements, or violations of the Federal civil antitrust or unfair trade practice laws.

IX. Special provisions for awards to for-profit entities as recipients

This section contains provisions that apply to awards to for-profit entities. These provisions are in addition to other applicable provisions of these terms and conditions, or they make exceptions from other provisions of the terms and conditions for awards to for-profit entities. For-profit entities that receive awards have two options regarding audits:

1) A financial related audit of a particular award in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, in those cases where the for-profit entity receives awards under only one USDA program; or, if awards are received under multiple USDA programs, a financial related audit of all awards in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; or

2) An audit that meets the requirements contained in 2 CFR 200 subpart F.

For-profit entities that receive annual awards totaling less than the audit requirement threshold in 2 CFR 200 subpart F are exempt from USDA audit requirements for that year, but records must be available for review by appropriate officials of Federal agencies or the Government Accountability Office.

X. Non-Disparagement

Recipients may not engage in any advertising deemed by USDA as disparaging to another agricultural commodity or competing product, or in violation of the prohibition against false and misleading advertising. Disparagement is defined as anything that depicts other commodities in a negative or unpleasant light via overt or subjective video, photography, or statements. Comparative advertising is allowable, provided the presentation of facts is truthful, objective, not misleading, and supported by a reasonable basis.