

# Privacy Impact Assessment

for

**ePermits**

**Policy, E-Government and Fair Information Practices**

Version: 1.1

Date: May 18, 2020

Prepared for: USDA OCIO-Policy, E-Government and Fair Information Practices (PE&F)





## Contact Point

Brian Schwind

System Owner

USDA NRE Forest Service

801-975-3751

## Reviewing Official

Cynthia Towers

Privacy Officer

USDA NRE Forest Service

(816) 844-4000

## Abstract

ePermits is a permit application solution that will create an online option along with the current manual process of issuing natural resource and special use permits. It includes the following steps in the application of a permit; application, assessment, disposition (approval, rejection, or pending status), issue, and analysis capabilities.

A PIA is required for ePermits due to 508 compliance and other required minor updates.

## Overview

This ePermit system is part of the U.S. Forest Service effort to modernize and simplify their permitting processes. One facet of this effort is an online permitting application, or making the applications for many Forest Service permits available online. The system will present permit application instructions, and allow members of the public to submit application information online as well as receive permits directly from the system.

The system is primarily a JavaScript web application server that facilitates a client user- interface in browser. Another module exists to connect the server to the Special Uses Data System Application protocol interface.

### Transactions

Within the system, applicants will submit the information required by OMB approved forms for special use and forest products applications. For special use applications, these applications are then given an initial review by Forest Service employees. Upon their acceptance they will accept the information into the USDA Forest Service Special Use Data System within the FS Natural Resource Manager. Where payment is required for the permit fee, applicants will be directed to pay.gov.

The system is primarily a JavaScript web application server that facilitates a client user- interface in browser. Another module exists to connect the server to the Special Uses Data System Application protocol interface.

### Information Sharing

The following is a list of other systems that ePermits shares data with:

Cloud.gov (cloud hosting only)

Natural Resource Manager (NRM)

Pay.gov

---

## Section 1.0 Characterization of the Information

The following questions are intended to define the scope of the information requested and/or collected as well as reasons for its collection as part of the program, system, rule, or technology being developed.

### 1.1 Identification

What information is collected, used, disseminated, or maintained in the system?

Permit applicant contact information, business information pertaining to certain types of permit including guide documentation, operating plans, certificates of insurance, evidence of good standing, and an acknowledgement of risk form. Certain applications will require detailed route data of planned trips. This information is collected within ePermits and then transferred to other Forest Service systems, which serve as the systems of record for the permit process.

ePermits PII collected: Name, Address, Tax ID Number, Transaction ID/Permit Number, and Handwriting.

### 1.2 Source

What is the source(s) of the information in the system?

Permit application information is derived from existing Forest Service resources. Permit applicants supply applicant information including their personally identifiable information and business addresses. For certain types of permits they also submit guide documentation, operating plans, certificates of insurance, evidence of good standing, and an acknowledgement of risk form. These materials are currently being collected via email.

### 1.3 Justification

Why is the information being collected, used, disseminated, or maintained?

Generally, the information being collected is used to make a determination as to whether the Forest Service should issue a permit for special use or special forest product collection on the National Forest.

Trip route data and information may be used to generate maps and relevant spatial representations of the data.

Specifically, the information is collected, used, disseminated, or maintained for the following reasons:

Populate a permit with person/company name, etc.

Ensure the FS is getting enough information to make an informed decision on issuance of permits.

Check on the validity of a person/company that is looking to procure a permit.

Make a determination as to whether the Forest Service should issue a permit for special use or special forest product collection on the National Forest.

Bill person/company for product purchased

Manage limits on per person sales of permits

Enforce validity of forest permit purchase or permit removal

The information being collected is used to make a determination as to whether the Forest Service should issue a permit for special use or special forest product collection on the National Forest.

Trip route data and information may be used to generate maps and relevant spatial representations of the data.

## **1.4 Collection**

How is the information collected?

The information is collected in a web application interface after the member of the public is authenticated. In some cases, a Forest Service employee may enter in the permit application information on behalf of the applicant either by phone or in person.

## **1.5 Validation**

How will the information be checked for accuracy?

The system interface will conduct the first round of validation, by ensuring that the applications are complete, do not contain any special injections, and/or meet the requirements of the particular field information (i.e. is a valid phone number). Following submission by the applicant, a Forest Service Special Use Administrator will provide an initial review and notify the applicant if the information needs updating or review.

## **1.6 Authority**



What specific legal authorities, arrangements, and/or agreements defined the collection of information?

Organic Administration Act of June 4, 1897 (16 U.S.C. 477-482, 551). Permits and temporary permits.

Preservation of American Antiquities Act of June 8, 1906 (16 U.S.C. 431 et seq.). Permits and temporary permits.

Act of March 4, 1915, as amended July 28, 1956, (16 U.S.C. 497). Term permits.

Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended on November 16, 1973, (30 U.S.C. 185). Easements, permits, and temporary permits.

Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act of July 22, 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1010- 1012). Easements, permits, and temporary permits.

Alaska Term Permit Act of March 30, 1948 (48 U.S.C. 341). Term permits (Alaska only).

Section 7 of the Granger-Thye Act of April 24, 1950 (16 U.S.C. 580d). Term permits and permits. WO AMENDMENT 2700-2014-1 EFFECTIVE DATE: 04/17/2014 DURATION: This amendment is effective until superseded or removed. 2710 Page 6 of 109 FSM 2700 – SPECIAL USES MANAGEMENT CHAPTER 2710 – SPECIAL USE AUTHORIZATIONS

Act of September 3, 1954, (43 U.S.C. 931c-931d). Easements, permits, and term permits.

Wilderness Act of September 3, 1964, (16 U.S.C. 1121, 1131-1136). Permits and temporary permits.

Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of September 3, 1964, (16 U.S.C. 4601). Permits.

National Forest Roads and Trails Act of October 13, 1964, (16 U.S.C. 532-538). Easements.

Title V, Federal Lands Policy and Management Act of October 21, 1976, (43 U.S.C. 1761-1771). Easements, leases, and permits.

American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978, (42 U.S.C. 1996). Permits.

Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979, (16 U.S.C. 470). Permits.

Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act of 1980, (16 U.S.C. 3210). Easements and permits.

National Forest Ski Area Permit Act of 1986, (16 U.S.C. 497b). Permits.

Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act of December 8, 2004, (16 U.S.C. 6808(h)). Permits.>

## **1.7 Risk Mitigation**

Given the amount and type of data collected, discuss the privacy risks identified and how they were mitigated.

The system will be housed in a FedRamp Moderate authorized hosting environment. The data storage will be encrypted at Rest. Only authenticated users will be allowed to submit or edit information.

Unauthorized access is gained to the system or to the database content that stores ePermit PII data. Existing access controls prevent unauthorized modification of data, and in some instances, data is no longer available for modification based on process (it is locked). Roles are tested to ensure that they can only get to the data that they are intended to give access to.

PII data is inadvertently viewed on a user's screen. The data is encrypted in the database and the system uses least privilege principles to only allow authenticated applicants and privileged Forest Service Administrators to view the information.

When PII data is electronically transferred to non-ePermit systems such as eAuth might be accessible. Data is encrypted using both client certificate exchange, token based authentication, and TLS.

When PII is printed from ePermit, are there user procedures in place for handling the information sent to the printers. Data is required to be retrieved immediately for safe storage.

## Section 2.0 Uses of the Information

The following questions are intended to delineate clearly the use of information and the accuracy of the data being used.

### 2.1 Usage

Describe all the uses of information.

#### Specific Use

The system will be used to collect permit application information, make determinations of whether to issue a permit, in some cases issue permits, and maintain a record of who has permits on the Forest. It will be used to facilitate discussions about the permitting process. It will be also used to generate statistics of usage of special uses and forest products on the Forest.

#### Routine Use

Routine use refers to disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a (b) of the Privacy Act. Routine use disclosures are not mandatory. They are optional disclosures made at the discretion of the appropriate Privacy Act System Manager or designee. Nevertheless, FS must keep an accounting of all disclosures made pursuant to a routine use on a disclosure accounting record such as this PIA. Routine Uses are agreements for sharing personal information with:

The Department of Treasury or another Federal agency conducting financial assessment, collection and payments (such as Treasury offset for debt collection for TSRM permits)

The Department of Justice (including United States Attorney Offices) or another Federal agency conducting litigation or in proceedings.

To a congressional office in response to an individual's request.

To the National Archives and Records Administration or an authority of 44 U.S.C. §§ 2904 and 2906.

To contractors and their agents, grantees, experts, consultants, and others performing or working on a contract, service, grant, cooperative agreement, or other assignment for FS, when necessary to accomplish an agency function related to this system of records. Individuals provided information under this routine use are subject to the same Privacy Act requirements and limitations on disclosure as are applicable to FS officers and employees.



To the news media and the public, in consultation with counsel, when there exists a legitimate public interest in the disclosure of the information or when disclosure is necessary to preserve confidence in the integrity of FS or is necessary to demonstrate the accountability of FS's officers, employees, or individuals covered by the system, except to the extent it is determined that release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

To appropriate agencies, entities, and persons when: FS suspects or has confirmed that the security or confidentiality of information in the system of records has been compromised; The Department has determined that as a result of the suspected or confirmed compromise there is a risk of harm to economic or property interests, identity theft or fraud, or harm to the security or integrity of this system or other systems or programs (whether maintained by the Department or another agency or entity) or harm to the individual that rely upon the compromised information; and the disclosure made to such agencies, entities, and persons is reasonably necessary to assist in connection with the Department's efforts to respond to the suspected or confirmed compromise and prevent, minimize, or remedy such harm,

## **2.2 Analysis and Production**

What types of tools are used to analyze data and what type of data may be produced?

The data will be reviewed manually by individuals. Aggregated data will be primarily about the quantity of permits for a particular forests and not about the individual applicants. Forest Service employees produce maps from the route information provided by the applicants.

Trip route data and information may be used to generate maps and relevant spatial representations of the data.

## **2.3 Commercial/Public Use**

If the system uses commercial or publicly available data, please explain why and how it is used

The permit fee information will be used to generate fee estimates.

## **2.4 Risk Mitigation**

Describe any types of controls that may be in place to ensure that information is handled in accordance with the above described uses.

Only specifically designated Forest Service employees and contract staff will have access to review the information in the system.

The following are used to protect PII data stored and transmitted by ePermits:

Use of encryption at rest for the Amazon Web Services Relational Database (accessed through cloud.gov).

The ePermit system is audited for access cloud.gov USDA Forest Service organization to the application level to ensure only approved users have access.

Access to the system and data are determined by business need and individual roles. Access to the application's PII is recertified and audited on a quarterly basis.

FS personnel who have access to ePermits applications are authenticated (proof that the person is who they say they are) using the USDA eAuthentication system (Level 2) prior to access to the application. There is a secondary authentication of the user when they log into their FS corporate computer.

Members of the public must be authenticated using login.gov Level of Assurance 1 to view applications which they have submitted.

## Section 3.0 Retention

The following questions are intended to outline how long information will be retained after the initial collection.

### 3.1 Time Period

How long is information retained?

ePermits retains records for a minimum of 30 days online and a minimum of three (3) years offline not to conflict with NARA policy to provide support for after-the-fact investigations of security incidents and to meet regulatory and organizational information retention requirements.

### 3.2 Approval

Has the retention period been approved by the component records officer and the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)?

Yes, the retention period noted above is the NARA requirement for retention of records.

### 3.3 Risk Mitigation

Please discuss the risks associated with the length of time data is retained and how those risks are mitigated.

Unauthorized access is gained to the system or to the database content that stores ePermit PII data. Existing access controls prevent unauthorized modification of data, and in some instances, data is no longer available for modification based on process (it is locked). Roles are tested to ensure that they can only get to the data that they are intended to give access to.

PII data is inadvertently viewed on a user's screen. The data is encrypted in the database and the system uses least privilege principles to only allow authenticated applicants and privileged Forest Service Administrators to view the information.

When PII data is electronically transferred to non-ePermit systems such as eAuth might be accessible. Data is encrypted using both client certificate exchange, token based authentication, and TLS.



When PII is printed from ePermit, are there user procedures in place for handling the information sent to the printers. Data is required to be retrieved immediately for safe storage.

## Section 4.0 Internal Sharing and Disclosure

The following questions are intended to define the scope of sharing within the United States Department of Agriculture.

### 4.1 Identification and Purpose

With which organization(s) outside of the Forest Service, but still within the Department of Agriculture is the information shared? What information is shared and for what purpose?

N/A

### 4.2 Delivery and Disclosure

How is the information transmitted or disclosed?

N/A

### 4.3 Risk Mitigation

Considering the extent of internal information sharing, discuss the privacy risks associated with the sharing and how they were mitigated.

N/A

## Section 5.0 External Sharing and Disclosure

The following questions are intended to define the content, scope, and authority for information sharing external to USDA which includes Federal, state and local government, and the private sector.

### 5.1 Identification and Purpose

With which external organization(s), outside of both the Forest Service and the Department of Agriculture, is the information shared? What information is shared, and for what purpose?

Name, address and phone number PII will be shared with the US Treasury's Pay.gov system. This will facilitate financial payments to permits generated by the system, while allowing the system to not have to handle Personal Credit Card information directly.

### 5.2 Compatibility

Is the sharing of personally identifiable information outside the Department compatible with the original collection? If so, is it covered by an appropriate routine use in a SORN?

**If so**, please describe, provide SORN name and hyperlink URL to text.

**If not**, please describe under what legal mechanism the program or system is allowed to share the personally identifiable information outside of USDA.

Yes, PII is used only for its original purpose of issuing a permit. SORN's are only required whenever a Federal agency maintains information about an individual in a system of records and retrieves the information by a personal identifier. PII is not able to be queried through the ePermits system and thus is not retrieved by personal identifier. The US Forest

Service and the US Treasury's pay.gov have entered into an Interconnection Security Agreement to document the mechanism for sharing PII. The authority for this agreement is based on the following policy, standards and guidance:

Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014, 44 USC § 3551 et seq., as part of the E-Government Act of 2002 (as amended)

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130, Appendix III, Security of Federal Automated Information Resources

National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-47, Security Guide for Interconnecting Information Technology systems

---

United States Department of the Treasury TD P 85-01, Treasury Information Technology Security Program TD P 85-01, Unclassified Non-National Security Systems

USDA DR3140-001, USDA ADP Security Plan  
USDA DR3140-002, USDA Internet Security Policy  
USDA DR3440-002, Control and Protection of "Sensitive Security Information"  
USDA DM3505-001, Incident Response Procedures

### **5.3 Delivery and Security Measures**

How is the information shared outside the Department and what security measures safeguard its transmission?

The information is shared via an API that is encrypted via TLS. The connection is limited to the cloud.gov egress IP addresses.

### **5.4 Risk Mitigation**

Given the external sharing, explain the privacy risks identified and describe how they were mitigated.

Unauthorized access is gained to the system or to the database content that stores ePermit PII data. Existing access controls prevent unauthorized modification of data, and in some instances, data is no longer available for modification based on process (it is locked). Roles are tested to ensure that they can only get to the data that they are intended to give access to.

PII data is inadvertently viewed on a user's screen. The data is encrypted in the database and the system uses least privilege principles to only allow authenticated applicants and privileged Forest Service Administrators to view the information.

When PII data is electronically transferred to non-ePermit systems such as eAuth might be accessible. Data is encrypted using both client certificate exchange, token based authentication, and TLS.

When PII is printed from ePermit, are there user procedures in place for handling the information sent to the printers. Data is required to be retrieved immediately for safe storage.

## Section 6.0 System of Records Notice (SORN)

The following questions are directed at notice to the individual of the scope of information collected, the right to consent to uses of said information, and the right to decline to provide information.

### 6.1 Requirement and Identification

Does this system require a SORN?

**If so**, please provide SORN name and hyperlink URL to text.

**If a SORN is not required**, answer “No” to this question, and “N/A” for questions 6.2 through 6.5.

No

### 6.2 Individual Notification

Was notice provided to the individual prior to collection of information?

N/A

### 6.3 Right to Decline

Do individuals have the opportunity and/or right to decline to provide information?

N/A

### 6.4 Right of Consent

Do individuals have the right to consent to particular uses of the information? If so, how does the individual exercise the right?

N/A

### 6.5 Risk Mitigation

Describe how notice is provided to individuals, and how the risks associated with individuals being unaware of the collection are mitigated.

N/A



## Section 7.0 Access, Redress and Correction

The following questions are directed at an individual's ability to ensure the accuracy of the information collected about them.

### 7.1 Access

What are the procedures that allow individuals to gain access to their information?

An individual would be able to access their previously submitted application by authenticating into the system. They may also phone, visit, or email a special use administrator to obtain the information included in the NRM SUDS system.

### 7.2 Correction

What are the procedures for correcting inaccurate or erroneous information?

For applications the applicant has submitted they will be able to authenticate into the system and update it as well as phone, visit, or email with their designated Special Use Administrator to update it.

### 7.3 Notification

How are individuals notified of the procedures for correcting their information?

Notification occurs at time of information collection. Users are notified that they can phone, visit, or email the Forest Service Special Use Administrator to fix erroneous information.

### 7.4 Redress Alternatives

If no formal redress is provided, what alternatives are available to the individual?

They can phone, visit or email the Forest Service Special Use Administrator.

### 7.5 Risk Mitigation

Please discuss the privacy risks associated with the redress available to individuals and how those risks are mitigated.

Someone claiming to be user can change PII without being required to prove that they are, indeed, the user

The guidance for the content of requests for correction of information is not intended to constitute a set of legally binding requirements. Requestors bear the 'burden of proof' with respect to the necessity for correction as well as with respect to the type of correction they seek. However, the USFS may be unable to process, in a timely fashion or at all, requests that omit one or more of the requested elements. Persons contacting the special use administrator are required to provide identification in order to make any changes to PII.

## Section 8.0 Technical Access and Security

The following questions are intended to describe technical safeguards and security measures.

### 8.1 Procedures

What procedures are in place to determine which users may access the system and are they documented?

Members of the public will be able to access the informational pages of the system as they will be on the open internet. To complete, review or cancel applications, they will have to be authenticated.

### 8.2 Contractor Access

Will Department contractors have access to the system?

Yes. Access will be based on Least Privilege necessary to perform job role and Separation of Duties.

### 8.3 Privacy Training

Describe what privacy training is provided to users either generally or specifically relevant to the program or system?

FS users are required to take the; Annual Security Awareness Training Course, and PII Lite course — currently provided by the USDA via AgLearn computer-based training (CBT). No PII training specifics to the program or system is offered.

### 8.4 System Authority to Operate

Has Assessment & Authorization been completed for the system(s) supporting the program? If so, answer “Yes” and provide ATO expiration date(s).

ePermits ATO date is 10/10/2018.

### 8.5 Audit and Technical Safeguards

What auditing measures and technical safeguards are in place to prevent misuse of data?

Unauthorized individuals gaining access to the data via the system. The ePermits system is audited for access from the cloud.gov USDA Forest Service organization to the application level to ensure only approved users have access.

Unauthorized individuals gaining access to the data via the application. Access to the system and data are determined by business need and individual roles. Access to the application's PII is recertified and audited on a quarterly basis.>

## 8.6 Risk Mitigation

Given the sensitivity and scope of the information collected, as well as any information sharing conducted on the system, what privacy risks were identified and how do the security controls mitigate them?

Unauthorized individuals gaining access to the data. FS personnel who want access to ePermits applications are authenticated (proof that the person is who they say they are) using the USDA eAuthentication system (Level 2) prior to access to the application. There is a secondary authentication of the user when they log into their FS corporate computer.

FS personnel must also be given access to the system and data based on business need and the individual roles.

Members of the public must be authenticated using login.gov Level of Assurance 1 to view applications which they have submitted.

Unauthorized access is gained during the sharing of data with internal and external organizations. Data is encrypted in transit to the other systems using TLS and encrypted SAML partnerships.

## Section 9.0 Technology

The following questions are directed at critically analyzing the selection process for any technologies utilized by the system, including system hardware and other technology.

### 9.1 Description

What type of project is the program or system?

The system is a Node.JS JavaScript application, hosted on the FedRAMP Moderate Cloud.gov.

### 9.2 Privacy Concerns

Does the project employ technology which may raise privacy concerns? If so, please discuss their implementation.

No.

---

## Section 10.0 Third Party Websites/Applications

The following questions are directed at critically analyzing the privacy impact of using third party websites and/or applications.

### 10.1 Review

Has the System Owner (SO) and/or Information Systems Security Program Manager (ISSPM) reviewed Office of Management and Budget (OMB) memorandums M-10-22 “Guidance for Online Use of Web Measurement and Customization Technology” and M-10-23 “Guidance for Agency Use of Third-Party Websites and Applications”?

Yes. The System Owner has reviewed the above OMB memorandums.

### 10.2 Purpose

What is the specific purpose of the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications?

N/A

### 10.3 PII Availability

What Personally Identifiable Information (PII) will become available through the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications.

N/A

### 10.4 PII Usage

How will the PII that becomes available through the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications be used?

N/A

### 10.5 PII Maintenance and Security

How will the PII that becomes available through the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications be maintained and secured?

N/A

### **10.6 PII Purging**

Is the PII that becomes available through the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications purged periodically? If so, is it done automatically? If so, is it done on a recurring basis?

N/A

### **10.7 PII Access**

Who will have access to PII that becomes available through the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications?

N/A

### **10.8 PII Sharing**

With whom will the PII that becomes available through the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications be shared—either internally or externally?

N/A

### **10.9 SORN Requirement**

Will the activities involving the PII that becomes available through the agency’s use of 3rd party websites and/or applications require either the creation or modification of a system of records notice (SORN)?

N/A

### **10.10 Web Measurement and Customization**

Does the system use web measurement and customization technology? If so, is the system and procedures reviewed annually to demonstrate compliance to OMB M-10-23?

N/A

### **10.11 Web Measurement and Customization Opt-In/Opt-Out**

Does the system allow users to either decline to opt-in or decide to opt-out of all uses of web measurement and customization technology? If so, does the agency provide the public with alternatives for acquiring comparable information and services?

N/A

### **10.12 Risk Mitigation**

Given the amount and type of PII that becomes available through the agency's use of 3rd party websites and/or applications, discuss the privacy risks identified and how they were mitigated.

N/A





## Responsible Official

---

Brian Schwind  
System Owner (SO)  
Natural Resources and Environment, Forest Service  
United States Department of Agriculture

## Approval Signature

---

Cynthia Towers  
Privacy Officer (PO)  
Natural Resources and Environment, Forest Service  
United States Department of Agriculture

---

Laura Hill  
Information System Security Program Manager (ISSPM)  
Natural Resources and Environment, Forest Service  
United States Department of Agriculture